Calendar No. 1067

98TH CONGRESS } SENATE { REPORT
2d Session } { 98-567

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATION BILL, 1985

JULY 26 (legislative day, JULY 23), 1984.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. MATTINGLY, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany H.R. 5898]

The Committee on Appropriations, to which was referred the bill (H.R. 5898) making appropriations for military construction for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1985, and for other purposes, reports the same to the Senate with various amendments, and presents herewith information relative to the changes made:

Amount of bill passed by House	$8,258,471,037
Amount of increase by Senate over the House	247,486,963
Total of bill as reported to Senate	8,505,958,000
Amount of 1985 budget estimate	10,318,200,000
Amount of 1984 appropriations to date	7,104,237,000
The bill as reported to the Senate:	
Under the budget estimate, 1985	1,812,242,000
Above appropriations for fiscal year 1984	1,401,721,000

S183-11

CONTENTS

Page

Background:
- Purpose of the bill ... 3
- Comparative statement ... 3
- Summary of the bill ... 6
- Compliance with section 308 of the Budget Control Act ... 6

Committee recommendations ... 6
Items of special interest ... 7
Military construction, Army ... 18
Military construction, Navy ... 23
Santa Margarita water project ... 30
Military construction, Air Force ... 30
Military construction, Defense Agencies ... 37
North Atlantic Treaty Organization ... 39
Military construction, Guard and Reserve Components ... 39
Family housing, overview ... 42
Family housing, Army ... 48
Family housing, Navy ... 49
Family housing, Air Force ... 50
Family housing, Defense Agencies ... 51
General provisions ... 52
Project listing by location ... 57

BACKGROUND

Purpose of the Bill

The Military Construction Appropriation Bill provides necessary funding for the planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of military facilities worldwide, both for Active and Reserve Forces. It also finances the construction, alteration, improvement, operation and maintenance of all military family housing, including payments against past housing mortgage indebtedness. Certain types of community impact assistance are provided, as well as assistance to members who face loss on the sale of private residences due to installation realinements. The bill is also the source for the U.S. share of NATO infrastructure construction costs.

Comparative Statement

The fiscal year 1985 budget requests appropriations totaling $10,318,200,000. The following table displays this request, and compares it with the current fiscal year, the House amount, and the Committee recommendation:

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY

Item	1984 Appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance	Committee recommendation	Increase (+) or decrease (−) compared with—		
					1984 Appropriation	Budget estimate	House allowance
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Military construction, Army	$1,184,140,000	$1,900,000,000	$1,606,350,000	$1,625,227,000	$441,087,000	−$274,773,000	+$18,877,000
Military construction, Navy	1,206,517,000	1,803,300,000	1,449,442,000	1,562,672,000	+356,155,000	−240,628,000	+113,230,000
Santa Margarita water project, Navy		142,000,000		1,500,000	+1,500,000	−140,500,00	+1,500,000
Military construction, Air Force	1,410,993,000	2,165,400,000	1,543,225,000	1,600,718,000	+189,725,000	−564,682,000	+57,493,000
Military construction, Defense Agencies	281,802,000	459,500,000	309,108,000	383,510,000	+101,708,000	−75,990,000	+74,402,000
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Infrastructure	50,000,000	296,700,000	131,700,000	107,200,000	+57,200,000	−189,500,000	−24,500,000
Military construction, Army National Guard	67,620,000	88,900,000	92,117,000	96,900,000	+29,280,000	+8,000,000	+4,783,000
Military construction, Air National Guard	108,888,000	102,900,000	111,200,000	110,700,000	+1,812,000	+7,800,000	−500,000
Military construction, Army Reserve	54,700,000	70,400,000	67,306,000	70,400,000	+15,700,000		+3,094,000
Military construction, Naval Reserve	30,605,000	60,800,000	63,800,000	60,800,000	+30,195,000		−3,000,000
Military construction, Air Force Reserve	41,200,000	67,800,000	67,800,000	67,800,000	+26,600,000		
Total, military construction	4,436,465,000	7,157,700,000	5,442,048,000	5,687,427,000	+1,250,962,000	−1,470,273,000	+245,379,000
Family housing, Army	1,266,703,000	1,425,454,000	1,338,752,000	1,335,612,000	+68,909,000	−89,842,000	−3,140,000
Portion applied to debt reduction	−31,700,000	−20,054,000	−20,054,000	−20,054,000	+11,646,000		
Subtotal, family housing, Army	1,235,003,000	1,405,400,000	1,318,698,000	1,315,558,000	+80,555,000	−89,842,000	−3,140,000
Family housing, Navy	638,626,000	727,886,000	674,368,000	686,897,000	+48,271,000	−40,989,000	+12,529,000
Portion applied to debt reduction	−28,133,000	−23,286,000	−23,286,000	−23,286,000	+4,847,000		
Subtotal, family housing, Navy	610,493,000	704,600,000	651,082,000	663,611,000	+53,118,000	−40,989,000	+12,529,000
Family housing, Air Force	855,811,000	1,058,632,000	882,134,000	847,994,000	−7,817,000	−210,638,000	−34,140,000
Portion applied to debt reduction	−51,376,000	−27,932,000	−27,932,000	−27,932,000	+23,444,000		
Subtotal, family housing, Air Force	804,435,000	1,030,700,000	854,202,000	820,062,000	+15,627,000	−210,638,000	−34,140,000

Family housing, Defense Agencies	17,841,000	19,800,000	17,291,000	19,300,000	+ 1,459,000	- 500,000	+ 2,009,000
Total family housing (budget authority)	2,778,981,000	3,231,772,000	2,912,545,000	2,889,803.000	+ 110,822,000	- 341,969,000	- 22,742,000
Total portion applied to debt reduction	- 111,209,000	- 71,272,00	- 71,272,00	- 71,272,00	+ 39,937,000		
Total, family housing	2,667,772,000	3,160,500,000	2,841,273,000	2,818,531,000	+ 150,759,000	- 341,969,000	- 22,742,000
General provision section 126			- 28,849,963				+ 24,849,963
Grand total, new budget (obligational) authority	7,104,237,000	10,318,200,000	8,258,471,037	8,505,958,000	+ 1,401,721,000	- 1,812,242,000	+ 247,486,963

SUMMARY OF THE BILL

The Committee recommends a total of $8,505,958,000 in new budget authority/appropriations for fiscal year 1985 programs under its jurisdiction. Significant comparisons are noted below:

Fiscal year 1985 budget request: Budget authority	$10,318,200,000
Committee recommendation for fiscal year 1985: Budget authority	8,505,958,000
Which is—	
Over new budget authority granted in fiscal year 1984 by	1,401,721,000
Under the budget request by	1,812,242,000
Over the House bill by	247,486,963

COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 308 OF THE BUDGET CONTROL ACT

Section 308(a) of the Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344) requires that the Committee include in its report a comparison of its recommendations with levels contained in the First Concurrent Resolution. Appropriate data are reflected below:

BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

PREPARED BY THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC. 308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93–344

[In millions of dollars]

	Budget authority		Outlays	
	Committee allocation	Amount in bill	Committee allocation	Amount in bill
Comparison of amounts in the bill with the Committee allocation to its subcommittees of amounts in the First Concurrent Resolution for 1985: Subcommittee on Military Construction	NA	8,506	NA	[1] 7,480
Projections of outlays associated with budget authority recommended in the bill:				
1985				[2] 2,447
1986				3,445
1987				1,606
1988				615
1989 and future year				392
Financial assistance to State and local governments for 1985 in the bill	53			3

[1] Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

[2] Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

NA: Not applicable.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

As previously noted, the Committee is recommending new fiscal year 1985 appropriations of $8,505,958,000. This is $1,812,242,000 under the budget request and $247,486,963 above House allowances. The basis for this recommendation is contained in succeeding paragraphs for special interest items, and under the discussions pertaining to each individual appropriation.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

CONFORMANCE WITH AUTHORIZATION BILL

The Senate Armed Services Committee reported S. 2723, the Omnibus Defense Authorization Act, 1985, which contains the authorization for the majority of the military construction projects included in this bill. The Senate passed, with amendments, S. 2723, and it is presently being conferenced with the House. Because no conference agreement has yet been reached with regard to the military construction chapter, the Committee is recommending only projects which were approved with the passage of S. 2723.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION BUDGETING

After careful review, the Committee has recommended $8,505,958,000 for various military construction and family housing needs during fiscal year 1986. The individual projects will support Department of Defense programs throughout the world and involve small repair projects as well as major new facilities.

The Committee considered an original budget request of $10,318,200,000 for new budget authority. This amount represented an increase of 19 percent over the fiscal year 1984 request and a 45-percent increase over the fiscal year 1984 appropriation. On May 3, 1984, the Department of Defense provided a revised budget for Defense, including military construction. This budget revision was in response to the President's announcement on March 15, 1984, to seek bipartisan agreement to reduce Federal deficits by $150 billion over the next 3 years.

The budget revision for military construction was a reduction of $1,450,361,000 in new budget authority. The revised budget figure of $8,867,839,000 reflected a 2-percent increase over the fiscal year 1984 request and a 24-percent increase over the fiscal year 1984 appropriation.

For purposes of this bill, the Committee has used the original budget request as the base since there was no formal budget amendment. However, the budget revisions were given careful consideration by the Committee in determining the final recommendation.

The Committee has recommended a reduction of over $1,800,000,000 from the budget request. The Committee has worked carefully with the services using the budget revisions to make reductions as appropriate. The Committee has also made other reductions deemed appropriate based on information available to the Committee through justification documents, hearings, and other sources.

The Committee believes that while this bill recommends a reduction from the original request, the majority of all major projects have been provided and that the impact of the reduction will not be of major consequence to the military construction program.

While the Committee has made this reduction from funds requested for military construction, the Committee recognizes that this amount is part of a small portion of the national defense account which has been recommended for total appropriations in fiscal year 1985 of $299,000,000,000. The Committee believes that some of the reductions made within the military construction account may be applied in the Defense appropriations bill for items such as humanitarian assistance in Honduras or other countries, particularly during military exercises. The Committee believes that the Department of Defense provides goodwill when such assistance is undertaken. The Committee suggests that the Department insure that appropriate regulations and/or legislation is enacted to insure that such activities are conducted within the law.

Accuracy of estimates.—During the past 3 years, the actual costs of military construction projects have been 10 to 20 percent below the budgeted amounts. The House indicates that this has resulted in savings totaling nearly $500,000,000 from previously approved projects. Accordingly, the services have made adjustments to their fiscal year 1985 requests to incorporate the effects of the good bidding climate by lowering unit prices 10 to 15 percent. The House also acknowledged that the Department reduced the fiscal year 1985 request by $100,000,000 (approximately 2 percent) because of changes in the application of the Davis-Bacon Act (50 percent rule). It is estimated that the Davis-Bacon Act increases the cost of military construction projects by approximately 10 percent; but the Davis-Bacon Act has not been repealed and the U.S. Department of Labor's rules and regulations for implementation of the 50-percent rule changes execution of the fiscal year 1985 program. In addition, the Department used an inflation factor of 4.6 percent (the lowest rate in many years) in pricing all fiscal year 1985 projects. Supervision, inspection, and overhead (SIOH) rates were changed from multiple rates to a fixed rate of 5.5 percent. The Department also set currency exchange rates, used to prepare the cost estimates for overseas projects, to the most favorable conversion rates in 10 years. The House, despite all these deflationary moves by the Department and the services, anticipates that substantial savings will again be realized in fiscal year 1985 and made additional reductions. The Committee does not agree with the House on this point and has restored the majority of these reductions.

Reductions for good bids.—The Committee has made a general reduction of $420,000,000 in this bill which reflects the continued good bidding climate. Because of this large reduction, the Committee was able to approve some projects which were included in the budget revisions package but are of a high priority. The Committee has made the reduction based on information provided by the services for fiscal year 1984 and prior programs. The Committee is not reflecting any savings from fiscal year 1985 in the reduction.

Planning and design.—The Committee has recommended the authorized level of $508,350,000 for planning and design accounts for the services and defense agencies. The Committee is convinced that funds provided by this account are well spent, particularly since the Committee insists that programs be at the 35-percent design level or higher prior to their being submitted for the congressional budget review process.

The Committee is pleased that the Department has vigorously pursued areas of cost savings that were suggested in previous reports. Improvements in reviewing architect/engineering liability in claims, contract modifications, and value engineering have showed some cost savings. The Committee believes such management actions give improved credibility to the services' requests for funding.

Alternative construction methods.—The Committee supports the language included by the House with regard to alternative construction methods. Every opportunity should be taken to investigate areas where savings may be attained without being detrimental to the facilities needed.

Reprogramming criteria.—The Committee believes that reprogramming procedures now in effect should remain that way through fiscal year 1985.

The Committee supports the language included in the House report on this subject with one exception. The Committee cannot agree with the House proposal to further restrict the family housing account. This issue is addressed in detail later in the report in the family housing section.

QUALITY OF LIFE IN THE MILITARY

The Committee continues to support the need for improvements in the living and working conditions of our military personnel. Even in a time of limited resources, the Committee realizes the importance of facilities as a key to readiness.

The Committee funded those projects deemed appropriate and which are authorized. The Committee fully understands that in preparing the military construction budgets each of the services must come up with a balanced program, which allows for the vital operational-type projects, as well as the quality of life projects which are so very important to service members and their families.

Adequate housing for unaccompanied personnel and families appears to be the most urgently needed area of concern. The Committee supports the House suggestion that the Department continue to program more facilities to house these personnel. The Committee also recognizes the urgent need to continue giving these projects high priority for funding.

The Committee, with few exceptions, has provided the budget requested levels for quality of life projects.

Child care centers.—The Committee has recommended funding for child care centers at military installations. The Committee has, however, reduced the budget requests for the majority of the projects, as did the House. The House action was a reflection of an authorization reduction. The Committee has discussed these reductions with the services and believes the cuts can be made without reducing the scope of work on the facilities. To avoid any such scope of work reductions, however, the Committee directs that child care centers approved for fiscal year 1985 only shall be subject to normal reprogramming criteria at their reduced level of funding (25 percent versus 10 percent). In addition, the Committee strongly endorses the recommendations made by the Senate Armed Services Committee with regard to contracting child care services.

Medical program.—The Committee agrees with the House in regard to incremental funding of major military medical facilities. The Committee is also pleased with progress made between the Department of Defense and the Veterans Administration concerning the sharing of medical facilities. The Committee encourages the Department to continue to pursue the most cost-effective manner in which to provide the finest military medical care. The Committee also supports the recommendation of the Senate Armed Services Committee to establish a blue ribbon panel to review needs for military medical facilities.

Energy.—The Committee continues its support for energy conservation construction programs for all the services. The Department of Defense must continue to take a lead in pursuing state-of-the-art systems which can sensibly be applied to military facilities. From storm windows and doors or added insulation to solar and geothermal applications, energy conservation is a wise investment for the Department.

In particular, the Committee takes note of studies done by the Defense Department demonstrating the cost effectiveness of ceiling fans in conserving energy. Payback periods of as little as 9 months suggest that ceiling fans could play an important role in meeting the Department's energy conservation objectives.

In view of this, the Department is directed to prepare a report to the Committee, not later than February 1, 1985, outlining the progress being made through the use of such items as ceiling fans and other devices with short payback periods in reaching energy conservation goals. In doing this, the Department is directed to undertake four demonstration projects at different geographic locations. These projects should utilize ceiling fans and other energy conservation devices to test the viability of these devices which might be used in future energy conservation projects.

STIMULATING U.S. BUSINESS OVERSEAS

The Committee continues its strong support of providing assistance to U.S. business in obtaining construction contracts overseas within the constraints of country-to-country agreements and which are fiscally responsible.

The Committee has supported the House proposal to institute an American preference program in the Pacific area. The Committees last year included report language on this matter, but the Department claimed they were not able to move forward without statutory language. The House included such a provision which the Committee basically supports. The Committee has revised the provision for technical reasons but expects that once the basic provision is agreed to by the conference committee that the Department is to move forward on this provision without hesitation.

The House included two new general provisions with regard to U.S. business in Europe and Japan. The Committee cannot agree to these provisions as they appear unworkable and not in the best interest of the military construction program. These provisions are discussed later in the report in the general provision section of the report (House secs. 120 and 121).

OVERSEAS BASING

The Committee continues its strong support for U.S. forces in overseas locations. The Committee is well aware of the increase in the number of locations where the United States has either placed permanent units or has made agreements with our allies for contingency use of existing facilities.

The House has made reference to the $3,000,000,000 investment which has been made during the past several years to provide the necessary facilities at these locations throughout the world.

The largest impact, of course, is in the Persian Gulf/Indian Ocean area where unilaterally the United States has provided facilities for the Rapid Deployment Force (RDF). The Committee continues to strongly support this mission which was begun in the previous administration and has continued to receive strong support from the Congress in the present administration.

In Japan, the beddown of the F-16 at Misawa provides the United States a vitally important base for U.S. interests in the Far East. The Committee is pleased with the continued support received from the Government of Japan in contributing to the construction of this base.

Throughout Europe, the U.S. commitment to NATO remains strong and is supported by the Committee through facilities to improve the quality of life for U.S. service personnel. The Committee has strongly supported new missions such as GLCM deployment. Many of the projects supported by the Committee in recent years are directly related to NATO missions and usually there is NATO construction involved along with the U.S. projects. The Committee is disappointed, however, that some programs have not been supported by our allies. In particular, the Master Restationing Plan in Germany, which would provide improved locations for U.S. troops stationed to support the NATO mission in Germany.

Most of the issues mentioned in previous paragraphs will be discussed in more detail later in this report.

While the cost of the increased U.S. presence at overseas locations is high, the Committee has supported the programs, as has the Congress, because they are in the best interest of the United States and our national security.

Construction of new bases overseas.—The Committee has retained a general provision included by the House requiring that the Committees be notified prior to the establishment of any new overseas bases.

Dependents.—The Committee has generally been supportive of construction in support of the quality of life for dependents, as well as the military personnel. With few exceptions, new family housing, schools, child care centers, and other related facilities have been funded in this bill.

The Committee is concerned, however, on what appears to be a growing number of dependents at overseas locations. In particular, the Committee is concerned about dependents being allowed to accompany the military member to locations which have, in the past, been unaccompanied tours. There is concern in Congress, not only about the costs involved in these new tours, but in the ability of the United States to evacuate the large number of dependents in the event of a contingency.

Because of this concern, the Committee directs the Department of Defense to prepare a report to be submitted not later than January 1, 1985, to the Committee on Appropriations providing detail on dependents overseas. This detail should include numbers of dependents by country and base location versus total military population (provide this back 5 years and through fiscal 1987); facilities planned within the next 3 years to support dependents at each location (including host nation construction); and appropriate detail on planning to evacuate these personnel in emergency situations.

ALLIED BURDEN SHARING/HOST NATION SUPPORT

The Committee continues its deep concern that many of our allies are not contributing to defense initiatives that provide for mutual security. The Committee notes that the Congress as a whole continues to make statements on this issue. The growth of Soviet military power continues and yet many of our allies do not appear willing to increase the funds necessary to provide adequate defense expenditures to meet the threat. The Committee has again included a general provision which is a sense of the Congress that our allies should increase defense expenditures 3 percent in real terms annually.

The Committee has supported the House in directing the Department to increase efforts to obtain funds for construction of needed facilities from all of our allies. While the Committee is aware of the level of effort put forth by the Department, the Committee is also aware that these efforts have only been marginally successful. However, the effort must be continued, and the Committee so directs the Department.

The Committee has generally supported the overseas construction program. In making arbitrary cuts, the Committee supports the House statement that it is the U.S. service personnel who are harmed by having to live and work in inadequate facilities. It is, therefore, tantamount for the Department to follow every avenue to seek allied burden sharing and host nation support.

European construction program.—The Committee has approved nearly $2,000,000,000 in military construction and family housing funding for Europe. The Committee is pleased that the Army has begun to address the huge backlog of needed facilities construction throughout Europe.

NATO infrastructure.—The Committee continues its strong support for NATO and has approved $107,200,000 for the U.S. contribution to the infrastructure account. The Committee has recommended this amount with some reluctance but because of concerns over the recoupment process the Committee feels it is necessary to make reductions which will benefit U.S. interests in NATO countries. In this regard, the Committee has provided $24,500,000 for projects at Mus AB, Turkey which are NATO eligible and for which the reduction from the NATO account is an offset. The Committee hopes that this token reduction will again alert the Department of Defense and NATO of the Congress' deep commitment of recouping the funds previously provided.

The amount approved by the Committee reflects a decrease of $189,500,000 from the budget request. The new amount, not including the $24,500,000 taken for Turkey, was included in the budget revision and is primarily a reflection of the strong dollar against other currencies, since the NATO construction program is paid in the currency of the host country receiving the facility.

Last year, the Committee included detailed language in its report on accountability within the NATO infrastructure account. The Department provided the Committee a report in response to this language which shows that attempts are being made to improve the program. The Committee directs the Department to continue to seek improved management and accountability with regard to this account.

The NATO infrastructure account is over 30 years old and has provided needed facilities throughout the NATO countries. The United States makes a contribution of 27 percent to the account. The Committee is aware that the United States has more than received its fair share of facilities from the program. However, the United States also has the largest defense budget of our allies and supplies the most personnel and equipment to NATO.

The Committee will continue to carefully review the NATO infrastructure account and seek improvements to it.

Expanded NATO infrastructure program.—The Committee is aware of the Defense Department's efforts to substantially expand the 1985–90 NATO infrastructure program. The $10,000,000,000 program, if approved, would allow the United States to collect its $260,000,000 recoupment balance and yet fund the various high-priority projects needed throughout NATO. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to do everything possible to obtain this level of funding for the program.

The Committee also supports the language included by the Senate Armed Services Committee in its fiscal year 1985 report which suggests that the NATO program be expanded to include projects which presently are not considered eligible for funding. The House has also addressed this matter and the Committee supports that language, including expanding the program into the Persian Gulf and Indian Ocean.

Recoupment of prefinanced funds.—The Committee has provided funding for NATO-eligible projects on a prefinanced basis for over 20 years. Of the $600,000,000 prefinanced, some $260,000,000 remains to be recouped. The estimated recoupment for fiscal year 1984 is only $20,000,000 and for fiscal year 1985, only $10,000,000 under current plans. The Committee is aware, as mentioned earlier, of the U.S. effort to increase the program to obtain as much as $44,600,000 during 1985 and the remaining funds through 1990.

The Committee again repeats its strong support for the increased program. The House denied the funds for prefinancing in fiscal year 1985. The Committee, however, has provided those funds requested in Turkey. Other prefinanced projects, including collocated operating bases (COBS) have been deferred. The Committee is aware that after some time the COBS have finally been recognized as high priority within the NATO account. There should be no future request for military construction funds for this program.

Participation of U.S. contractors in infrastructure projects.—The Committee is deeply concerned that NATO infrastructure projects are not being advertised for bid in the United States as brought forth in the House report. This is a matter of serious concern to the Committee.

The Committee strongly endorses the House language on the matter, and includes the following: None of the funds provided in this act for the NATO infrastructure account may be obligated until the Committees on Appropriations have been notified that internationally bid NATO infrastructure projects or clustered projects estimated to cost more than $5,000,000 are advertised in the Commerce Business Daily.

The Committee has chosen to include this language in the report rather than the bill. However, if positive action is not attained on this matter, the Committee will not hesitate to include a general provision in next year's bill or sooner, if necessary.

Master Restationing Plan (MRP).—For several years, the Committee, as has the Congress, urged the Department of Defense to obtain funding from the Federal Republic of Germany for the proposed Master Restationing Plan. The Committee is aware that negotiations on this issue have not been successful and at this time there is no breakthrough seen for the near future.

The Committee is aware that the Army has carefully programmed for facilities which will be at locations involved in the Master Restationing Plan. It is the Committee's understanding that these facilities are needed with or without MRP. Therefore, the Committee has restored the $29,000,000 denied by the House for projects at Giessen, Germany. In addition, the Committee is supportive of the provision included in the fiscal 1985 Senate authorization bill which releases the funds for facilities at Vilseck, Germany. The Committee believes the U.S. troops need these facilities in order to have a decent place to live and work.

Ground launched cruise missiles.—The Committee continues to support the deployment of the ground launched cruise missile [GLCM] in Europe. The Committee is aware that the GLCM is now operational at Greenham Common, United Kingdom and nearing full operation at Comiso, Italy. Construction is also underway in Belgium and Germany.

The Committee has supported the facilities requested for GLCM with few exceptions. The fiscal year 1985 program requested facilities in the Netherlands which the Committee has deferred pending agreement with the Government of the Netherlands on the basing. The Committee has restored two projects in Belgium denied by the House. The two projects—a facility for an Armed Forces Radio and TV Station and a library—were not authorized by the House. The Committee supports the two projects since they will support the initial unaccompanied personel at the site. At Comiso, Italy, the Committee has deferred funding for three projects which are interrelated. The request for family housing has been deferred pending an agreement with the Government of Italy which will allow for U.S. manufactured/factory built housing to be constructed. Without the family housing, the Committee believes there is no need for and has deferred funding for the school and child care center.

PERSIAN GULF/INDIAN OCEAN

The Committee has provided $30,200,000 for facilities in the Persian Gulf/Indian Ocean which are in direct support of the Rapid Deployment Force [RDF]. Funds have been provided for facilities in Diego Garcia, Morocco, and Oman. This recommendation brings the total of funding to support the RDF in this region of the world to over $1,100,000,000.

The Committee recommendation provides the full budget request for those projects at Diego Garcia and in Morocco. The Committee has deferred without prejudice $39,700,000 for various facilities for the Air Force in Oman. (This matter is discussed later in the report in the Air Force chapter.)

CARIBBEAN BASIN

The Committee has been supportive of the Caribbean Basin initiative which provides for the expansion of U.S. access in the region. Because this initiative represented a new direction in defense planning, the Committee placed a restriction, requiring a long-range plan for the region to be submitted to the Congress, on the funding approved in fiscal year 1984 for a project in Honduras.

The plan for the region has been submitted, and the Committee has since released the funds for airfield improvements at La Ceiba Airfield, Honduras.

The President's budget for fiscal year 1985 included three projects in Honduras for a total of $8,700,000. Two of the projects have failed authorization. The remaining project at Palmerola Airbase for contingency facilities for an Army activity is deferred without prejudice. The request for $4,300,000 has been questioned considering the fact that these are temporary and relocatable facilities.

The House included a new general provision which requires the Secretary of Defense to inform the Committee of the plans and scope of any military exercise in which the construction effort, either temporary or permanent, is expected to exceed $100,000. The Committee agrees with the House on this provisions.

SECURITY OF U.S. BASES OVERSEAS

The Committee supports the House position on security at U.S. bases overseas. It is vitally important that every effort be made to insure the security of U.S. personnel, equipment, and facilities in light of the increase in terrorist activities and other disturbances.

The Committee understands that each of the services has begun programs to increase security worldwide. The Committee is concerned, however, that there appears to be no central direction on the programs. The Committee is aware, for example, of vastly different security measures at GLCM bases versus Pershing II sites. The Committee agrees with the House that the Office of the Secretary of Defense should examine policy on security measures and develop standardized programs for base security. This examination should also review tests being made on commercial security programs and future costs for such programs.

The Committee is pleased that the United States and NATO programs to provide increased protection of nuclear and chemical storage sites is making progress have several years of delay. The Committee agrees with the House that these measures are urgently needed and should be completed as soon as possible.

MX MISSILE PROGRAM

The Committee has recommended $114,340,000 for construction of facilities/projects in support of the MX Missile Program. Of this amount, $106,110,000 is for projects at F.E. Warren AFB, WY; $7,730,000 for support facilities at Hill AFB, UT; and $500,000 for support facilities for the MX test complex at Vandenberg AFB, CA.

The Committee has continually supported the construction program to support the MX missile. This missile is important for strengthening our land-based intercontinental ballistic missile system.

The plan for the MX calls for the deployment of the missile in modified Minuteman silos at F.E. Warren AFB, WY. In fiscal year 1984, the Congress approved funding for the first 21 missiles as well as $31,200,000 for initial military construction to support the system.

The House deferred $29,300,000 for several projects at F.E. Warren. The House deferred the funds because of concern over the need for further evaluation by the Air Force concerning actual silo deployment plans. Those projects include launch facilities site work; launch facility trainer (silo); real estate acquisition; and upgrade of roads and highways. The Committee believes that these projects while involved in the silo modifications have no direct impact on the actual changes inside the silo—that work is being accomplished using operation and maintenance [O&M] funds. Therefore, the Committee supports the projects which need to be accomplished to meet the initial operational capability [IOC] in December 1986.

Military Construction, Army

Fiscal year 1984 appropriation to date	$1,184,140,000
Fiscal year 1985 request	1,900,000,000
House allowance	1,606,350,000
Committee recommendation	1,625,227,000

The Committee is recommending an appropriation of $1,625,227,000 for the Army for fiscal year 1985. This amount reflects a decrease of $274,773,000 to the budget request and an increase to the House proposal in the amount of $18,877,000.

Specific program changes in the Committee recommendation are discussed below: (See project listing at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

AUTHORIZATION REDUCTIONS

House adds.—The following projects were added by the House but have not been authorized by the Senate and, therefore, are not recommended by the Committee:

Maryland:	
Aberdeen Proving Ground, UEPH	$17,800,000
Fort Detrick, medical logistics building addition	3,100,000
New York: Fort Drum, central heat plant	13,000,000
Pennsylvania: Fort Indiantown Gap, electrical substation	2,500,000
Texas: Corpus Christi Army Depot, composite blade test facility	2,200,000
Virginia:	
Fort Pickett, heating plant	2,400,000
Fort Belvoir, communications facility (partial reduction)	6,000,000

Budgeted projects.—The following projects were requested as part of the budget but have not been authorized by the Senate and, therefore, are not recommended by the Committee:

Kentucky:	
Fort Campbell, flight simulator building	[1] $2,750,000
Fort Knox, gun synchronization ramps	[1] 800,000
Massachusetts: Fort Devens, waste heat recovery systems	[1] 280,000
Nevada: Hawthorne AAP, ammunition surveillance facility	[1] 3,400,000
North Carolina: Fort Bragg, flight simulator building	[1] 1,750,000
Texas: Corpus Christi Army Depot, energy conservation	[1] 650,000
CONUS: Various, access roads	[1] 500,000
Germany:	
Various:	
Ammunition storage	9,520,000
Paved roads	[1] 1,400,000
Rheinberg, purchase of support facility	[1] 16,300,000
Honduras: San Lorenzo, contingency facility	[1] 2,900,000

See footnote at end of table.

Korea:	
Camp Humphreys, flight simulator building	[1]$2,400,000
Camp Sears, warehouse	[1]3,100,000
Overseas: Classified, RDF facilities	[1]62,000,000
Worldwide: Planning and design	[1]30,000,000

[1] Reduction also taken by House.

OTHER PROGRAM CHANGES

Authorization additions.—The following unbudgeted projects were included in the Senate authorization bill. These projects are of a high priority and supported by the Committee for inclusion in this bill as follows:

Colorado:	
Fort Carson:	
Fuel storage	[1]$1,500,000
Warehouse	[1]1,100,000
Indiana: Fort Benjamin Harrison, barracks	13,400,000
North Carolina: Fort Bragg, security operations training facility	38,000,000
South Carolina: Fort Jackson, applied instruction facility	10,200,000
Texas:	
Fort Hood:	
Headquarters building	[1]33,000,000
Water storage/supply	[1]5,800,000

[1] Included in House bill.

Alaska: Fort Richardon—Dining facility modernization.—The Committee recommends $1,700,000 for this project which is a reduction of $400,000 from the original request and is based on revised estimates.

Arizona: Fort Huachuca—General instruction building.—The House reduced the request for this facility by $1,000,000. The Committee has restored that amount based on the estimates provided by the Army that fully support an appropriation of $3,900,000.

Arkansas: Pine Bluff Arsenal—Binary munitions facility, phase II.—The Committee has deferred funding for this $10,200,000 facility. The facility is designed to produce the Bigeye bomb which Congress has not authorized for production.

California: Fort Ord—Military operations in urbanized terrain.—The Committee supports the budget request of $5,100,000 for this project. The unique aspects of the construction of this facility justify full funding.

California: Sharpe Army Depot—Western distribution facility.—The Committee approves $49,000,000 for this important facility which has a payback period of between 4 and 6 years based on improved efficiency in the basic operation. The project should be constructed as justified without any scope-of-work reductions even if a reprogramming should be necessary.

Colorado: Fort Carson—Range roads.—The Committee recommends full funding of $2,200,000 for this project. The Committee has reviewed the project carefully since the House made a 23-percent reduction to the cost and is satisfied that the estimate is valid.

Kentucky: Fort Knox—Runway extension.—The Committee has been made aware of the need to lengthen runway 15–33 at Fort Knox. This project when completed will permit MEDEVAC aircraft to be accommodated. The Committee directs the Army to proceed with planning and design on the project and to notify the Committee of the fiscal year in which this project is planned.

Kentucky: Fort Knox—Salt River bridge.—The Committee is aware of the urgent need for a bridge to cross the Salt River on the Fort Knox Reservation. This project will greatly enhance training manuevers at Fort Knox and allow areas to be utilized that presently are not readily accessible. The Committee directs the Army to include this project in the fiscal year 1986 military construction budget request.

Kentucky: Lexington-Blue Grass Army Depot—Demilitarization facility.—The Committee is aware of concerns over the proposed demilitarization of chemical agents stored at the Lexington-Blue Grass Army Depot in Kentucky. Unlike other facilities where demilitarization is planned, the Lexington-Blue Grass facility is located in an urban area. The Committee understands that the Department intends to request construction funds in the fiscal 1986 budget and will examine the proposal in detail during the fiscal 1986 hearings. The Committee expects the Department to provide full, comprehensive data on the proposal, including justification for siting in an urban area, if the facility is requested. In the interim, a prohibition on the use of any fiscal 1985 funds for construction of such a facility has been included in the bill.

Pennsylvania: Fort Indiantown Gap—Electric substation.—The Committee is aware of the need for a new electric substation at the Fort Indiantown Gap installation. This $2,500,000 project was added by the House, but not authorized in the Senate. The Committee, therefore, has not approved the funding; however, the Committee will give the project every consideration during conference if the project receives approval by the authorization conferees.

Pennsylvania: New Cumberland Army Depot—Site acquisition and preparation.—The Committee approves the full request of $7,800,000 for this facility. The House deferred $6,550,000 for the site preparation portion of this project. The Committee understands that if the site preparation is delayed the schedule for the project will slip about 6 months and thus raise estimated construction costs due to inflation factors some $3,000,000. This project is identical to one being accomplished in California which amortizes in 4 to 6 years.

Texas: Brooke Army Medical Center—Design of new hospital.—The House has included bill language in the pending fiscal year 1984 supplemental and in its fiscal year 1985 bill to require the Army to initiate design for the replacement of Brooke Army Medical Center. While the Committee agrees the existing facilities are seriously outdated and inadequate, the Committee feels the language in the bill should not specify the size of the facility to be designed. The Committee believes the Army and the Office of the Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs should agree to begin designing a hospital which can be expanded. Design of the new facility should be such that the inpatient areas can be expanded rapidly to meet mobilization or emergency requirements.

While design is underway, the issue of the appropriate final size should be reviewed, perhaps through the efforts of a blue ribbon panel recommended by the Senate Armed Services Committee. The Army should initiate design of this facility; however, the funds exclusively designated in the Fiscal Year 1983 Military Construction Appropriations Act for the design of the renovation of and addition to Brooke Army Medical Center should be utilized for this purpose rather than designating funds from the fiscal year 1985 design appropriations. The proposed bill language would require the Army to enter into a design contract within 30 days. The Committee recognizes this does not allow sufficient time, for a contract of this magnitude, to negotiate the contract terms and conduct an audit review of the proposal. The Committee has recommended allowing up to 180 days to enter into a design contract but expects the Army to expedite the contracting process as much as possible and to report immediately any legal or administrative problems which might delay design start to this Committee for resolution.

The general provision involving this project is section 121 of the Senate bill.

Virginia: Fort Belvoir—Computer systems development facility.—The Committee has provided the $31,200,000 requested for this project which will amortize in 5 years. The House denied the funds stating that the cost appeared excessive and directed the Army to either relocate to a lower cost area, continue to lease or reduce the scope of work. The Committee understands that these are not viable options since the vast majority of the currently employed technical staff would not relocate outside the National Capital region; leasing was considered in the economic analysis and was rejected as being a more costly alternative; and a reduction in the scope of the project would defeat the purpose of consolidating the operations to enhance productivity.

Washington: Back tax liability.—The Committee has been made aware of a matter involving back tax liabilities resulting from *Washington* v. *United States* 103 S. Ct. 1344 (1983). The Committee understands that the Army, as well as several other Federal agencies, is negotiating a settlement directly with the State of Washington on this matter. The Committee believes it to be in the best interest of all parties concerned to reach a negotiated settlement as quickly as possible. The Committee understands that authority for the funding of this liability may be required. The Department of the Army, after reaching a negotiated settlement, should seek the necessary authority and funding in the earliest appropriate legislation.

CONUS: Classified location—Classified project.—The House reduced this project by $1,800,000 on the basis that a revised appropriation of $2,000,000 would be consistent with previous funding levels. This is not a level-of-effort requirement, and the full request of $3,800,000 is approved by the Committee.

CONUS: Various locations—Physical fitness centers.—The Committee recommends full funding at the levels noted for physical fitness centers as follows:

Georgia: Fort Stewart	$5,300,000
New York: Fort Drum	3,400,000
Oklahoma: Fort Sill	5,250,000

The House reduced each of these projects for a total reduction of $3,131,000. The reductions were for the proposed indoor swimming pool at each location. The House made the reduction for budgetary constraint and stated that indoor swimming pools go beyond the normal physical fitness needs.

The Committee supports these projects because swimming is established as one of the best physical conditioning activities, especially for individuals recovering from muscular or skeletal injuries. In addition to being used for fitness training purposes, the Army uses pools for year-round drownproof training for combat troops—particularly engineer, ranger, and other units with a high probability of engaging in river operations. Fort Stewart, Fort Sill, and Fort Drum each have units of these types assigned.

The Committee does not support starting a program at all installations to build indoor swimming pools. However, when new physical fitness centers are being built, it makes sense to include a pool. And in the case of these facilities, it would require a redesign of the projects to delete the pools. The Committee will carefully review future requests for such projects to insure that they are built only where necessary.

Germany: Ansbach—Aircraft parking apron (Storck Barracks) and aircraft maintenance facility (Katterbach Kaserne).—The Committee recommends $12,000,000 for the aircraft parking apron at Storck Barracks and $19,000,000 for the aircraft maintenance facility at Katterbach Kaserne. Both of these projects were denied by the House which stated they should be funded through NATO infrastructure funds. The Committee agrees that NATO criteria should be expanded to include Army airfields; however, the Committee understands that at this point the projects are not eligible even though the Army has repeatedly attempted, since 1979, to obtain NATO eligibility. It seems to be in the best interest of U.S. personnel to have adequate facilities for the new Army attack helicopters scheduled for deployment at these locations. The Committee strongly supports the Army's initiative to notify NATO with precautionary prefinancing statements on these projects in hope that if NATO eligibility is changed the funds can be recouped.

Germany: Ansbach—Various facilities.—The Committee has provided $17,510,000 for four projects at Ansbach which were included in the budget revision and denied by the House. The Committee, as stated earlier in the report, has taken general reductions to offset some of the projects included in the budget revision. The projects approved are barracks at $9,500,000; dining facility at $1,800,000; tactical equipment shop at $710,000; and utilities at $5,500,000.

Germany: Fulda—Clothing sales store.—The Committee recommends this project but has deleted the funds requested and directs that it be accomplished using available minor construction funds.

Germany: Giessen—Various facilities.—The Committee recommends $29,050,000 for four projects denied by the House at Giessen. This item was discussed earlier in the report under items of special interest—Master Restationing Plan. The projects are as follows: barracks at $12,000,000; battalion headquarters at $1,550,000; tactical equipment shop at $11,600,000; and utilities at $3,900,000.

Germany: Hanau—Physical fitness training center.—This project was requested at $3,150,000. The House reduced that amount to $2,884,000 because of the excessive cost of three racquetball courts to be included in the facility. The Committee has reviewed this project and has been told that revised estimates now place construction costs at $2,000,000. Therefore, the Committee recommends $2,000,000 for the full scope of this project. The Committee notes that the racquetball courts to be included in the facility are procured in the United States.

Honduras: Palmerola—Contingency facility.—The Committee has deferred without prejudice the $4,300,000 requested for this project. The Committee believes that since these facilities are justified as temporary facilities for units which are deployed to this location on a temporary basis (not more than 6 months) then the existing facilities are adequate.

Worldwide: Minor construction.—The Committee supports the budget request of $33,000,000 for this account. The Committee believes that the Army has made use of prior funds in the manner justified for the account.

Worldwide: Planning and design.—The Committee provides $160,000,000 for this account. This is a reduction from the budget request of $30,000,000. The reduction, part of the budget revision, is $25,000,000 from regular planning and design funds and $5,000,000 from mobilization design funds.

Worldwide: General reduction.—The Committee recommendation for the Army includes a general reduction of $210,000,000. This amount is a reflection of the continued good bidding climate.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY

Fiscal year 1984 appropriation to date	$1,206,517,000
Fiscal year 1985 request	1,803,300,000
House allowance	1,449,442,000
Committee recommendation	1,562,672,000

The Committee is recommending $1,562,672,000 for Navy and Marine Corps military construction projects in fiscal year 1985. This amount reflects an increase of $113,230,000 to the House approved amount and a decrease of $240,628,000 from the budget request.

Specific program changes reflected in the Committee recommendation are discussed below: (See project listing at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

AUTHORIZATION REDUCTIONS

House adds.—The following projects were added by the House but have not been authorized by the Senate and, therefore, are not recommended by the Committee:

California: NS Mare Island, UEPH	$6,600,000
Connecticut:	
Naval Hospital Groton, hospital expansion	8,900,000
NSB New London, operational trainer facility	4,250,000
Florida: NAS Whiting Field, parking apron	2,100,000
North Carolina: MCAS Cherry Point, engine test cell	9,700,000
Pennsylvania: NSY Philadelphia, electrical distribution system	12,600,000

Budgeted projects.—The following projects were requested as part of the budget but have not been authorized by the Senate and, therefore, are not recommended by the Committee:

California:	
NAS North Island, maintenance hangar	[1] $5,190,000
NSB San Diego, gym	[1] 2,950,000
PSA San Diego, pay and personnel support office	[1] 2,270,000
NS Treasure Island, brig	17,600,000
District of Columbia: Navy Yard, administrative offices	19,750,000
Illinois: NPWC Great Lakes, public works shop conversion	[1] 890,000
Virginia: NAS Oceana, land acquisition	[1] 7,700,000
Diego Garcia: NSF Diego, lighted navigational range	[1] 495,000
Greece: NCS Nea Marki, receiver building	[1] 3,950,000
Guam: Naval communication area, Theater	[1] 1,880,000
Iceland:	
NS Keflavik:	
Operational trainer facility	[1] 3,160,000
Terminal equipment building	[1] 2,620,000
Italy:	
NAS Sigonella:	
Automative vehicle maintenance shop	[1] 1,340,000
Land acquisition	[1] 990,000
Maintenance hangar	[1] 7,300,000
Worldwide: Planning and design	[1] 17,000,000
General reduction	[1] 80,000,000

[1] Reduction also taken by House.

OTHER PROGRAM CHANGES

Authorization additions.—The following unbudgeted projects were included in the Senate authorization bill. These projects are of a high priority and supported by the Committee for inclusion in this bill as follows:

Connecticut: NSB New London, applied instruction building	[1] $6,800,000
Maine: NSY Portsmouth, engineering management building	11,800,000
Mississippi:	
NAS Meridian:	
ARM/DEARM pads	1,300,000
Roads	690,000
Nevada:	
NAS Fallon:	
Maintenance hangar	5,000,000
UEPH	12,900,000
UOPH	8,100,000
Aircraft direct fueling station	3,700,000

See footnote at end of table.

South Carolina:	
NSY Charleston:	
Logistics support facility	$5,800,000
Waterfront services support building	1,830,000
NS Charleston, fleet support center	6,300,000

[1] Included in House bill.

Various projects—House reductions to cost estimates.—The Committee recommends full funding for the projects listed below which were reduced by the House because of concern over cost estimates and sizing. The Committee has reviewed each of these projects and believes the estimates provided by the Navy to be justified. The projects are as follows:

Arizona: Marine Corps Air Station, Yuma, UEPH	$10,740,000
California:	
Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton, UEPH	23,900,000
Marine Corps Recruit Depot, San Diego, UEPH	9,920,000
Pacific Missile Test Center, Point Muga:	
Electrical and electronics system laboratory	12,700,000
UEPH	6,650,000
Hawaii: Naval Submarine Base, Pearl Harbor:	
UEPH	12,500,000
UOPH	4,940,000
Maryland: Naval Academy, Annapolis, boat repair facility modernization	1,960,000
Virginia: Atlantic Fleet Headquarters Support Activity, Norfolk, operations command center addition	24,700,000
Iceland: Naval Station, Keflavik, combined operations center	4,780,000
Italy: Naval Air Station, Sigonella, UCPH	2,910,00
Spain: Naval Station, Rota:	
Engine test cell	5,800,000
UOPH	3,910,000

Various locations—Defense access roads.—The Committee has provided full funding for three projects in this account as follows:

California: Naval hospital, San Diego	$2,200,000
Connecticut: Naval Submarine Base, New London	800,000
Michigan: NRTF, Republic	1,000,000

The House denied funds for the San Diego, CA, and Republic, MI, projects. The road in San Diego is the main road into the new hopsital, and funding has been planned for several years to accomplish this project. It is vital to the operation of this $293,000,000 facility to be complete in spring 1985. Once completed the road will be maintained by the local community. The House denied the funds for the project at Republic, MI, because of injunction over the facility. That injunction was lifted in June 1984 and clears the way for the construction activities.

In addition, the House voiced concern over the operation of the defense access roads account and proposed to do away with the lump sum account and treat projects as individual line items. Because of the complexity of the management of this account since the Department of Transportation has control over the funds under title 23 United States Code 210, the Committee supports leaving the account as it is presently operated.

California: Marine Corps Air Ground Combat Center, Twentynine Palms—Battalion headquarters.—The House denied funding for this $1,900,000 project. The Committee believes that the justification for the project supports the need for the facility in that it will allow the Marine Corps to stop leasing 30 trailers being used for administrative space at this rapidly growing facility. The Committee recommends full funding of $1,900,000 for the facility.

California: Marine Corps Air Station, El Toro—Land acquisition.—The Committee supports the $4,750,000 requested for this project denied by the House. The Committee understands that the Marine Corps has already considered an easement, as suggested by the House, for this property and that acquisition was determined to be in the best interests of the Government.

California: Naval Submarine Base, San Diego—Pier extension.—The Committee supports the $25,900,000 requested for this project to accommodate a drydock which can handle the SSN 688 class submarines being homeported at San Diego. The House denied the funds stating that other facilities were available to do the work being planned at this facility. The locations on the west coast which could handle these submarines are heavily committed to overhauls, and in addition, no commercial or Government drydock in southern California is certified to work on nuclear submarines. This project is vitally important to meet the needs of the 600-ship Navy.

Hawaii: Naval Shipyard, Pearl Harbor—Service shops.—The Committee agrees with the House and has included $16,000,000 for this previously authorized project.

Georgia: Naval Submarine Base, Kings Bay—Community impact assistance.—The Committee agrees with the House reduction of $200,000 from this account and supports an appropriation of $4,700,000. The Committee is pleased with the continued goodwill being expressed between the local communities and the Departments of Defense and Navy with regard to impact in the Kings Bay area.

As provided in Public Law 96–418, impact funds are being provided to the local communities. During fiscal year 1984, it was determined that there were no existing Federal programs sufficient to meet the cost of providing increased municipal services and facilities required for the Kings Bay area. Therefore, the Committee is pleased that the Department of Defense, through the Office of Economic Adjustment, is in the process of finalizing a direct granting system in order to provide community impact assistance moneys, as approved by Congress, to the Kings Bay area governments beginning in fiscal year 1985. Such a system will enhance the integrity of this program while assuring that needed governmental facilities will be in place in order to serve the people moving into the Kings Bay area. These grants are made following an extensive review of project requests by the local impact assistance coordinating committee, the Department of Defense, and the Congress.

Georgia: Naval Submarine Base, Kings Bay.—The Committee has agreed with the proposals brought forth in the revised budget which deletes or reduces funding for three projects.

The Committee has approved $23,200,000 of the $45,200,000 requested for dredging at Kings Bay. The Committee understands that the additional funds will be requested in future programs.

The additional funds—$59,700,000 for the TRIDENT training facility which was authorized in fiscal year 1984; and $23,800,000 for utilities and site improvements—have been deleted from the bill. However, the Committee understands that both of these projects will be completed using available resources. The Committee directs that the Navy notify the Committee of its intention to proceed with the projects at the appropriate time and inform the Committee of the source of funds for the projects.

Maine: Naval Air Station, Brunswick—Land acquisition.—The Committee supports the $2,170,000 requested for this project. The land to be acquired for this facility is presently being leased, but will be sold to outside sources if the Navy does not purchase it. The location is a remote, wooded area in central Maine which is used for survival training for Navy personnel. Other areas are not available.

Mississippi: Naval Air Station, Meridian.—The Committee is aware of the need for a training services building at Meridian to develop and implement training for the use of micro-computers for applications aboard deploying units and at shore installations. The Navy is directed to proceed with design on this facility to insure that the project is included in the fiscal year 1986 military construction budget request.

Oregon: Homeporting.—The Navy has considered, but never actually conducted, a study of homeporting potential in Oregon. In an effort to explore both the strategic and financial benefits which might be realized by such a designation the Committee directs the Navy to conduct such a study and make available its findings by March 1, 1985. The Committee notes that the Navy has made inquiries into a Portland-based shipyard and learned that considerable improvements have been made in the cost competitiveness of its ship repairs costs. This is one of a gamut of potential benefits which should be investigated by the Navy in Portland and the other potential homeports such as Astoria and Coos Bay. In conjunction with the study the Navy is encouraged to solicit information from the numerous locally based organizations, such as the chamber of commerce, the Portland City Club, the League of Oregon Cities, and the Navy League of Oregon, regarding the availability of housing, medical facilities, and the like.

Pennsylvania: Philadelphia Naval Shipyard—Access road.—The Committee understands the need for an access road project at the intersection of 26th Street and Penrose Avenue (access to the Philadelphia Naval Base complex). This project consists of widening, modification of traffic signals, channelization, and construction of a ramp for eastbound traffic on Penrose Avenue leading north onto 26 Street. The Committee directs that this project be included as part of the fiscal year 1986 military construction budget.

Pennsylvania: Philadelphia Naval Shipyard (PNSY)—Electrical distribution system.—The Committee acknowledges the importance of the Philadelphia Naval Shipyard's electrical distribution system which is now 40 years old and in desperate need of modernization. The PNSY is one of America's most important military facilities. Yet, its aged electrical system is being called upon to modernize our fleet's most important vessels with the latest equipment. The shipyard is experiencing on a near-regular basis severe power outages which affect costs, delay production, and threaten worker safety. The House has appropriated $12,600,000 for the modernization of the PNSY's electrical distribution system. The Committee has not been able to provide funds because the project is not authorized in the Senate. The Committee will give strong consideration to the project during conference with the House if the request receives approval by the authorization conferees.

South Carolina: Naval Supply Center, Charleston—Data processing center.—The Committee recommends $2,700,000 for this project denied by the House. There is no existing space on the base, as suggested by the House, to accommodate this facility. The project is designed and programmed to accept new computer systems scheduled for delivery during fiscal years 1985 and 1986. Therefore, there is an urgency to provide the facility as soon as possible.

Virginia: Naval Safety Center, Norfolk—Administrative building.—This $3,640,000 facility is needed to provide adequate space for this function which is presently operated out of 40-year-old buildings. The Committee is aware of the desperate need for administrative space in the Norfolk area and has thus approved this project denied by the House. The safety center has extensive computer systems which were damaged recently when an old steam line ruptured during the night. The Committee supports the new facility, but suggests that the Navy demolish the old facility when it is vacated. As with the other services, the Navy must remove old facilities from its inventory which are no longer practical to maintain.

Washington: Back tax liability.—The Committee has been made aware of a matter involving back tax liabilities resulting from *Washington* v. *United States* 103 S. Ct. 1344 (1983). The Committee understands that the Navy, as well as several other Federal agencies, is negotiating a settlement directly with the State of Washington on this matter. The Committee believes it to be in the best interest of all parties concerned to reach a negotiated settlement as quickly as possible. The Committee understands that authority for the funding of this liability may be required. The Department of the Navy, after reaching a negotiated settlement, should seek the necessary authority and funding in the earliest appropriate legislation.

Spain: Naval Station, Rota—Hospital.—The Committee recommends full funding of $18,400,000 for this project. The House denied the facility questioning the need for expansion and renovation of a section built in 1973. The newer section (1973) would require minor modifications in this project; however, the remainder of the facility is structurally unsafe. In fiscal year 1983, 1,621 admissions were made to Rota for a total of 8,157 bed days. The new facility will serve an estimated population of 11,000 U.S. personnel and would be able to accommodate 600 cases which are annually referred to other military medical facilities. The Committee notes with interest the cooperation between the United States and Spain on this project. The country-to-country agreements allow for normal bidding procedures only in Spain, but because of the complexity of the project and the U.S. equipment involved the project will be advertised in both countries thus allowing U.S. competition. Since the project is being designed by a U.S. architect-engineer firm, there appears to be a fair advantage for U.S. contractors.

Spain: Naval Station, Rota—Pier No. 1 extension.—The Committee recommendation for this project is $7,600,000. This project is being jointly funded by the United States and Spain on a 50–50 basis and will be used on a joint basis. The Government of Spain has already begun construction of this facility based on an agreement entered into through the Department of State. The Committee applauds the host nation support being offered by Spain on this project which will allow the U.S. Navy to dock an aircraft carrier—the only such location in that area of the world.

United Kingdom: Fleet Operational Command Center, London—Modernization of facilities.—The House denied the $2,620,000 requested for this project and urged that NATO funding be obtained. The Committee has restored the full request because this project supports a mission which is not assigned to NATO in wartime. This project is vitally needed and long overdue to modernize this important command center with new equipment consoles, electrical power, ventilation, and physical security features.

United Kingdom: Naval activity, London—Fleet hospital facilities (RAF Locking).—The Committee recommends the $6,620,000 for this project which the House denied because of lack of authorization. The project will support a large program which has been ongoing, with congressional support, for several years. It provides contingency hospital facilities for U.S. troops in Europe. The Committee is aware of the strong support for this and similar projects from the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs who has suggested that these facilities should be given priority over new hospital construction in the United States.

Overseas: Various locations—NATO infrastructure support.—The $2,790,000 requested for this project provides the Navy with the funds necessary to pay small portions of NATO funded projects which do not include a unique U.S. requirement. The House denied the funds and suggested the funds be reprogrammed. This has been done for the past 2 years; however, the Navy has already accepted an $80,000,000 general reduction as well as allowing available funds to complete projects at Kings Bay. The committee believes that the request should be funded at the $2,790,000 level.

Minor construction.—The Committee agrees with the House that two small projects included in its bill should be funded through the minor construction account. The projects are a fire station at NSGA Skaggs Island, CA, ($560,000) and road construction at NWS Concord, CA, ($500,000).

Iceland: NS Keflavik, fuel pier (fiscal year 1984 supplemental).—The Senate, in its authorization for military construction for fiscal year 1985, included $30,000,000 for this project which was submitted to Congress for consideration as a supplemental in fiscal year 1984. The House, pending final action on the supplemental, is expected to include the funding in that legislation. The Committee has included the funds in this bill to insure that the project is funded pending the outcome of the authorization conference.

SANTA MARGARITA WATER PROJECT

Fiscal year 1984 appropriation	$0
Fiscal year 1985 request	142,000,000
House allowance	0
Committee recommendation	1,500,000

The House denied funds for this major water project at Camp Pendleton, CA, because the project was not authorized by the House. The Committee has recommended that amount necessary for the initial construction during fiscal year 1985. This is a multiyear project for which funds should be requested as needed.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 1984 appropriation to date	$1,410,993,000
Fiscal year 1985 request	2,165,400,000
House allowance	1,543,225,000
Committee recommendation	1,600,718,000

The Committee has recommended an appropriation of $1,600,718,000 for the Air Force in fiscal year 1985. The recommendation amounts to a decrease of $564,682,000 from the budget request and an increase to the House recommendation of $57,493,000.

Specific program changes reflected in the Committee recommendation are discussed below: (See project listing at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

AUTHORIZATION REDUCTIONS

House adds.—The following projects were added by the House but have not been authorized by the Senate and, therefore, are not recommended by the Committee:

Alabama: Maxwell AFB, alter dorms	$1,800,000
Arkansas: Blytheville AFB, alter taxiway barrier	960,000
California: Mather AFB, vehicle maintenance building	5,800,000
Florida: Eglin AFB Auxilliary Field 9, high explosive research center	4,500,000
Kansas: McConnell AFB, VOQ	3,500,000
New York: Griffiss AFB, range	750,000
Washington:	
Fairchild AFB:	
Aircraft maintenance facility	4,900,000
Security policy facility	2,600,000
Squadron operations facility	4,000,000

Budgeted projects.—The following projects were requested as part of the budget but have not been authorized by the Senate and, therefore, are not recommended by the Committee:

Alaska: Clear, UPH	[1]$4,400,000
Arkansas:	
Blytheville AFB, ECIP/EMCS	[1]2,100,000
Little Rock AFB, family support facility	[1]300,000
California:	
Edwards AFB, add/alter headquarters center command post	$980,000
George AFB, ECIP-install radiant heaters	480,000
Colorado:	
Lowry AFB, cold storage facility	[1]2,500,000
USAF Academy, add/alter BCE facility	[1]305,000
Florida:	
Eglin AFB Auxilliary Field 9, consolidated support center	5,750,000
Homestead AFB, VOQ	[1]1,500,000
MacDill AFB, add/alter recreational library	[1]510,000
Tyndall AFB, aircraft general purpose shop	3,450,000
Idaho: Mountain Home AFB, OTH-B building	[1]18,000,000
Illinois: Scott AFB, communications command headquarters	19,400,000
Louisiana: England AFB, BCE administrative facility	1,700,000
Maryland: Andrews AFB, ECIP/EMCS	[1]960,000
Michigan: Wurtsmith AFB, library	700,000
Missouri:	
Whiteman AFB:	
Missile operations facility	2,200,000
Recreation center	1,650,000
Montana: Malmstrom AFB, add/alter gym	1,500,000
Nebraska: Offutt AFB, central security control	[1]1,400,000
Nevada:	
Nellis AFB:	
Add/alter base access road	[1]2,800,000
Land acquisition/parallel taxiway	[1]4,800,000
Relocate main gate/roads	[1]2,500,000
New York: Plattsburgh AFB, alter UEPH	2,900,000
North Dakota:	
Grand Forks AFB:	
Family support center	[1]320,000
Small arms range	1,300,000
Minot AFB:	
Add/alter gym	560,000
Alter UEPH	4,350,000
ECIP/EMCS	[1]1,850,000
Ohio: Wright-Patterson AFB, addition to Air Force Museum	5,400,000

See footnote at end of table.

Texas:	
Bergstrom AFB:	
ECIP	[1] $1,100,000
Dyess AFB, military personnel support center	[1] 4,350,000
Goodfellow, ECIP/EMCS	[1] 1,550,000
Randolph AFB, UOPH	2,700,000
Utah: Hill AFB, industrial area security support	[1] 1,600,000
Washington: Fairchild AFB, UEPH	5,800,000
Belgium: Florennes, GLCM-open mess	[1] 2,580,000
Germany:	
Bitburg AFB, aircraft battle damage repair facility	[1] 3,150,000
Hahn AFB:	
Aircraft battle damage repair facility	[1] 3,150,000
Family support center	[1] 200,000
UEPH	[1] 800,000
Wenigerath, munitions security lighting	[1] 590,000
Guam:	
Anderson AFB:	
Alter UEPH	6,900,000
Small parts corrosion control facility	892,000
Honduras: Palmerola, forward munitions storage area	[1] 1,500,000
Italy: Comiso, GLCM-open mess	[1] 3,710,000
Japan: Kadena AB, aircraft support equipment storage facility	[1] 930,000
Korea: Kimhae AB, 3rd echelon medical contingency complex	[1] 12,600,000
Philippines: Clark AB, family support center	[1] 300,000
Spain: Torrejon AB, 4th echelon medical contingency complex	[1] 3,600,000
Turkey:	
Incirlik AB:	
Igloos	[1] 3,400,000
Post office	[1] 430,000
Warehouse	[1] 2,200,000
United Kingdom:	
RAF Mildenhall, aircraft parking apron	[1] 9,200,000
RAF Wethersfield, jet fuel storage	[1] 4,300,000
Overseas: Classified location, alternate war headquarters	[1] 7,850,000

[1] Reduction also taken by House.

OTHER PROGRAM CHANGES

Authorization additions.—The following unbudgeted projects were included in the Senate authorization bill. These projects are of a high priority and supported by the Committee for inclusion in this bill as follows:

California:	
McClellan AFB:	
Communications electrical facility	[1] $6,300,000
Education, training, and employment facility	[1] 3,600,000
Integrated support facility	[1] 11,200,000
Georgia: Robins AFB, hazardous materials storage facility	9,000,000
Indiana:	
Grissom AFB:	
Aircraft maintenance shop	[1] 1,100,000
BCE complex	[1] 4,300,000

See footnote at end of table.

Michigan:	
K.I. Sawyer AFB:	
Aircraft parking	$600,000
ALCM igloo	2,500,000
Wurtsmith AFB:	
Aircraft parking	600,000
ALCM igloo	2,500,000
Mississippi: Keesler AFB, electrical distribution	3,000,000
Nebraska: Offutt AFB, UEPH	5,400,000
New Mexico:	
Cannon AFB, weapons maintenance facility	1,700,000
Holloman AFB, water lines—boles wells	3,200,000
North Dakota: Minot AFB, hospital	27,500,000
South Dakota:	
Ellsworth AFB:	
Security policy facility	[1]4,550,000
Vehicle maintenance shop	[1]4,900,000
Wyoming: F. E. Warren AFB, MX impact funds	5,940,000

[1] Included in House bill.

California: Vandenberg AFB—STS launch support facility.—The Committee recommends $3,650,000 for this facility in support of the west coast STS launch site. The House reduced the project by $2,500,000 stating that an engineering office building ($820,000) and access roads ($1,630,000) were duplications of existing facilities, unnecessary and/or excessive in cost. The roads provide a north access road to the site needed in case of emergency as well as providing a second route for ingress/egress of heavy equipment, security vehicles, and so forth. The small office building will be used to house personnel directly associated with a launch who would have to be provided leased temporary facilities if this building is not provided. The Committee believes the projects to be fully justified.

California: Vanderberg AFB—South base powerplant.—The Committee has provided $15,950,000 for this facility deferred by the House pending a study to determine if commercial power sources were available. The Air Force has completed such a study and it is evident that the powerplant is necessary because of the unique requirements associated with the STS launch complex at Vandenberg.

Colorado: Peterson AFB—Space Command Headquarters.—The Committee recommends the $25,000,000 requested for this important facility. The Committee believes the facility to be sized to meet the current staffing of the Space Command. The Committee further understands that should a Unified Space Command be established that any additional staff required will be accommodated within this facility.

Colorado: USAF Academy, Colorado Springs—Add to and alter gymnasium.—The Committee supports the $10,000,000 request for this project at the Air Force Academy. This project will allow for additional space in almost every area of the gymnasium which has not been renovated since cadet population increased and women were admitted to the academy. The Committee disagrees with the House proposal to defer funding and redesign at a lesser scope.

Colorado: USAF Academy, Colorado Springs—Add to and alter cadet dining hall.—The Committee recommends $13,100,000 for this vitally needed project. The Committee is disturbed that the Air Force offered this project in the budget revision as it clearly should have been of higher priority than some other projects which were not deferred. This project will allow for expansion of the original dining hall built some 25 years ago at the academy prior to the increase in the size of the student body.

Florida, MacDill AFB—Satellite communications ground terminal.—The Committee approves $2,600,000 for this facility which will provide Readiness Command and Central Command with access for worldwide, jam-resistant, secure conference communications that are independent of the terrestrial, common user secure voice networks. The amount approved reflects a $1,000,000 reduction from the budget request which was presented in the May budget revisions.

Maine: Loring AFB—Composite medical facility.—The Committee recommends $24,900,000 for a new hopsital at remote Loring Air Force Base. The House reduced the request by $4,900,000 and suggested rescoping the size of the facility. The Committee understands that the House was provided inaccurate information on the daily use of the facility and believes the request as submitted is fully justified.

Mississippi: Keesler AFB—Defense access roads.—The Committee directs the Air Force to initiate planning and design in cooperation with the Military Traffic Management Command [MTMC] for defense access roads at Keesler Air Force Base. The roads include West Howard Avenue, Forrest Avenue, Division Street, the entrance to gate 1, and Rodenburg Avenue. These projects, as appropriate, should be included in the fiscal year 1986 military construction budget.

North Dakota: Dickinson—Strategic training range complex housing.—The Committee continues to be concerned about housing acquisition by the Air Force in conjunction with a site of the strategic training range complex to be located near Dickinson, ND. The Committee is aware of the vast inventory of unoccupied housing in the Dickinson community and directs the Air Force to utilize this existing housing for personnel, including those unaccompanied, associated with the site.

South Carolina: Shaw AFB—Add to and alter gymnasium.—The Committee has funded $2,100,000 for this project deferred by the House. Although the project was among those included in the budget revision, the Committee believes the project is necessary and as with other projects in the budget revision approved funding within budgetary guidelines.

Texas: Brooks AFB—Directed energy laboratory.—The Committee recommends the budget request of $1,550,000 for this facility. The House reduced the request 50 percent and suggested the facility was overscoped. The Committee has reviewed the justification and supports the Air Force request.

Virginia: Langley AFB—Antisatellite system facilities.—The Committee recommends $16,500,000 for the various facilities associated with the antisatellite system at Langley Air Force Base. The House deferred the funding pending a decision on the procurement of the actual system. This decision is still pending before the House and Senate conferees on the Defense authorization bill. Therefore, none of the funds appropriated for antisatellite system facilities at Langely Air Force Base may be obligated until the Committees on Appropriations have been notified that funding is authorized and appropriated for the antisatellite system to be housed in the facilities.

Wyoming: F. E. Warren AFB—MX missile facilities.—The Committee has approved $106,110,000 for facilities to support the deployment of the MX at Warren AFB, WY. Further detail on the Committee's recommendation for MX can be found earlier in this report under items of special interest.

CONUS: Various locations—Real estate for ground wave emergency network.—The Committee has restored $1,200,000 deferred by the House for this project. The Committee understands that the Air Force can now provide the Committees with a list of the locations involved with the request.

Belgium: Florennes—GLCM facilities.—The Committee has approved two facilities to support personnel being assigned to Florennes during the initial deployment phase of the GLCM. The projects are a facility for the Armed Forces Radio and TV Service at $720,000 and a library at $570,000. Funding for these projects, however, should come from the Air Force's minor construction account.

Italy: Comiso—Various GLCM facilities.—The Committee has deferred the $700,000 requested for a child care center at Comiso which is consistent with the Committee recommendation to defer funding for family housing and the school at this location. Three other projects recommended by the Committee should be funded using available minor construction funds:

Armed Forces Radio and TV facility	$1,155,000
Clothing sales store	510,000
Library	210,000

Japan: Misawa AB—Commando port support, phase II.—The Committee recommends the full budget request of $18,000,000 for the facilities to support the F-16 beddown at Misawa AB. The Committee is aware that the Japanese Government has provided funding to support this beddown additive to their normal cost-sharing program for facility construction.

Netherlands: GLCM facilities.—The Committee has agreed with the House and deferred without prejudice funding requested for GLCM support facilities in the Netherlands. Recent decisions by the Government of the Netherlands have delayed the need for the $79,000,000 requested for these facilities in fiscal year 1985.

Philippines: Clark AFB—Passenger terminal.—The Committee has not recommended the $4,200,000 requested for this facility. The Committee understands that the facility is overscoped for the actual number of personnel that are handled through the facility.

Oman: Various locations—Central Command support facilities.—The Committee has deferred without prejudice $37,900,000 for various facilities in Oman in support of the Rapid Deployment Force. The Committee agrees with the House on the questionable need for so-called facility accommodation support (prepositioned latrines, laundry facilities, and so forth) at a contingency facility. The Committee suggests that the other facilities—semihardened aircraft shelters and a taxiway/dispersal pavement project—be considered for NATO funding or seek host nation support since the Government of Oman will use the facilities except during a U.S. contingency operation.

Turkey: Incirlik AB—2d echelon medical storage facility.—The Committee has recommended $1,150,000 for this facility which was denied by the House because of no House authorization.

Turkey: Mus AB—Various facilities.—The Committee has provided $24,500,000 for facilities to upgrade the airbase at Mus, Turkey to support U.S. contingencies. While these facilities are NATO eligible, the Committee believes they are important to the degree that they require prefinancing from U.S. funds for military construction. The Committee has, however, taken an offset in the NATO infrastructure account to provide funds for these facilities.

United Kingdom: RAF Greenham Common—Library.—The Committee has approved the library project requested for Greenham Common. The funding for this project should come from within available appropriations for minor construction.

United Kingdom: Various locations—Minimum essential facilities.—The Committee supports the House position on the denial of $16,700,000 for these facilities at colocated operating bases. The projects are NATO eligible and should be funded through that source.

Overseas: Various locations—Chemical warfare protection.—The Committee has approved $13,400,000 for projects associated with chemical warfare protection for various Air Force facilities. The Air Force's unique fly and flight posture from a home base must be protected in the event of chemical attack. This protection is not NATO eligible, and the Committee understands that the United States is far behind our allies in providing this protection for our personnel.

Worldwide: Minor construction.—The Committee recommends the full budget request of $21,000,000 for this account. The Committee believes that this amount can be fully obligated during fiscal year 1985.

Worldwide: Minor land acquisition.—This account is funded at $200,000 as requested. It provides for minor land purchases and is fully justified.

Worldwide: General reduction.—The Committee has taken a general reduction of $110,000,000 from the Air Force. This is the amount offered by the Air Force in the budget revision.

Military Construction, Defense Agencies

Fiscal year 1984 appropriation	$281,802,000
Fiscal year 1985 request	459,500,000
House allowance	310,008,000
Committee recommendation	381,010,000

The Committee has recommended appropriations totaling $381,010,000 for projects considered within the defense agencies account. The amount recommended reflects an increase of $71,002,000 to the House figure and a decrease of $78,490,000 to the budget request.

Specific program changes reflected in the Committee recommendation are discussed below: (See project listing at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

Authorization reductions.—The House denied funding for the following projects which were deleted or reduced by the House authorization committee. The Senate authorization included these projects which the Committee believes are justified and, therefore, recommends funding as follows:

California: (DLA) DPDO San Diego—Hazardous material/waste facility	$900,000
District of Columbia: (OSD) Fort McNair—Land acquisition	5,022,000
Maryland:	
(NSA) Baltimore—Appraiser's store	7,500,000
(NSA) Fort Meade—SAB 3 expansion	4,680,000
Worldwide: Minor construction	4,000,000

OFFICE, SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

California: Defense Language Institute, Monterey—Physical fitness center; recreation center.—The Committee approves full funding for both of these projects. The physical fitness center at $9,800,000 and the recreation center at $2,400,000 were reduced in price and scope by the House because the facilities were thought to be overdesigned for the projected student load at the institute. The Committee believes these projects to be fully justified and continues its support of improving facilities at this important location.

New Mexico: White Sands Missile Range—High energy laser systems test facility.—The Committee recommends $9,000,000 for this important facility. The House deleted the funds requested for a vacuum chamber to permit testing of items using the MIRACL high energy laser at the White Sands test facility. The House denied this funding thinking that the chamber design had not progressed sufficiently to permit an accurate cost estimate, and because of the perception of previous cost overruns. This project is planned as a single-step effort, with the detailed designer also responsible for construction after review by the Government. The request for proposals for this effort is nearing completion, with delivery scheduled for July 1984. This document constitutes at least 35 percent design completion, so that the concern of the House regarding design status can be satisfied in the immediate future. Confidence in the cost estimate has been increased by comparing the proposed project with similar facilities presently under construction for industry, with the current estimates comparing favorably with the actual costs of construction of the industrial chambers. The project is critically needed to test the effectiveness of continuous-wave and high-repetition-rate lasers against materials, subassemblies, and small assemblies in a vacuum environment such as might be experienced at high altitudes or low Earth orbit, and will substantially assist in understanding the potential of lasers as candidate systems in the strategic defense initiative.

Planning and design.—The Committee has restored the $5,000,000 deleted by the House from this account. The Committee believes the budget request to be the best estimate available for the needs of the agencies during fiscal year 1985.

General reduction.—The House made a general reduction to this account in the amount of $44,250,000. The Committee has reviewed this account and does not believe that such funds are currently available to cover such a reduction. However, the Committee does support a general reduction of $20,000,000 from the defense agencies account. The Committee directs that $10,000,000 of this be taken from within funds for the Department of Defense schools request.

DOD-DEPENDENT SCHOOLS

Japan: Camp McTureous and Zuckerman, Okinawa—Elementary school projects.—The Committee recommends $9,300,000 for these projects which were deleted by the House. The House suggested that the projects be funded by the Government of Japan as part of its cost-sharing program. The Committee understands that the Government of Japan currently has ongoing construction (for U.S. forces) totaling about $148,000,000. These schools are necessary to prevent extreme overcrowding at existing schools and possibly double sessions.

Italy: Comiso—Elementary/high school.—The Committee defers without prejudice the $13,600,000 requested for this project. The Committee has also deferred new family housing for Comiso and, therefore, there is no need for the school at this time.

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

Korea: Defense fuel support point, Pohang—Fuel tankage.—The Committee approves $15,800,000 for this important project denied by the House. The House suggested that the Government of Korea fund this project. The Committee strongly supports the concept of host nation funding; however, the Committee realizes that not all projects can be accomplished in this manner. The Republic of Korea Government continues to contribute substantially to the development of numerous U.S. facilities throughout Korea. This project is vitally needed to replace severely deteriorated and leaking aboveground tanks with underground storage. It is the understanding of the Committee that this project cannot be included in the fiscal year 1985 Korean funding plan and should be replaced without further delay.

NATO INFRASTRUCTURE

Fiscal year 1984 appropriation	$50,000,000
Fiscal year 1985 request	296,700,000
House allowance	131,700,000
Committee recommendation	107,200,000

The Committee recommendation for the NATO infrastructure account is $107,200,000. This amount is $24,500,000 below the revised President's budget and the House allowance. The Committee has taken this reduction as an offset toward NATO eligible projects at Mus AB, Turkey which the Committee believes are strategically important. The Turkey projects requested this year and those approved last year are being prefinanced by the United States. Detail on the Committee's recommendation for this account is discussed earlier in the report under "Items of Special Interest."

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, GUARD AND RESERVE COMPONENTS

Fiscal year 1984 appropriation	$303,013,000
Fiscal year 1985 request	390,800,000
House allowance	402,223,000
Committee recommendation	406,600,000

The Committee recommends $406,600,000 for military construction projects for the Guard and Reserve components. This amount is $15,800,000 above the budget request and $4,377,000 above the House recommendation.

Individual component recommendations are as follows:

Component	Budget request	Committee recommendation
Army National Guard	$88,900,000	$96,900,000
Air National Guard	102,900,000	110,700,000
Army Reserve	70,400,000	70,400,000
Naval Reserve	60,800,000	60,800,000
Air Force Reserve	67,800,000	67,800,000

Specific program changes reflected in the Committee recommendation are discussed below: (See project listing at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

PROGRAM CHANGES

ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Authorization reductions.—The following projects were added by the House but have not been authorized by the Senate and, therefore, are not recommended by the Committee:

Massachusetts: Dorchester, armory	$1,600,000
Ohio: Akron/Canton Airfield, aviation support facility	3,770,000
Oklahoma: Holdenville, armory	900,000

Program decrease.—The Committee has deleted funding for the following projects that were approved by the Committee in a May 1984 reprogramming action: (The House has taken similar action.)

Alabama: Montgomery: 400 person armory	$1,070,000
Florida: Plant City: 100 person armory	883,000
Illinois: Marseilles: 100 person armory	1,000,000
Montana: Havre: 60 person armory	776,000
North Dakota: Camp Grafton (Devils Lake): Training facilities, phase II	1,732,000
South Carolina: Clinton: 100 person armory	911,000
South Dakota: Rapid City: U.S. property and fiscal warehouse/maintenance shop addition	745,000
Tennessee:	
Elizabethton: 200 person armory	955,000
Gallatin: 60 person armory	716,000
Savannah: 60 person armory	727,000
Wisconsin: Kenosha: 200 person armory	1,141,000
Wyoming: Cheyenne: Organizational maintenance shop	357,000
Total	11,013,000

Program increase.—The Committee has added, using existing authorization, $10,900,000 to the Army National Guard account to insure that funds are available for projects transferred from fiscal year 1984 to fiscal year 1985 (see program decrease above). The House has taken similar action. These actions will provide the source of funds for those projects deferred until fiscal year 1985.

Minor construction.—The House reduced the Army National Guard's minor construction account from the requested $19,053,000 to $8,000,000. The Committee has restored the House reduction. The Committee is satisfied that a valid requirement exists for the full request which will begin to accomplish a serious backlog of minor construction projects.

ARMY RESERVE

American Samoa—Reserve center.—The Committee has restored the $3,094,000 deleted by the House for this project. The Committee believes this project is fully justified and notes with interest that the land for this facility has been provided at no cost by the Government of Samoa.

West Virginia: Ripley, Army Reserve center.—The Committee is aware of the need for alteration and of expansion of the Army Reserve center at Ripley, WV. The Committee suggests that once the project is designed and meets other established criteria that a reprogramming, using available resources, would be given favorable consideration.

AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Authorization reduction.—The following project was added by the House but has not been authorized by the Senate and, therefore, is not recommended by the Committee:

New York: Stewart Airport, phase IV construction	$10,500,000

General reduction.—The House proposed a $10,000,000 general reduction from the Air National Guard. The Committee does not support such a reduction and has restored the House reduction.

Georgia: 224th Combat Communications Squadron, St. Simons Island.—The Committee has been made aware of discussions being held concerning the relocation of the 224th Combat Communications Squadron from their present facilities on St. Simons Island to a location on the mainland at Glynco Jetport in Brunswick.

The Committee believes that further consideration should be given to this proposal as it appears there are advantages to both the local government which is interested in the present facilities and to the Air National Guard. The current facilities are crowded and located in an area which because of weather conditions might be difficult to deploy from on short notice. Also, unit equipment cannot be taken out of the small airport near the squadron facilities. Glynco Jetport, at one time a naval air station, can handle the aircraft necessary to deploy this unit. The Committee further understands that plans are being made to provide some additional facilities at the current location in the near term and thus consideration should be given to this move prior to that construction.

The Committee is aware of regulations concerning the exchange of land and facilities in situations such as this one at St. Simons Island. However, the Committee understands that the county government is ready to discuss providing the land necessary at Glynco Jetport to meet the current and future needs of this unit.

Therefore, the Committee directs the Air National Guard and the National Guard Bureau to begin negotiations with the Glynn County government concerning the relocation of this unit. The Committee further directs that a detailed report concerning all aspects of such a move be provided to the Committee not later than February 1, 1985. In addition, the Committee directs that if the parties involved reach an agreement on such a move that design on new facilities should proceed immediately using available Air National Guard resources, including a reprogramming if necessary.

West Virginia: Charleston and Martinsburg ANG bases.--The Committee endorses the "Land Use Master Development Plan" for the Air National Guard bases at Charleston and Martinsburg, WV. This plan was outlined to the Committee by departmental witnesses during testimony at a Committee hearing on March 23, 1984. The Committee believes that this plan is the best way to accelerate the full utilization, development, and expansion of these bases, including the receipt of additional aircraft should they become available.

NAVAL RESERVE

Authorization reduction.—The following project was added by the House but has not been authorized by the Senate and, therefore, is not recommended by the Committee:

Illinois: NAS Glenview, runway overlay	$3,000,000

FAMILY HOUSING OVERVIEW

NEW CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends $163,814,000 for new construction of family housing units. Of this amount, $500,000 is for the establishment of a mobile home park; $140,180,000 is for 1,718 new units within the United States at an average cost per unit of $81,595; and $23,133,000 is for 256 new units overseas at an average cost per unit of $90,363.

The Committee has noted in past years its concern over the high cost of family housing new construction. The per-unit costs, however, are within the average costs of new construction within the private sector. In most instances, however, the cost of land is not a part of the per-unit costs associated with military family housing.

The House has again taken reductions from the requests for each project in the budget. The Committee has restored these reductions because it is the understanding of the Committee that the cost estimates submitted by the services for the projects were based on a jointly developed cost estimate model designed to reflect actual cost experience, and represent the lowest prudent estimate.

The Committee in past years has agreed with the House in some reductions for new construction costs. However, the Committee believes that the fiscal year 1985 budget submission reflects the best cost estimates present in the Congress in several years.

Over and above the actual amounts which will be appropriated for fiscal year 1985, the Committee is concerned about the future of family housing new construction costs. The turnkey method of contracting in the minds of some, is supposed to keep costs down for construction. The Committee is not convinced of this with the family housing account.

The Committee understands that the turnkey method of contracting for new family housing has been used for about 25 years. In recent years, the Committee has heard from many small contractors who are not able to compete in the turnkey method, but who claim they can provide better and less costly family housing. And in many instances, there appears to be some validity to such claims.

Another issue which comes to light frequently with regard to new family housing construction is the issue of standard design. It would seem prudent to have a basic design for various types of military family housing whether it be single-family, duplex, multifamily units, or even garden apartment styles. The Committee has heard from military families throughout the world that one of the biggest problems faced by military families in moving is never knowing what type and size of unit they will be provided.

These two issues—turnkey contracting and standard design—are cause for concern for the future of the family housing construction program. They must be addressed. Therefore, the Committee directs that the Secretary of Defense (or his representative) establish a panel with representatives from the appropriate agencies under his direction to review the entire military family housing construction program. The appropriate Committees of Congress should be kept informed of this panel's operation.

The Committee believes that this panel should review costs for new construction for military family housing versus similar private sector units; turnkey contracting; standard design; and any and all other aspects involved in family housing construction including how new construction needs are determined and how this applies to benefits such as the variable housing allowance and rent-plus. Also, the impact of the housing assurance program and the needs for housing at locations which have in the past been designated for unaccompanied tours should be reviewed.

The Committee has purposely made the general direction of this proposed panel vague to allow the Department flexibility in this review. However, the Committee expects to be notified not later than December 1, 1984 of the membership of the panel and its basic outline for proceeding. The Committee is aware that such a review could take some time and certainly not be completed for review during the budget hearings on the fiscal year 1986 program. In this light, the Committee suggests that a minimum of new construction be in order, except overseas for fiscal year 1986. This would allow time for a careful review of the program which the Committee believes is badly needed. The Committee is willing to work with the Department to insure that our military personnel are provided the best housing for the best price.

MANUFACTURED HOUSING

The Committee supports the provision included in the fiscal year 1984 Military Construction Authorization Act requiring that 90 percent of all new military family housing units overseas be U.S. manufactured/factory built. The Committee also supports the language included in the House report for the fiscal year 1985 Military Construction Appropriation Act concerning this issue.

In light of this, the Committee has deferred the funding for new family housing at Comiso, Italy because the Government of Italy has not yet agreed to allow U.S.-manufactured housing at this location.

The Committee agrees with the House that the Departments of Defense and State must continue to pursue agreements to permit this new housing.

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The Committee recommends $160,102,000 for this account which will continue to address the growing number of older housing units in the inventory of the services. Improvements on these types of units are a cost-effective way to provide adequate housing without replacement costs.

The Committee recommendation for construction improvements is in agreement with that amount authorized by the Senate, including those units which are above the threshold on individual unit improvements.

The Committee agrees with the House that the improvement program is not a listing "exemplary of the kinds of work to be accomplished." The Committee feels, however, that the semiannual reporting requirements instituted last year needs a longer test before additional limitations and reporting requirements are deemed appropriate. The Committee also agrees with the House on the need for tracking per-unit expenditures which exceed $30,000 in any 3-year period. But the Committee feels that additional limitations requiring the services to report in advance will slow program execution. The Committee directs the services to report as part of any future justification material all work planned for 3 years on any unit scheduled for improvement, and to report it as part of the semiannual execution report whenever more than $30,000, or a higher amount if approved by Congress, is expended on any unit.

Foreign source assets.—The Committee agreed with the House in the fiscal year 1983 Conference to limit the upgrade of foreign source units to $30,000 per unit. The Committee is also sympathetic with the Department's phased programming, combining like types of work, to reduce unit downtime and cost. The Committee supports the House clarification on the $30,000 foreign source limit to the extent that all known upgrade requirements for a unit should be identified at the time any improvement funds are requested. However, the limitation prohibiting funding of any portion of the upgrade work in prior or subsequent years is unnecessary, and could prevent economic and efficient project execution. The Committee also agrees that the $30,000 ceiling on foreign source unit upgrade may be too restrictive and that projects should be reviewed on a case-by-case basis.

The Committee does not concur with the House proposal to classify the expenditure of more than $5,000 per unit, per year on foreign source units from the maintenance account as an improvement. This action would clearly force the services to unnecessarily restrict maintenance to stay within this threshold, resulting in inefficient and uneconomical contracting. This, in turn, causes less than proper stewardship of U.S. Government assets.

Program execution.—The Committee also recognizes the need to encourage more timely execution of the improvement program. However, a 95-percent project execution rate as proposed by the House is too restrictive. Imposition of such stringent obligation goals will not necessarily achieve the intent of the House report, but in fact could result in more inefficient execution. This Committee feels that awarding 85 percent of the projects identified in the end-of-year construction reports will provide a sufficient level of obligations, while permitting prudent execution of the program.

OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE

The Committee has recommended $1,118,988,000 for operations and $1,186,171,000 for maintenance of family housing.

The Committee has restored various reductions proposed by the House in the areas of pay adjustments, inflation, currency revaluation plus certain program reductions. The services' budget requests were made consistent with prescribed Federal budget policy concerning salary and inflation computations and corresponded to current economic assumptions. The House has not taken issue with any of these assumptions. The Committee believes that the House expectation that the services should implement whatever measures are required to meet propose reductions does not consider the reductions the services have been making through better management practices. The Committee is concerned that reductions would result in decreased program scope and would impede the progress desired toward the reduction of the huge maintenance and repair backlog. The Committee has restored these reductions within Senate-authorized levels.

Reprogramming criteria.—The Committees established new reprogramming levels by report language which accompanied the fiscal year 1984 Military Construction Appropriations Act. The House has proposed to modify this language to make it more restrictive. The Committee feels that there has not yet been a sufficient test of the criteria since not even a year has passed since it took effect. It is the Committee's feeling that any changes should be delayed in order to attain further experience with the criteria through fiscal year 1985. During consideration of the fiscal year 1986 budget, the Committee will be better able to ascertain whether or not further changes in the reprogramming criteria are necessary.

Operations.—The Committee agrees with continuing the reprogramming criteria within the operations account established last year. The Committee, however, does not agree with changing the reporting requirements from "a timely basis" to a requirement for a prior approval reprogramming. The Committee continues to support the concept of limiting the transfer of funds within the operations account established last year but for purposes of relative balance proposes to drop the dollar limit in favor of a 10 percent increase to any subaccount.

This Committee does not believe that budgetary estimates can be expected to achieve accuracy to within 1 percent as proposed by the House when they involve largely uncontrollable factors such as utilities. The services implemented last year's reprogramming criteria by establishing restrictive fiscal controls and midyear budget execution reviews. With less than a full year's experience, the Committee understands there is doubt whether the current restrictions between subaccounts are workable; however, timely information and reprogramming requests have been provided to the Committees.

Maintenance.—Because of alleged abuses in maintenance and repair expenditures in excess of $15,000 outside of those identified in justification material, the House has directed that the services anticipate expenditures on all units and continue to report details of any proposed expenditure which exceeds $15,000 per unit, per year. In addition, the House has directed the services to report actual expenditures per this same criteria for fiscal years 1983 and 1984. During the fiscal year 1983 Appropriation Conference, the Committee agreed that review of specific proposed expenditures was warranted to provide needed oversight of the rising cost of maintaining the aging housing inventory. The services adequately responded in fiscal years 1984 and 1985 justification material, submitting listings of projects which were estimated to exceed the $15,000 per unit threshold. Since the Committee did not request a comprehensive maintenance and repair report for fiscal year 1983, a meaningful comparison of costs with what was submitted cannot now be accomplished nor are such detailed cost accounting reports available. In addition, the Committee did not request notification of additional unforeseen/unplanned projects which were required to be performed. At the time of the report, the best projection of planned or anticipated repairs was furnished; however, by its nature, a majority of maintenance and repair work is performed when a system or structural portion has failed or is failing. The House focus on monitoring anticipated expenditures is intended to promote better management, but consideration must be given to allow timely accomplishment of unforeseen/necessary repairs. Recognizing that the services do not now have a requirement or capability to track cost by individual dwelling unit (except those included in their general and flag officer housing reporting systems) establishing an actual expenditure reporting system as proposed by the House would require additional resources and time to implement. One service, the Army, estimates a $6,700,000 requirement to manually generate and maintain the report data and considerably more resources to develop, test, implement, and maintain an automated system for tracking this level of data detail. The Committee does not support such added overhead expenditures, anticipating no real benefit. The Committee believes that continuing the review of anticipated maintenance expenditures in excess of $15,000 per unit, per year provides sufficient oversight. The source of any real problem on high expenditure rates is likely to be limited to a small number of units. Congressional oversight of expenditures on these units can be accomplished through budget justification material now required, and by adding a requirement to include unit expenditures data in the semiannual improvement program execution report. To that end, the services are directed to notify the Committees on Appropriations whenever expenditures for improvements, maintenance and repair (excluding day-to-day maintenance and repair and excluding work performed beyond the 5-foot line) exceeds $15,000, or a higher amount if approved by Congress. In the absence of contract documentation assigning expenditures to any and all units under contract, per unit assignment of expenditures should equal total contract expenditures divided by total number of units under contract.

Operation and maintenance reporting.—The House also proposed expanding congressional involvement in family housing account execution by establishing a $25,000 limit on operation and maintenance expenditures for all units in any one year unless specifically approved; and directing that a list of units where expenditures will exceed this threshold in fiscal years 1984 and 1985 be submitted for approval prior to September 1, 1984. The Committee does not support such a limit, considering reporting of maintenance and repair projects exceeding $15,000 per unit, per year to be sufficient. Additional accounting systems to monitor and control obligations and expenditures at the unit level of detail would be costly to implement. Prorating costs down to individual units to obtain prior congressional approval would delay needed work. Further, only a very small portion of the housing inventory would approach the $25,000 ceiling proposed by the House, primarily those units which are included in a major maintenance and repair project. One service, the Army, estimates the initial cost to establish and implement a manual method of reporting preparation worldwide could be in an excess of $11,000,000 in addition to added annual operating costs. Development and maintenance of an automated system would cost much more. Therefore, the Committee believes that sufficient controls have been instituted through congressional review of any maintenance and repair expenditure over $15,000 per unit, per year and does not support additional restrictions on, and reporting of, combined operations and maintenance expenditures to the Committees for prior approval.

LEASING

The Committee has provided $196,070,000 for leasing of military family housing. This figure reflects the authorized level. The Committee supports the effort to reduce leasing costs whenever possible and to build new where cost analysis shows new construction to be less expensive.

The Committee supports the housing assurance program/domestic leasing program as being implemented by sections 801 and 802 of the fiscal year 1984 Military Construction Authorization Act.

The Committee agrees with the House that notifications of intent to proceed on this program should contain a full review of the various bids received.

With regard to foreign leases, the Committee has restored the reductions taken by the House because of what the House believes are excessive costs which are forced upon the Department of Defense by Department of State standards. The Committee believes that there is reason to be concerned over the high cost of some leases for military personnel assigned to U.S. Embassies; however, the budget request is needed for those leases in effect. The Committee urges the Department of State to carefully review new leasing agreements and insure that the agreements reached are the least costly to the United States and yet provide adequate housing for our personnel.

The House directed that the Office of the Secretary of Defense develop and implement consistent methodology for assessing the cost effectiveness of all future foreign lease agreements and forward this methodology to the House prior to submitting further title X lease requirements. The Committee agrees with the House that the Department should continue efforts to refine and implement consistent methodology, but feels the services should continue submission of title X lease requests in the interim.

HISTORIC QUARTERS

The Committee agrees with the House concerning the need to have the Department develop a plan to economically operate and maintain historic family quarters identified in this year's hearings. However, the Committee believes that House direction to prepare and submit a plan by December 31, 1984, to identify long-term operation, maintenance, repair, or renovation costs on all historic quarters over $15,000 per unit, per year will not permit adequate time for a comprehensive plan. Historic units planned for maintenance and repair expenditures exceeding $15,000 per unit, per year in fiscal year 1986 must be identified in the fiscal year 1986 budget and should include a long-range plan for their continued use. A comprehensive plan for future use of all historic units should be submitted with the fiscal year 1987 budget.

FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY

Fiscal year 1984 appropriation	$1,266,703,000
Fiscal year 1985 request	1,425,454,000
House allowance	1,338,752,000
Committee recommendation	1,335,612,000

The Committee recommends $1,335,612,000 for family housing, Army in fiscal year 1985 which is $89,842,000 below the budget request and $3,140,000 below the House recommendation.

NEW CONSTRUCTION

The Committee has approved $6,121,000 for the construction of 80 new units at the Sierra Army Depot in California. This amount is $853,000 below the budget request and reflects an authorization reduction. The amount deleted by the Committee does not apply to the new construction requested, but to the acquisition of land or for fencing as recommended by the Senate.

Three other projects, recommended by the House, have not been authorized by the Senate and, therefore, no funds are provided:

Texas: Fort Hood (20 units)	$1,950,000
Germany:	
Babenhausen (106 units)	7,620,000
Mainz (186 units)	18,233,000

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The Committee has recommended the Senate authorized level of $88,822,000 for this account.

PLANNING AND DESIGN

The Committee recommends $13,915,000 for this account as requested in the budget and approved by the House.

GENERAL REDUCTION

The Committee has taken a general reduction to the post acquisition construction account as was done in the Senate authorization bill. This $20,000,000 reduction was offered by the Army in the revised budget.

OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, AND LEASING

The Committee approves $1,224,837,000 for these accounts which is the Senate authorized level. The amounts reflect an increase over House allowances and were discussed earlier in the report in the general family housing chapter.

FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY

Fiscal year 1984 appropriation	$638,626,000
Fiscal year 1985 request	727,886,000
House allowance	674,368,000
Committee recommendation	686,897,000

The Committee recommends $686,897,000 for family housing, Navy in fiscal year 1985 which is $40,989,000 below the budget request and $12,529,000 above the House allowance.

NEW CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends $96,511,000 for new construction of family housing units for the Navy and Marine Corps as follows:

Alaska: Naval Station, Akak (405 units)	$53,107,000
California: Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton (760 units) (including Sterling Park)	41,004,000
Virginia: AEGIS combat systems center, Wallops Island (28 units)	2,400,000

The Committee deferred funding for 100 units at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba because the project was not authorized by the Senate.

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The Committee recommends $9,000,000 for the account. This amount was agreed to by the House and was the budget request level.

PLANNING AND DESIGN

The Committee recommends $8,400,000 for the planning and design account. This level is consistent with the budget request and the House recommendation.

OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, AND LEASING

The Committee recommends $547,750,000 for these accounts which is the Senate authorized level. The amounts reflect an increase to the House allowances as discussed earlier in the report in the general family housing chapter.

FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 1984 appropriation	$855,811,000
Fiscal year 1985 request	1,058,632,000
House allowance	882,134,000
Committee recommendation	847,994,000

The Committee recommends $847,994,000 for family housing, Air Force in fiscal year 1985 which is $210,638,000 below the budget request and $34,140,000 below the House recommendation.

NEW CONSTRUCTION

The Committee has approved $60,489,000 for new construction of family housing units for the Air Force as follows:

California: Fort MacArthur (140 units)	$16,500,000
Massachusetts: Hanscom AFB (mobile home park)	500,000
Montana: Conrad AFS (40 units)	3,705,000
Wyoming: Warren AFB (265 units)	17,343,000
United Kingdom: RAF Greenham Common (250 units)	22,241,000

The Committee has deferred funds at four locations because the projects were not authorized by the Senate:

Korea: Osan AB (utilities expansion)	$2,700,000
United Kingdom:	
RAF Alconbury (300 units)	27,410,000
RAF Bentwaters (200 units)	21,963,000
Germany: Classifed location (250 units)	24,086,000

Two other projects requested by the Air Force—Belgium (400 units) and Comiso, Italy (576 units)—have been deferred by the Committee. Both of these projects support the deployment of the ground launched cruise missile [GLCM]. The Committee agrees with the House decision to defer the funds in Belgium because they are premature in their request. At Comiso, the Committee has deferred the project because it has come to the Committee's attention that the Government of Italy has not agreed to allow U.S. manufactured housing to be installed at this location.

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The Committee has recommended $62,173,000 for this account which reflects the Senate authorized level.

PLANNING AND DESIGN

The Committee recommendation is the same as the House at $5,000,000.

GENERAL REDUCTION

The Committee has taken a $20,000,000 general reduction from the post acquisition construction account as recommended by the Senate authorization bill.

OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, AND LEASING

The Committee recommends $710,352,000 for these accounts. This amount reflects the Senate authorized level and is an increase over the House allowance which is discussed earlier in the report in the general family housing chapter.

FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE AGENCIES

Fiscal year 1984 appropriation	$17,841,000
Fiscal year 1985 request	19,800,000
House allowance	17,291,000
Committee recommendation	19,300,000

The Committee recommends $19,300,000 for family housing, Defense Agencies in fiscal year 1985 which is $500,000 below the budget request and $2,009,000 above the House recommendation.

NEW CONSTRUCTION

The Committee has approved $693,000 for the construction of six new units of housing at a classified location for the National Security Agency.

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The Committee supports the budget request level and House approved level of $107,000 for this account.

OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE, AND LEASING

The Committee recommends $18,500,000 for these accounts which is the budget request level. The accounts show increases to the House recommendations details of which are discussed earlier in the report in the general family housing chapter.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

The Committee has made no changes to sections 101 through 119 of the general provisions included in the House bill. Other changes are as follows:

Section 120. The Committee has deleted this section which was included by the House and prohibits construction contracts over $5,000,000 in Japan and NATO countries which did not increase defense spending by at least 3 percent in 1983 unless said contracts require that all installed equipment be manufactured in the United States. The House took this action to express concern, shared by the Senate, that certain of our allies are not assuming their share of the defense burden. It is estimated that such equipment that would be procured in the United States for projects covered by section 120 is about $25,000,000 in fiscal year 1985. The Committee understands that this provision will cause delays of projects in those countries where bilateral agreements specify that local materials and equipment will be used where feasible because negotiations will have to be conducted to obtain exceptions to the existing procedures. The complicated construction process will be made more complex. In addition, U.S.-procured equipment would have to be modified to operate under host nation specifications (electrical), and there would be transportation costs associated with this equipment. These additional costs could raise project costs as much as 4 percent in the fiscal year 1985 program, or about $12,000,000.

While the Committee shares the House's concern over our allies defense spending, it does not believe it to be in the best interest of the Military Construction Program to impose such restrictions on the Department of Defense. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense, in cooperation with the Department of State, to review all bilateral agreements concerning construction and to insure that U.S. contractors and equipment are given every consideration to compete, particularly in those countries which are not meeting the 3-percent annual growth in defense spending as supported by NATO.

Section 121. The Committee has deleted this provision added by the House. The provision deals, as with section 120, with the Congress' concern over our allies not increasing their defense spending by 3 percent in 1983. Again, the Committee shares the basic concern expressed by this provision, but believes that causes problems in the execution of the program. The Committee understands that only 10 projects are involved under this provision; however, problems arise in relation to jointly funded projects, particularly those where the architect-engineer is hired by the host nation under NATO procedures.

The Committee repeats its concern expressed in language about section 120. Architect-engineer firms from the United States and in joint venture with U.S. firms should be given every consideration to obtain appropriate contracts. Again, Defense and State should review bilateral agreements to insure the intent of this language.

Section 122. The Committee has deleted this section included by the House which is related to construction projects associated with the master restationing plan in Germany. This issue was discussed earlier in the report under items of special interest.

Section 123. The Committee supports the concept of the language included in the House bill which involves an American Preference Program in the Pacific. However, the Committee has modified the language to allow for some technical concerns as voiced by the Defense Department. The new language is as follows:

> SEC. 120. None of the funds appropriated in this Act or any other Act for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific and on Kwajalein Island, may be used to award any contract estimated by the Government to exceed $5,000,000 to a foreign contractor: *Provided*, That this section shall not be applicable to contract awards for which the lowest responsive bid of a United States contractor exceeds the lowest responsive bid of a foreign contractor by greater than 20 per centum.

This allowance for U.S. contractors is similar to one already included in this bill (sec. 111) which applies to dredging in the Persian Gulf.

Section 124. The Committee has deleted the provision included by the House and included a modified version. The section concerns Brooke Army Medical Center at Fort Sam Houston, TX, and was discussed earlier in the report under the Army chapter. The new language is as follows:

> SEC. 121. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, funds appropriated for the design of the renovation of, and addition to, Brooke Army Medical Center at Fort Sam Houston, Texas, are also available for the design of a replacement facility. The Secretary of the Army shall enter into a contract for the design of this replacement facility not later than one hundred and eighty days after the date of enactment of this Act.

Section 125. Renumbered as section 122.

Section 126. The Committee has deleted this provision which was added on the House floor during bill consideration. The provision reduces the bill by about $25,000,000. The provision was included because the Grace Commission report claims that the Government could save money through the increased use of performance specifications. The Committee notes that during the floor debate on this provision the chairman of the House Appropriations Subcommittee on Military Construction indicated that this provision was premature in that the services are testing the use of performance specifications for selected projects.

The use of such a procedure on all projects in fiscal year 1985 would require that projects be redesigned. In addition, the provision is an across-the-board reduction and applies to accounts which are not for construction.

The Committee supports the Department's efforts to test the use of performance specifications and looks forward to the outcome of the effort. However, the Committee believes this provision will cause severe problems at this time in the execution of the program included in this bill.

NEW PROVISIONS

Section 123. The Committee has included this provision because it was inadvertently left out of the fiscal year 1984 bill and only recently brought to the attention of the Appropriations Committees. A similar provision will be included in the fiscal year 1984 supplemental appropriations bill. It is a technical amendment involving the family housing accounts. The language is as follows:

> SEC. 123. Unexpended balances in the Military Family Housing Management Account established pursuant to section 2831 of title 10, United States Code, as well as any additional amounts which would otherwise be transferred to the Military Family Housing Management Account during fiscal year 1985, shall be transferred to the appropriations for Family Housing provided in this Act, as determined by the Secretary of Defense, based on the sources from which the funds were derived, and shall be available for the same purposes, and for the same time period, as the appropriation to which they have been transferred.

Section 124. The Committee has included this provision which is identical to a provision in last year's act. The section provides that funds appropriated in this act may not be made available to any nation that the President certifies to Congress is not taking adequate steps to cooperate with the United States in stemming the flow of narcotic drugs and other controlled substances into this country. The text of the provision is as follows:

> SEC. 124. (a) None of the funds appropriated in this Act may be available for any country if the President determines that the government of such country is failing to take adequate measures to prevent narcotic drugs or other controlled substances cultivated or produced or processed illicitly, in whole or in part, in such country, or transported through such country, from being sold illegally within the jurisdiction of such country to United States personnel or their dependents, or from being smuggled into the United States. Such prohibition shall continue in force until the President determines and reports to the Congress in writing that—

> (1) the government of such country has prepared and committed itself to a plan presented to the Secretary of State that would eliminate the cause or basis for the application to such country of the prohibition contained in the first sentence; and
>
> (2) the government of such country has taken appropriate law enforcement measures to implement the plan presented to the Secretary of State.

(b) The provisions of subsection (a) shall not apply in the case of any country with respect to which the President determines that the application of the provisions of such subsection would be inconsistent with the national security interests of the United States.

Section 125. The Committee has included this provision which was adopted on the Senate floor during consideration of last year's bill and was incorporated into the fiscal year 1984 act. The section places a limit on expenditures for consultants and consulting services. The text of the provision is as follows:

> SEC. 125. Of the total amount of budget authority provided for fiscal year 1985 by this Act that would otherwise be available for consulting services, management and professional services, and special studies and analyses, 10 per centum of the amount intended for such purposes in the President's budget for 1985, as amended, for any agency, department or entity subject to apportionment by the Executive shall be placed in reserve and not made available for obligation or expenditure: *Provided*, That this section shall not apply to any agency, department or entity whose budget request for 1985 for the purposes stated above did not amount to $5,000,000.

Section 126. The Committee has included a sense of the Congress provision included in past acts pertaining to increased defense spending by our allies. The provision is as follows:

> SEC. 126. It is the sense of the Congress that the administration should call on the pertinent member nations of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization and on Japan to meet or exceed their pledges for at least a 3 per centum real increase in defense spending and furtherance of increased unity, equitable sharing of our common defense burden, and international stability.

OTHER CHANGES

Transfer authority.—The Committee has included transfer authority within the Defense Agencies to allow the Secretary of Defense to use his contingency funds. This language was inadvertently dropped from last year's act.

Santa Margarita water project.—The Committee has included language under the Navy account to allow for the obligation of funds recommended in this bill for the Santa Margarita water project at Camp

Pendleton, CA. The House did not include this language because authorization for the project was not provided by the House.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
ALABAMA					
ARMY					
ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT					
HEALTH CLINIC	1,550	---	1,550	---	1,550
TANK TEST TRACK MODIFICATION	2,950	---	2,950	---	2,950
FORT MCCLELLAN					
DINING FACILITIES MODERNIZATION	1,700	---	1,700	---	1,700
TRAINING RANGES	1,500	---	1,500	---	1,500
UPGRADE RANGE FACILITIES	3,100	---	3,100	---	3,100
REDSTONE ARSENAL					
GUIDED MISSILE FACILITY	1,900	---	1,900	---	1,900
FORT RUCKER					
FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	2,600	---	2,600	---	2,600
AIR FORCE					
GUNTER AFB					
COMPUTER FACILITY	9,500	---	9,500	---	9,500
MAXWELL AFB					
ADD/ALTER COMPOSITE MEDICAL FACILITY	480	---	480	---	480
ALTER DORMS	---	---	1,800	---	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
MAXWELL AFB					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	1,700	---	1,700	---	1,700
FORT MCCLELLAN					
TEST SITE OPERATIONS BUILDINGS	1,000	---	1,000	---	1,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
CLAYTON					
ARMORY 60 PERSON	552	---	552	---	552
MONTGOMERY					
ARMORY 400 PERSON	1,070	---	---	---	---
US PROPERTY & FISCAL OFC/WAREHOUSE ADD/ALT	740	---	740	---	740
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
DANNELLY FIELD					
OPERATIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	1,100	---	1,100	---	1,100
ARMY RESERVE					
BIRMINGHAM					
ADD TO ARMY RESERVE CTR/MAINTENANCE SHOP	3,134	---	3,134	---	3,134
AIR FORCE RESERVE					
MAXWELL AFB					
ADD TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS	1,035	---	1,035	---	1,035
RESERVE FORCES A-E TRAINING	690	---	690	---	690
TOTAL, ALABAMA	36,301	---	37,031	---	35,231
ALASKA					
ARMY					
FORT RICHARDSON					
BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	4,200	---	4,200	---	4,200
CHILD CARE CENTER ADDITION	1,000	---	900	---	900
DINING FACILITIES MODERNIZATION	2,150	-2,150	---	---	1,700
SHEMYA AFB					
GUIDED MISSILE FACILITY	12,800	---	12,800	---	12,800
NAVY					
NAVAL FACILITY ADAK					
BASE SUPPORT FACILITIES	3,900	---	3,900	---	3,900
NAVAL SECURITY GROUP ACTIVITY ADAK					
ANTENNA SUPPORT FACILITIES	320	---	320	---	320
NAVAL STATION ADAK					
CHAPEL & RELIGIOUS EDUCATION BUILDING	5,140	---	5,140	---	5,140

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
AIR FORCE					
BURNT MOUNTAIN AFS					
SEISMIC OPERATING AND DATA TRANSMIT FACIL	1,400	---	1,400	---	1,400
CLEAR					
UNACCOMPANIED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,400	---	---	-4,400	---
EIELSON AFB					
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,550	---	3,550	---	3,550
AVIONICS SHOP	4,400	---	4,400	---	4,400
CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR	8,500	---	8,500	---	8,500
ECIP-WEATHERIZE BLDGS/REPLACE LIGHTING SYS	2,000	-400	1,600	-400	1,600
ENGINE SHOP	3,250	---	3,250	---	3,250
REPAIR & RECLAMATION HANGAR	3,150	---	3,150	---	3,150
ELMENDORF AFB					
ADD TO NATURAL GAS LINE	2,163	---	2,163	---	2,163
ADD TO SATELLITE COMMUNICATION GROUND TERM	2,900	-1,000	1,900	-1,000	1,900
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT STORAGE FACIL	1,850	---	1,850	---	1,850
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	5,400	---	5,400	---	5,400
GALENA AIRPORT					
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	11,800	---	11,800	---	11,800
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
DEFENSE FUEL SUPPORT POINT ADAK					
FUEL TANKAGE	6,730	---	6,730	---	6,730
DEFENSE FUEL SUPPORT POINT WHITTIER					
FUEL TANKAGE	12,170	---	12,170	---	12,170
DEFENSE PROPERTY DISPOSAL OFFICE ANCHORAGE					
COVERED STORAGE	1,800	---	1,800	---	1,800
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
KOTZEBUE					
ARMORY 60 PERSON	3,391	---	3,391	---	3,391
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	857	---	857	---	857
TOTAL, ALASKA	109,221	-3,550	101,171	-5,800	102,871
ARIZONA					
ARMY					
FORT HUACHUCA					
GENERAL INSTRUCTION BLDG	3,900	---	2,900	---	3,900
HEATING AND COOLING SYSTEM UPGRADE	520	---	520	---	520
LIBRARY	1,250	---	1,250	---	1,250
YUMA PROVING GROUND					
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	1,300	---	1,300	---	1,300
NAVY					
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION YUMA					
COLD STORAGE WAREHOUSE	660	---	660	---	660
MISSILE EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,900	---	1,900	---	1,900
PARACHUTE AND SURVIVAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	790	---	790	---	790
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	10,740	---	9,000	---	10,740
AIR FORCE					
DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB					
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE AREA LIGHTING	1,550	---	1,550	---	1,550
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	5,300	---	5,300	---	5,300
BASE CIVIL ENGINEER MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	2,400	---	2,400	---	2,400
SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	3,150	---	3,150	---	3,150
LUKE AFB					
ALTER BASE SUPPORT CENTER	4,500	---	4,500	---	4,500
AVIONICS SHOP	2,450	---	2,450	---	2,450
ECIP-INSTALL RADIANT HEATERS	210	---	210	---	210
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,400	---	4,400	---	4,400
WATER WELLS & STORAGE TANK	2,100	---	2,100	---	2,100

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
WILLIAMS AFB					
PETROLEUM OPERATIONS COMPLEX	2,500	---	2,500	---	2,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
MARANA					
ARMORY 200 PERSON	1,365	---	1,365	---	1,365
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	3,591	---	3,591	---	3,591
FLIGHT WEAPONS SIMULATOR BLDG PH I	1,508	---	1,508	---	1,508
TRAINING FACILITIES PH II	2,191	---	2,191	---	2,191
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
SKY HARBOR IAP					
MEDICAL TRAINING & ADMIN FACILITY	900	---	900	---	900
TOTAL, ARIZONA	59,175	---	56,435	---	59,175
ARKANSAS					
ARMY					
PINE BLUFF ARSENAL					
BINARY MUNITIONS FACILITY - PHASE II	10,200	---	---	$	---
WATER TREATMENT PLANT	2,550	---	2,550	---	2,550
AIR FORCE					
BLYTHEVILLE AFB					
ALERT TAXIWAY BARRIER	---	---	960	---	---
ECIP-ENERGY MONITORING & CONTROL SYSTEM	2,100	-2,100	---	-2,100	---
PRECISION MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT LAB	540	---	540	---	540
LITTLE ROCK AFB					
ALTER AIRCONDITIONING-COMPOSITE MEDICAL FA	490	---	490	---	490
FAMILY SUPPORT FACILITY	300	-300	---	-300	---
MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT MAINT SHOP	1,100	---	1,100	---	1,100
UPGRADE BLACKJACK DROP ZONE ACCESS ROAD	600	---	600	---	600
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
FT SMITH					
COMPOSITE TELECOM/MEDICAL TRAINING & ADMIN	1,300	---	1,300	---	1,300
TOTAL, ARKANSAS	19,180	-2,400	7,540	-2,400	6,580
CALIFORNIA					
ARMY					
FT IRWIN					
AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING BUILDING	3,900	-3,900	3,900	---	3,900
BIVOUAC FACILITY WITH DINING	1,950	---	1,950	---	1,950
COLD STORAGE FACILITY	---	---	---	---	---
DENTAL CLINIC	3,300	---	3,300	---	3,300
ELECTRONICS/COMMUNICATIONS REPAIR SHOP	500	---	500	---	500
FLOOD PROTECTION AT SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT	990	---	990	---	990
LAND ACQUISITION	2,100	---	2,100	---	2,100
MILITARY PERSONNEL & FAMILY SERVICE CENTER	650	---	650	---	650
MULTI-PURPOSE TRAINING RANGE	1,800	---	1,800	---	1,800
SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT EFFLUENT DISPOSAL	780	---	780	---	780
VEHICLE FUEL DISTRIBUTION	1,950	---	1,950	---	1,950
OAKLAND ARMY BASE					
CHILD CARE CENTER	730	---	657	---	657
ENERGY EFFICIENT LIGHTING	1,150	---	1,150	---	1,150
INSULATE BUILDINGS	860	---	860	---	860
FORT ORD					
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	860	---	860	---	860
DINING FACILITIES MODERNIZATION	700	---	700	---	700
MILITARY OPERATIONS IN URBANIZED TERRAIN	5,100	---	4,500	---	5,100
WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT	8,300	---	8,300	---	8,300

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
PRESIDIO OF SAN FRANCISCO					
ADMINISTRATION BUILDING..........................	1,000	---	1,000	---	1,000
BARRACKS...	6,600	---	6,600	---	6,600
BARRACKS WITH DINING FACILITY....................	11,400	---	11,400	---	11,400
CHILD CARE CENTER................................	2,200	---	1,980	---	1,980
SHARPE ARMY DEPOT					
WESTERN DISTRIBUTION FACILITY....................	49,000	---	49,000	---	49,000
SIERRA ARMY DEPOT					
INTRUSION DETECTION SYSTEM.......................	4,150	---	4,150	---	4,150
NAVY					
FLEET ANTI-SUB WARF TRNG CTR PAC SAN DIEGO					
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING..........	6,470	---	6,470	---	6,470
FLEET TRAINING CENTER SAN DIEGO					
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING......................	5,250	---	5,250	---	5,250
FLT COMBAT DIR SYS SUPPORT ACT SAN DIEGO					
COMPUTER PROGRAMMING OPERATIONS CENTER............	11,250	---	11,250	---	11,250
MARCORP AIR-GRND COMB CTR TWENTYNINE PALMS					
AMMUNITION STORAGE FACILITIES.....................	4,830	---	4,830	---	4,830
ARMORY...	1,100	---	1,100	---	1,100
BATTALION HEADQUARTERS...........................	1,900	---	---	---	1,900
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION EL TORO					
COMBAT AIRCRAFT ORDNANCE LOADING AREA............	4,880	---	4,880	---	4,880
HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINES.........................	2,690	---	2,690	---	2,690
LAND ACQUISITION.................................	4,750	---	---	---	4,750
SMALL ARMS RANGE - OUTDOOR.......................	680	---	680	---	680
WING HEADQUARTERS................................	4,610	---	4,610	---	4,610
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION TUSTIN					
ENGINE TEST CELL.................................	1,140	---	1,140	---	1,140
MAINTENANCE HANGAR...............................	13,200	---	13,200	---	13,200
OIL SPILL PREVENTION.............................	710	---	710	---	710
MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP PENDLETON					
CHAPEL,RELIGIOUS EDUCATION & INSTR FAC............	2,360	---	2,360	---	2,360
CHILD CARE CENTER................................	2,450	---	2,200	---	2,200
ENLISTED DINING FACILITY MODERNIZATION............	2,610	---	2,610	---	2,610
FUEL STORAGE FACILITY............................	3,340	---	3,340	---	3,340
HAZARDOUS WASTE FACILITY.........................	440	---	440	---	440
MAINTENANCE HANGAR (MCAF)........................	4,700	---	4,700	---	4,700
OPERATIONAL TRAINER FACILITY (MCAF)...............	3,120	---	3,120	---	3,120
SANTA MARGARITA WATER PROJECT....................	142,000	-140,500	---	---	1,500
TACTICAL VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITIES...........	8,500	---	8,500	---	8,500
TACTICAL VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY.............	1,680	---	1,680	---	1,680
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING..........	23,900	---	22,000	---	23,900
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG.......................	3,220	---	3,220	---	3,220
MARINE CORPS LOGISTICS BASE BARSTOW					
SECURITY WAREHOUSE...............................	5,670	---	5,670	---	5,670
MARINE CORPS RECRUIT DEPOT SAN DIEGO					
RECRUIT TRAINING FACILITY........................	8,650	---	8,650	---	8,650
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING..........	9,920	---	9,000	---	9,920
MOUNTAIN WARFARE TRAINING CENTER BRIDGEPORT					
MOUNTAIN WARFARE TRAINING FAC....................	1,480	---	1,480	---	1,480
MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC............................	1,410	---	1,410	---	1,410
NAVAL AIR FACILITY EL CENTRO					
WATER TREATMENT FACILITIES.......................	1,700	---	1,700	---	1,700
NAVAL AIR REWORK FACILITY ALAMEDA					
GUN TESTING FACILITY.............................	3,820	---	3,820	---	3,820
NAVAL AIR REWORK FACILITY NORTH ISLAND					
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS.....................	560	---	560	---	560

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
NAVAL AIR STATION ALAMEDA					
HEATING,VENTILATION, AIR CONDITIONING	4,540	---	4,540	---	4,540
TELEPHONE LINES	690	---	690	---	690
VENTILATION IMPROVEMENTS	580	---	580	---	580
NAVAL AIR STATION LEMOORE					
BOILER PLANT MODIFICATIONS	580	---	580	---	580
NAVAL AIR STATION MIRAMAR					
ENGINE TEST CELL	3,460	---	3,460	---	3,460
NAVAL AIR STATION MOFFETT FIELD					
FIRE PROTECTION PIPELINE	1,730	---	1,730	---	1,730
RAILROAD DOCK	690	---	690	---	690
TAXIWAY IMPROVEMENTS	3,950	---	3,950	---	3,950
NAVAL AIR STATION NORTH ISLAND					
MAINTENANCE HANGAR	5,190	---	---	-5,190	---
OBSERVATION TOWER (SAN CLEMENTE IS)	1,190	---	1,190	---	1,190
NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE CORONADO					
FIBERGLASS AND PAINTING FACILITY	2,100	---	2,100	---	2,100
SPECIAL WARFARE OPERATIONS BUILDING	3,420	---	3,420	---	3,420
NAVAL CONSTRUCTION TRNG CTR PORT HUENEME					
CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT TRAINING BUILDINGS	4,580	---	4,580	---	4,580
NAVAL HOSPITAL OAKLAND					
HOSPITAL MODIFICATION	29,140	---	29,140	---	29,140
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	5,770	---	5,770	---	5,770
NAVAL HOSPITAL SAN DIEGO					
ACCESS ROAD	2,200	---	---	---	2,200
HOSPITAL EQUIPMENT	24,900	---	24,900	---	24,900
NAVAL REGION DATA AUTOMATION CTR SAN DIEGO					
DATA PROCESSING CENTER	15,700	---	15,700	---	15,700
NSGA SKAGGS IS.					
FIRE STATION	---	---	---	(+560)	---
NAVAL SHIPYARD LONG BEACH					
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	3,010	---	3,010	---	3,010
NAVAL STATION LONG BEACH					
CHILD CARE CENTER	1,100	---	990	---	990
SERVEMART	2,670	---	2,670	---	2,670
NAVAL STATION MARE ISLAND					
CONSTRUCTION BATTALION UNIT COMPLEX	1,090	---	1,090	---	1,090
UEPH	---	---	6,600	---	---
NAVAL STATION SAN DIEGO					
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	17,300	---	17,300	---	17,300
NAVAL STATION TREASURE IS SAN FRANCISCO					
BRIG	17,600	-17,600	13,600	-17,600	---
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE SAN DIEGO					
GYMNASIUM	2,950	-2,950	---	-2,950	---
PIER EXTENSION	25,900	---	---	---	25,900
NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER OAKLAND					
HAZARDOUS AND FLAMMABLE STOREHOUSE	7,670	---	7,670	---	7,670
PETROLEUM OIL LUBRICANTS PUMP STATION	1,840	---	1,840	---	1,840
WHARF UTILITIES	7,650	---	7,650	---	7,650
NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER SAN DIEGO					
DEFENSE PROPERTY DISP OFF SCRAPYARD RELOC	1,480	---	1,480	---	1,480
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER SAN DIEGO					
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	8,300	---	8,300	---	8,300
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER CHINA LAKE					
VENTILATION IMPROVEMENTS	630	---	630	---	630
NWS CONCORD					
DEFENSE ACCESS ROADS	---	---	---	(+500)	---
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER SAN DIEGO					
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE	4,870	---	4,870	---	4,870

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
PACIFIC MISSILE TEST CENTER POINT MUGU					
AIRFRAMES SHOP	1,680	---	1,680	---	1,680
ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS SYSTEMS LAB	12,700	---	11,000	---	12,700
UNACCOMP PERSONNEL HOUSING-SAN NICHOLAS IS	6,650	---	4,500	---	6,650
PERSONNEL SUPPORT ACTIVITY SAN DIEGO					
PAY AND PERSONNEL SUPPORT OFFICE	2,270	-2,270	---	-2,270	---
SHORE INTERMEDIATE MAINT ACT LONG BEACH					
SHORE INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	11,700	---	11,700	---	11,700
AIR FORCE					
BEALE AFB					
ADD/ALTER AVIONICS SHOP	1,735	-435	1,300	-435	1,300
REFUELING VEHICLE SHOP	940	---	940	---	940
CASTLE AFB					
AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	4,100	---	4,100	---	4,100
EDWARDS AFB					
ADD/ALTER ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	2,700	---	2,700	---	2,700
ADD/ALTER HQ CENTER COMMAND POST	980	---	980	-980	---
ADD/ALTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING CENTER	4,750	---	4,750	---	4,750
ALTER AVIONICS SHOP	1,400	-1,400	---	---	---
EDUCATION CENTER	800	---	800	---	800
HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	4,050	---	4,050	---	4,050
SYSTEMS ENGINEERING TEST FACILITY	2,000	---	2,000	---	2,000
UPGRADE SEWAGE TREATMENT	1,500	---	1,500	---	1,500
GEORGE AFB					
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE AREA LIGHTING	1,350	---	1,350	---	1,350
BASE SUPPORT CENTER	6,300	---	6,300	---	6,300
ECIP-INSTALL RADIANT HEATERS	480	-480	480	-480	---
MUNITIONS FACILITIES	2,150	---	2,150	---	2,150
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT	550	---	550	---	550
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	7,100	---	7,100	---	7,100
MARCH AFB					
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	5,650	---	5,650	---	5,650
FLIGHT SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY	3,500	---	3,500	---	3,500
MATHER AFB					
ALTER ELECTRICAL SUBSTATION/DISTRIBUTION	3,500	---	3,500	---	3,500
VEHICLE MAINT. BLDG	---	---	5,800	---	---
MCCLELLAN AFB					
COMMUNICATIONS ELEC. FAC	---	---	6,300	+6,300	6,300
ECIP-WEATHERIZE BUILDINGS-ALTER MECHANICAL	410	---	410	---	410
EDUCATION & TRAINING & EMPLOYMENT FAC	---	---	3,600	+3,600	3,600
INTEGRATED SUPPORT FACILITY PHASE	---	---	11,200	+11,200	11,200
TECHNICAL LABORATORY FACILITY	13,091	---	13,091	---	13,091
NORTON AFB					
ADD/ALTER CHILD CARE CENTER	1,200	---	1,080	---	1,080
AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	3,100	---	3,100	---	3,100
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT/EQUIPMENT STORAGE FAC	1,100	---	1,100	---	1,100
FLIGHT SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY	1,250	---	1,250	---	1,250
TRAVIS AFB					
ADD/ALTER CHILD CARE CENTER	1,700	---	1,530	---	1,530
AERIAL REFUELING PART TASK TRAINER	1,000	---	1,000	---	1,000
AIR POLLUTION-VAPOR EMIS CNTL/JET FUEL STR	990	---	990	---	990
COMPOSITE MEDICAL FACILITY - PH II	201,200	-171,200	30,000	---	30,000
VANDENBERG AFB					
FIRE STATION	2,350	-1,000	1,350	-1,000	1,350
PEACEKEEPER-ADD/ALTER SECURITY FACILITY	500	---	500	---	500
STS-LAUNCH SUPPORT FACILITIES	3,650	---	1,200	---	3,650
STS-SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS	1,250	---	1,250	---	1,250
STS-SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	4,700	---	4,700	---	4,700
STS-SOUTH BASE POWER PLANT	15,950	---	---	---	15,950

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
STS-THERMAL PROTECTION	510	---	510	---	510
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	6,000	---	6,000	---	6,000
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
DEFENSE LANGUAGE INSTITUTE - MONTEREY					
CHILD CARE CENTER	2,030	---	2,030	---	2,030
COMPANY ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPLY FACILITY	1,400	---	1,400	---	1,400
DINING FACILITY	2,225	---	2,225	---	2,225
LIBRARY	1,680	---	1,680	---	1,680
LOGISTICS SUPPORT FACILITY	2,930	---	2,930	---	2,930
PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	9,800	---	7,800	---	9,800
RECREATION CENTER	2,410	---	1,400	---	2,410
DEFENSE PROPERTY DISPOSAL OFFICE SAN DIEGO					
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL/WASTE FACILITY	900	---	---	---	900
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
BELL					
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	464	---	464	---	464
CAMP ROBERTS					
AMMO STORAGE FACILITY PH IX	859	---	859	---	859
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
FRESNO AIR TERMINAL					
ADD/ALTER JET FUEL STORAGE	900	---	900	---	900
COMPOSITE MEDICAL TRAINING/SECURITY POLICE	1,500	---	1,500	---	1,500
FUEL SYS MAINT/CORROSION CONTROL DOCK	1,300	---	1,300	---	1,300
ARMY RESERVE					
CHICO					
ADD TO ARMY RESERVE CTR	906	---	906	---	906
MOUNTAIN VIEW					
ADD TO ARMY RESERVE CTR/MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,442	---	1,442	---	1,442
NAVY RESERVE					
NAVAL STATION TREASURE ISLAND					
BERTHING PIER	29,165	---	29,165	---	29,165
AIR FORCE RESERVE					
TRAVIS AFB					
ADD TO RESERVE FORCES OPNS & TRAINING FAC	1,253	---	1,253	---	1,253
TOTAL, CALIFORNIA	1,031,080	-341,735	668,862	-9,805	707,232
COLORADO					
ARMY					
FORT CARSON					
AMMUNITION STORAGE	3,100	---	3,100	---	3,100
FUEL STORAGE	---	---	1,500	+1,800	1,500
OPERATIONS BUILDING	2,600	---	2,600	---	2,600
RANGE ROADS	2,200	---	1,700	---	2,200
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	8,700	---	8,600	---	8,600
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	7,200	---	7,200	---	7,200
UTILITIES	8,000	---	8,000	---	8,000
WAREHOUSE	---	---	1,100	+1,400	1,100
FITZSIMONS ARMY MEDICAL CENTER					
INSULATE BUILDINGS	650	---	650	---	650
AIR FORCE					
FALCON AFS					
MID-CONUS TRACKING STATION	3,000	---	3,000	---	3,000
LOWRY AFB					
COLD STORAGE FACILITY	2,500	-2,500	---	-2,500	---
ECIP-WEATHERIZE/ALTER MECHANICAL & LIGHT	1,320	---	1,320	---	1,320
PETERSON AFB					
NORAD & SPACE COMMAND HEADQUARTERS	25,000	---	19,000	---	25,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
US AIR FORCE ACADEMY					
LAND FEE PURCHASE	---	---	380	+	380
ADD/ALTER BASE CIVIL ENGINEER FACILITY	305	-305	---	-305	---
ADD/ALTER CADET DINING HALL	13,100	-13,100	---	---	13,100
ADD/ALTER CADET GYMNASIUM	10,000	---	---	---	10,000
ADD/ALTER CHILD CARE CENTER	400	---	360	---	360
NAVY RESERVE					
NMCRC DENVER					
RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING	11,000	---	11,000	---	11,000
TOTAL, COLORADO	99,075	-15,905	69,510	+395	99,110
CONNECTICUT					
NAVY					
NAVAL HOSPITAL GROTON					
HOSPITAL EXPANSION	---	---	8,900	---	---
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE NEW LONDON					
ACCESS ROAD	800	---	800	---	800
APPLIED INST. BLDG	---	---	6,800	+6,800	6,800
BERTHING PIER IMPROVEMENTS	2,240	---	2,240	---	2,240
QUAYWALL	5,100	---	5,100	---	5,100
QUAYWALL	2,770	---	2,770	---	2,770
OPERATIONAL TRAINER FAC	---	---	4,250	---	---
SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	890	---	890	---	890
UTILITIES IMPROVEMENTS & LAND ACQUISITION	12,000	---	12,000	---	12,000
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
BRADLEY FIELD					
ADD/ALTER BASE SUPPLY	500	---	500	---	500
ORANGE ANGS					
ADD/ALTER VEHICLE MAINT SHOP	500	---	500	---	500
ARMY RESERVE					
MIDDLETOWN					
150-MBR ARMY RESERVE CTR	1,458	---	1,458	---	1,458
TOTAL, CONNECTICUT	26,258	---	46,208	+6,800	33,058
DELAWARE					
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
GREATER WILMINGTON AIRPORT					
MEDICAL TRAINING & ADMIN FAC	900	---	900	---	900
ARMY RESERVE					
SEAFORD					
ADD TO ARMY RESERVE CTR/MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,206	---	1,206	---	1,206
TOTAL, DELAWARE	2,106	---	2,106	---	2,106
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA					
ARMY					
WALTER REED ARMY MED CTR					
HOSPITAL FOOD SERVICE FACILITY ALTERATION	4,800	---	4,800	---	4,800
NAVY					
COMMANDANT NAVAL DISTRICT WASHINGTON					
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE	19,750	---	18,000	-19,750	---
MARINE BARRACKS WASHINGTON					
BUILDING MODERNIZATION	2,540	---	2,540	---	2,540
NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY WASHINGTON					
FACILITIES ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	1,380	---	1,380	---	1,380
MISSION OPERATIONS SUPPORT CENTER	19,420	---	19,420	---	19,420
OPTICS LABORATORY	10,850	---	10,000	---	10,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
FORT MCNAIR					
LAND ACQUISITION	5,022	---	---	---	5,022
TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	63,762	---	56,140	-19,750	43,162
FLORIDA					
NAVY					
FLEET TRAINING CENTER MAYPORT					
FIREFIGHTING TRAINING FACILITY	6,510	---	6,510	---	6,510
NAVAL AIR REWORK FACILITY JACKSONVILLE					
VENTILATION IMPROVEMENTS	1,410	---	1,410	---	1,410
NAVAL AIR REWORK FACILITY PENSACOLA					
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	690	---	690	---	690
MANUFACTURE AND REPAIR SHOP MODERNIZATION	4,500	---	4,500	---	4,500
NAVAL AIR STATION JACKSONVILLE					
MAINTENANCE HANGAR IMPROVEMENTS	6,560	---	6,560	---	6,560
RELIGIOUS EDUCATION BLDG	840	---	840	---	840
NAVAL AIR STATION PENSACOLA					
CHILD CARE CENTER	1,410	---	1,269	---	1,269
PAY & PERSONNEL SUPPORT OFF	1,480	---	1,480	---	1,480
NAVAL AIR STATION WHITING FIELD					
APPROACH LIGHTING	1,030	---	1,030	---	1,030
PARKING APRON	---	---	2,100	---	---
RADAR FACILITY	820	---	820	---	820
NAVAL DIV & SALVAGE TRNG CTR PANAMA CITY					
FREE ASCENT TANK	1,250	---	1,250	---	1,250
NAVAL HOSPITAL ORLANDO					
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	1,760	---	1,760	---	1,760
NAVAL STATION MAYPORT					
ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING	1,270	---	1,270	---	1,270
BULKHEAD	5,870	---	5,870	---	5,870
CONSTRUCTION BATTALION UNIT COMPLEX	1,410	---	1,410	---	1,410
SECURITY BUILDING AND LAND ACQUISITION	780	---	780	---	780
WATERFRONT UTILITIES	1,480	---	1,480	---	1,480
WHARF UTILITIES IMPROVEMENT	400	---	400	---	400
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER ORLANDO					
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	360	---	360	---	360
GYMNASIUM	1,900	---	1,900	---	1,900
SHIPS PROPULSION TRAINING BUILDING	1,460	---	1,460	---	1,460
NAVAL TRAINING EQUIPMENT CENTER ORLANDO					
TRAINING EQUIPMENT CENTER	23,500	---	23,500	---	23,500
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER PENSACOLA					
WATER SUPPLY FACILITIES ADDITION	7,830	---	7,830	---	7,830
AIR FORCE					
CAPE CANAVERAL AFS					
STS-SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	2,750	---	2,750	---	2,750
EGLIN AFB					
ADD/ALTER CENTRAL CONTROL FACILITY	920	---	920	---	920
ADD/ALTER FIELD TRAINING FACILITY	1,150	---	1,150	---	1,150
MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE & ASSEMBLY FACILITY	610	---	610	---	610
EGLIN AFB AUXILLIARY FIELD 9					
ADD/ALTER TACTICAL FORCE MANAGEMENT CENTER	1,200	---	1,200	---	1,200
CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT CENTER	5,750	-5,750	5,750	-5,750	---
ECIP-INSTALL HEAT RECOVERY SYSTEM	220	---	220	---	220
HIGH EXPLOSIVE RESEARCH CENTER	---	---	4,500	---	---
WATER TANK	660	---	660	---	660
HOMESTEAD AFB					
ADD/ALTER FIRE STATION	1,450	-400	1,050	-400	1,050
VISITING OFFICER QUARTERS	1,500	-1,500	---	-1,500	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
MACDILL AFB					
ADD/ALTER RECREATION LIBRARY	510	-510	---	-510	---
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE AREA LIGHTING	510	---	510	---	510
SATELLITE COMMUNICATION GROUND TERMINAL	3,600	-1,000	---	-1,000	2,600
TACTICAL TRAINING RANGE (AVON PARK)	1,500	---	1,500	---	1,500
PATRICK AFB					
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT FACILITY	910	---	910	---	910
TYNDALL AFB					
AIRCRAFT GENERAL PURPOSE SHOP	3,450	-3,450	3,450	-3,450	---
ARM/DISARM PAD	330	---	330	---	330
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT	550	---	550	---	550
VARIOUS LOCATIONS-FLORIDA					
EASTERN TEST RANGE RECONFIGURATION	6,300	---	6,300	---	6,300
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
CAMP BLANDING					
HELICOPTER LANDING LANE	699	---	699	---	699
PLANT CITY					
ARMORY 100 PERSON	883	---	---	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
JACKSONVILLE IAP					
ADD/ALTER BASE SUPPLY/ADMIN/WAREHOUSE FAC	700	---	700	---	700
ADD/ALTER HANGAR FOR AVIONICS	800	---	800	---	800
BASE ENGINEER MAINT FACILITY	1,200	---	1,200	---	1,200
FUEL CELL MAINT HANGAR	1,300	---	1,300	---	1,300
ARMY RESERVE					
MILTON					
60 MBR ARMY RESERVE CTR	1,043	---	1,043	---	1,043
ORLANDO					
ADD TO ARMY RESERVE CTR/MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,932	---	1,932	---	1,932
NAVY RESERVE					
NMCRC MIAMI					
RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING	6,510	---	6,510	---	6,510
TOTAL, FLORIDA	123,457	-12,610	123,023	-12,610	109,823
GEORGIA					
ARMY					
FORT BENNING					
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	11,000	---	11,000	---	11,000
BARRACKS	18,600	---	18,600	---	18,600
COMPANY ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPLY	1,350	---	1,350	---	1,350
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	6,700	---	6,700	---	6,700
FORT GORDON					
CHILD CARE CENTER	2,000	---	1,800	---	1,800
DENTAL CLINIC	3,900	---	3,900	---	3,900
GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING	6,500	---	6,500	---	6,500
FT STEWART/HUNTER AAF					
AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING BUILDING	1,900	---	1,900	---	1,900
BARRACKS WITH DINING FACILITY	10,500	---	10,500	---	10,500
CHILD CARE CENTER	3,200	---	2,880	---	2,880
MULTI-PURPOSE TRAINING RANGE	20,000	---	20,000	---	20,000
PARACHUTE DRYING TOWER	960	---	960	---	960
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	5,300	---	3,937	---	5,300
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	7,400	---	7,400	---	7,400
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	16,500	---	16,500	---	16,500
WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT	690	---	690	---	690
NAVY					
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE KINGS BAY					
BASE ADMIN BLDG & COMMUNICATIONS CENTER	7,320	---	7,320	---	7,320
COMMUNITY IMPACT ASSISTANCE	4,900	---	4,700	---	4,700

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
DREDGING	45,200	-22,000	23,200	-22,000	23,200
REFIT INDUSTRIAL FACILITY	25,000	---	25,000	---	25,000
REFIT WHARF	33,000	---	33,000	---	33,000
STRATEGIC WEAPONS FACILITY (PHASE I)	81,700	---	81,700	---	81,700
TRIDENT TRAINING FACILITY	59,700	-59,700	---	---	---
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,270	---	4,270	---	4,270
UTILITIES AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	23,800	-23,800	---	---	---
WATERFRONT SERVICES FACILITY	6,770	---	6,770	---	6,770
AIR FORCE					
MOODY AFB					
AIRCRAFT GENERATION SQUADRON PARTS STORE	1,200	---	1,200	---	1,200
WINNERSVILLE GUNNERY RANGE	830	---	830	---	830
ROBINS AFB					
ADD/ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE DOCKS	7,100	---	7,100	---	7,100
EMERGENCY BACKUP POWER	1,600	---	1,600	---	1,600
FIRE PROTECTION WAREHOUSES	1,300	---	1,300	---	1,300
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS STORAGE FACILITY	---	---	---	+9,000	9,000
LOGISTICS SYSTEM OPERATIONS CENTER	8,390	---	8,390	---	8,390
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
FORT BENNING					
NEW MIDDLE SCHOOL	5,600	---	5,600	---	5,600
ROBINS AFB					
RELOCATE DPDO	---	---	---	+2,500	2,500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
FORT STEWART					
MOBILIZATION & TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE ADD	4,407	---	4,407	---	4,407
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
SAVANNAH MAP					
AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	1,300	---	1,300	---	1,300
TOTAL, GEORGIA	439,887	-105,500	332,304	-10,500	345,167
HAWAII					
ARMY					
HAWAII					
DETACHED LATRINES	930	---	930	---	930
WAREHOUSE	2,050	-600	1,450	---	1,450
HELEMANO					
BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	4,650	---	4,650	---	4,650
SCHOFIELD BARRACKS					
BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	8,000	---	8,000	---	8,000
ENERGY MONITORING AND CONTROL SYSTEM	3,100	---	3,100	---	3,100
INFANTRY REMOTE TARGET SYSTEM RANGE	1,700	---	1,700	---	1,700
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	5,350	---	5,350	---	5,350
STORM DRAINAGE	420	---	420	---	420
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	12,800	---	12,800	---	12,800
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	5,700	---	5,700	---	5,700
TRIPLER ARMY MEDICAL CENTER					
HOSPITAL ADDITION/ALTERATION	115,000	---	115,000	---	115,000
NAVY					
CAMP H M SMITH - OAHU					
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	1,910	---	1,910	---	1,910
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION KANEOHE BAY					
COMBAT AIRCRAFT ORDNANCE LOADING AREA	2,440	---	2,440	---	2,440
UNACCOM ENLISTED PERS HSG MODERNIZATION	7,610	---	7,610	---	7,610
WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	6,490	---	6,490	---	6,490
NAVAL AIR STATION BARBERS POINT					
AVIONICS SHOP	5,250	---	5,250	---	5,250
OPERATIONAL TRAINING FACILITY ADDITION	1,380	---	1,380	---	1,380
NAVAL MAGAZINE LUALUALEI					
ORDNANCE OPERATIONS BUILDINGS	3,130	---	3,130	---	3,130

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
NAVAL STATION PEARL HARBOR					
DEGAUSSING BUILDING	545	---	545	---	545
SERVICE SHOPS	---	---	16,000	$	16,000
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE PEARL HARBOR					
BULKHEADS	1,030	---	1,030	---	1,030
OPERATIONAL STORAGE	345	---	345	---	345
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	12,500	---	11,500	---	12,500
UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,940	---	4,300	---	4,940
NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER PEARL HARBOR					
DATA PROCESSING CENTER ADDITION	2,050	---	2,050	---	2,050
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS	4,630	---	4,630	---	4,630
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER PEARL HARBOR					
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYS IMPROVEMENTS	5,270	---	5,270	---	5,270
AIR FORCE					
HICKAM AFB					
ADD/ALTER ALERT HANGAR	500	---	500	---	500
CONSOLIDATED TECHNICAL PHOTO/SUPPORT FAC	1,900	---	1,900	---	1,900
FLEET SERVICE TERMINAL	1,400	---	1,400	---	1,400
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
DEF PROPERTY DISPOSAL OFF PEARL HARBOR					
HAZARDOUS MATERIAL/WASTE FACILITY	1,950	---	1,950	---	1,950
TOTAL, HAWAII	224,970	-600	238,730	---	240,370
IDAHO					
AIR FORCE					
MOUNTAIN HOME AFB					
ADD/ALTER ACFT GENERATION SQ PARTS STORE	940	---	940	---	940
OTH-B-OPERATION/SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT BLDG	18,000	-18,000	---	-18,000	---
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT	550	---	550	---	550
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
GOWEN FIELD					
MACHINE GUN & MULTI-PURPOSE RANGE	681	---	681	---	681
ARMY RESERVE					
REXBURG					
ADD TO ARMY RESERVE CTR/MAINTENANCE SHOP	682	---	682	---	682
TOTAL, IDAHO	20,853	-18,000	2,853	-18,000	2,853
ILLINOIS					
ARMY					
ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL					
ADMINISTRATION BLDG	6,900	---	6,900	---	6,900
MODERNIZE MANUFACTURING FACILITY-REARM	44,000	---	44,000	---	44,000
NAVY					
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER GREAT LAKES					
OPERATIONAL TRAINER FACILITY	1,960	---	1,960	---	1,960
RECRUIT PROCESSING BUILDING	9,990	---	9,990	---	9,990
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER GREAT LAKES					
PUBLIC WORKS SHOP CONVERSION	890	---	---	-890	---
STEAM AND CONDENSATE SYSTEMS	1,370	---	1,370	---	1,370
WATER DISTRIBUTION LINE	480	---	480	---	480
AIR FORCE					
CHANUTE AFB					
ALTER ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION	2,650	---	2,650	---	2,650
FIRE PROTECTION TRAINING COMPLEX	8,700	-1,200	7,500	-1,200	7,500
SCOTT AFB					
AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS COMMAND HQ FAC	19,400	-19,400	19,400	-19,400	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
MARSEILLES					
ARMORY 100 PERSON	1,000	---	---	---	---
UNIT TRAINING & EQUIPMENT SITE	960	---	960	---	960
N. RIVERSIDE					
ARMORY 800 PERSON	3,148	---	3,148	---	3,148
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
CAPITAL MAP					
WEAPONS CALIBRATION SHELTER	1,100	---	1,100	---	1,100
CHICAGO-OHARE IAP					
AUTOMOTIVE MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,700	---	2,700	---	2,700
GREATER PEORIA APRT					
ALTER VARIOUS BUILDINGS	1,000	---	1,000	---	1,000
AUTOMOTIVE MAINTENANCE/REFUEL VEHICLE SHOP	2,200	---	2,200	---	2,200
NAVY RESERVE					
NAS GLENVIEW					
RUNWAY OVERLAY	---	---	3,000	---	---
NMCRC ROCK ISLAND					
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	570	---	570	---	570
TOTAL, ILLINOIS	109,018	-20,600	108,928	-21,490	86,528
INDIANA					
ARMY					
CRANE					
AMMUNITION SURVEILLANCE FACILITY	3,600	---	3,600	---	3,600
FT BENJ. HARRISON					
BARRACKS	---	---	---	+13,400	13,400
AIR FORCE					
GRISSOM AFB					
AIRCRAFT MAINT. SHOP	---	---	1,500	+1,100	1,100
BASE CE COMPLEX	---	---	4,400	+4,300	4,300
BASE FLIGHT OPERATIONS FACILITY	920	---	920	---	920
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
FT WAYNE MAP					
WEAPONS SYS SECURITY FLIGHT FACILITY	500	---	500	---	500
ARMY RESERVE					
INDIANAPOLIS					
ADD TO ARMY RESERVE CTR	2,485	---	2,485	---	2,485
AIR FORCE RESERVE					
GRISSOM AFB					
ACFT ENGINE INSP & REPAIR SHOP	1,270	---	1,270	---	1,270
APRON	1,700	---	1,700	---	1,700
TOTAL, INDIANA	10,475	---	16,375	+18,800	29,275
IOWA					
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
CLINTON					
ARMORY 100 PERSON	729	---	729	---	729
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
SIOUX CITY MAP					
WEAPONS SYSTEM SECURITY FLIGHT FACILITY	500	---	500	---	500
TOTAL, IOWA	1,229	---	1,229	---	1,229
KANSAS					
ARMY					
FORT LEAVENWORTH					
UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER HOUSING	11,000	---	11,000	---	11,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
FORT RILEY					
AMMUNITION STORAGE	3,700	---	3,700	---	3,700
BATTALION HEADQUARTERS AND CLASSROOM	2,700	---	2,700	---	2,700
BATTALION HEADQUARTERS AND CLASSROOM	1,550	---	1,550	---	1,550
COMPANY ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPLY	1,450	---	1,450	---	1,450
COMPANY ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPLY	900	---	900	---	900
ENERGY MONITORING AND CONTROL SYSTEM	650	-650	650	---	650
HARDSTAND	4,850	---	4,850	---	4,850
INSULATE BUILDINGS	300	---	300	---	300
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	4,750	---	4,750	---	4,750
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	9,700	---	9,700	---	9,700
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP ADDITION	3,250	---	3,250	---	3,250
AIR FORCE					
MCCONNELL AFB					
VOQ	---	---	3,500	---	---
TOTAL, KANSAS	44,800	-650	48,300	---	44,800
KENTUCKY					
ARMY					
FORT CAMPBELL					
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	9,800	-9,800	9,800	---	9,800
COMPANY ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPLY	3,200	---	3,200	---	3,200
FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	2,750	-2,750	---	-2,750	---
SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE PLATFORMS	840	---	840	---	840
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	5,000	-500	4,500	---	4,500
FORT KNOX					
AMMUNITION STORAGE	7,800	---	7,800	---	7,800
COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	1,600	---	1,600	---	1,600
GUN SYNCHRONIZATION RAMPS	800	-800	---	-800	---
INFANTRY REMOTE TARGET SYSTEM RANGES	2,500	---	2,500	---	2,500
TRACKED VEHICLE BASIC DRIVING COURSE	1,700	---	1,700	---	1,700
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
FORT CAMPBELL					
RENOVATION OF SIX DEPENDENTS SCHOOLS	4,800	---	4,800	---	4,800
THREE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITIONS	2,700	---	2,700	---	2,700
FORT KNOX					
AIR CONDITION DEPENDENTS SCHOOLS	7,200	---	7,200	---	7,200
AUDITORIUM AND FOURTEEN CLASSROOMS	5,000	---	5,000	---	5,000
INTRAMURAL FACILITY	2,000	---	2,000	---	2,000
LIBRARY MEDIA CENTER	4,311	---	4,311	---	4,311
VOCATIONAL EDUCATION CENTER	3,450	---	3,450	---	3,450
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
ASHLAND					
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	443	---	443	---	443
MURRAY					
ARMORY 100 PERSON	927	---	927	---	927
ARMY RESERVE					
OWENSBORO					
ADD TO ARMY RESERVE CTR	1,515	---	1,515	---	1,515
TOTAL, KENTUCKY	68,336	-13,850	64,286	-3,550	64,286
LOUISIANA					
ARMY					
FORT POLK					
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	10,000	---	10,000	---	10,000
AMMUNITION STORAGE	19,000	-19,000	19,000	---	19,000
BARRACKS	8,200	---	8,200	---	8,200
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	2,050	---	2,050	---	2,050

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
AIR FORCE					
BARKSDALE AFB					
ADD/ALTER ALERT CREW FACILITY	2,150	---	2,150	---	2,150
ALCM-MISCELLANEOUS SUPPORT FACILITIES	1,600	---	1,600	---	1,600
ALCM-STORAGE IGLOOS	16,600	-1,000	15,600	-1,000	15,600
ALCM-SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP	2,300	---	2,300	---	2,300
ECIP-ALTER MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	400	---	400	---	400
ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE FACILITY	445	---	445	---	445
FLIGHT SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY	3,500	---	3,500	---	3,500
ENGLAND AFB					
AIRCRAFT GENERATION SQUADRON PARTS STORE	950	---	950	---	950
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE AREA LIGHTING	1,400	-600	1,400	-600	800
BASE CIVIL ENGINEER ADMINISTRATIVE FACIL	1,700	-1,700	1,700	-1,700	---
ECIP-ALTER LIGHTING/MECHANICAL/STRUCTURAL	1,200	---	1,200	---	1,200
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
BUNKIE					
ARMORY 60 PERSON	837	---	837	---	837
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
NEW ORLEANS NAS					
OPERATIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	2,000	---	2,000	---	2,000
ARMY RESERVE					
ALEXANDRIA					
ADD TO ARMY RESERVE CTR	542	---	542	---	542
LAFAYETTE					
ADD TO ARMY RESERVE CTR	1,995	---	1,995	---	1,995
MONROE					
ADD TO ARMY RESERVE CTR	455	---	455	---	455
NAVY RESERVE					
NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY NEW ORLEANS					
PERSONNEL SUPPORT ACTIVITY OFFICE	1,030	---	1,030	---	1,030
AIR FORCE RESERVE					
BARKSDALE AFB					
ADD/ALTER ACFT ENGINE INSP & REPAIR SHOP	500	---	500	---	500
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE DOCK	4,500	---	4,500	---	4,500
COMPOSITE GROUP TRAINING	2,778	---	2,778	---	2,778
TOTAL, LOUISIANA	86,132	-22,300	85,132	-3,300	82,832
MAINE					
NAVY					
NAVAL AIR STATION BRUNSWICK					
ALERT FORCE BUILDING ADDITION	340	---	340	---	340
LAND ACQUISITION	2,170	---	---	---	2,170
NAVAL SECURITY GROUP ACT WINTER HARBO					
ANTENNA SUPPORT FACILITIES	220	---	220	---	220
NAVAL SHIPYARD PORTSMOUTH					
ENGINEERING MGT BLDG	---	---	---	+11,800	11,800
AIR FORCE					
LORING AFB					
COMPOSITE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	3,300	---	3,300	---	3,300
COMPOSITE MEDICAL FACILITY	24,900	---	20,000	---	24,900
DINING HALL	3,170	---	3,170	---	3,170
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
BANGOR					
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	594	---	594	---	594
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
BANGOR IAP					
SECURITY POLICE OPNS FACILITY	500	---	500	---	500
TOTAL, MAINE	35,194	---	28,124	+11,800	46,994

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
MARYLAND					
ARMY					
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND					
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	7,300	---	7,300	---	7,300
CHAPEL AND CHILD CARE CENTER	4,950	---	4,950	---	4,950
CHEMISTRY LABORATORY	20,000	---	20,000	---	20,000
VIBRATION TEST FACILITY	4,950	---	4,950	---	4,950
VEPH	---	---	17,800	---	---
OPERATIONS BUILDING	10,400	-10,400	10,400	---	10,400
FORT DETRICK					
FIRE STATION	1,200	---	1,200	---	1,200
MEDICAL INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS FACILITY	3,600	---	3,600	---	3,600
MEDICAL LOGISTICS BUILDING ADDITION	---	---	3,100	---	---
STANDBY GENERATOR PLANT	13,600	---	13,600	---	13,600
FORT GEORGE G MEADE					
INSULATE BUILDINGS	5,900	-5,900	5,900	---	5,900
NAVY					
NAVAL ACADEMY ANNAPOLIS					
BOAT REPAIR FACILITY MODERNIZATION	1,960	---	1,500	---	1,960
NAVAL AIR TEST CENTER PATUXENT RIVER					
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	600	---	600	---	600
FAMILY SERVICES CENTER	520	---	520	---	520
MUNICIPAL SEWER CONNECTION	3,500	---	3,500	---	3,500
NAVAL ELECTRONIC SYS ENGR ACT ST INIGOES					
SEWERAGE SYSTEM	310	---	510	---	510
SHIPS NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT LABORATORY	1,600	---	1,600	---	1,600
NAVAL ORDNANCE STATION INDIAN HEAD					
PAY & PERSONNEL SUPPORT OFFICE	250	---	250	---	250
NAVAL RADIO TRANSMITTER FACILITY ANNAPOLIS					
UNACCOM ENL PERS HSG	760	---	760	---	760
AIR FORCE					
ANDREWS AFB					
ECIP-EXPAND ENERGY MONITORING & CONTROL SY	960	-960	---	-960	---
GODDARD FLIGHT CENTER					
STS-CLASSIFIED DATA PROCESS FACILITY	3,500	---	3,500	---	3,500
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
BALTIMORE					
APPRAISER'S STORE	7,500	---	---	---	7,500
DMA HYDROGRAPHIC/TOPOGRAPHIC CENTER					
ADDITION TO ALBERT HALL	20,100	---	20,100	---	20,100
FORT HOLABIRD					
STORAGE FACILITY	220	---	220	---	220
FORT MEADE					
AMCS SUPPORT/ADMIN BLDG	410	---	410	---	410
ELECTRIC VAULT VENTING	125	---	125	---	125
ENERGY RETROFIT OPS BLDG 1	12,700	---	---	-12,700	---
SAB 3 EXPANSION	4,680	---	---	---	4,680
SEQ (9827-B) MOD	3,800	---	3,800	---	3,800
SYSTEMS PROCESSING CENTER	12,585	---	12,585	---	12,585
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
ANDREWS AFB					
ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINT HANGAR 8 & 9	1,600	---	1,600	---	1,600
SQUADRON OPNS FACILITY	1,200	---	1,200	---	1,200
GLENN L MARTIN APRT					
UPGRADE HANGAR 1	2,500	---	2,500	---	2,500
TOTAL, MARYLAND	153,480	-17,260	148,080	-13,660	139,820

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
MASSACHUSETTS					
ARMY					
FORT DEVENS					
CORRECT SAFETY DEFICIENCIES	1,700	---	1,700	---	1,700
WASTE HEAT RECOVERY SYSTEMS	280	-280	---	-280	---
WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM UPGRADE	2,750	---	2,750	---	2,750
AIR FORCE					
HANSCOM AFB					
ALTER LAB FOR FIRE PROTECTION	5,200	---	5,200	---	5,200
OTIS ANG BASE					
PAVE PAWS-BOUNDARY SECURITY SYSTEM	810	---	810	---	810
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
DORCHESTER					
ARMORY	---	---	1,600	---	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE					
WESTOVER AFB					
BASE CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX	2,700	---	2,700	---	2,700
TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS	13,440	-280	14,760	-280	13,160
MICHIGAN					
NAVY					
NRTF REPUBLIC					
ACCESS ROAD	1,000	---	---	---	1,000
AIR FORCE					
KI SAWYER AFB					
ADD/ALTER ALERT CREW FACILITY	2,350	---	2,350	---	2,350
ACFT PARKING	---	---	---	+600	600
ALCM-IGLOO	---	---	---	+2,500	2,500
ALCM-SUPPORT FACILITIES	14,000	-2,000	12,000	-2,000	12,000
LIBRARY	---	---	---	---	---
WURTSMITH AFB					
ACFT PARKING	---	---	---	+600	600
ALCM-IGLOO	---	---	---	+2,500	2,500
ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE FACILITY	425	---	425	---	425
LIBRARY	700	---	700	-700	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
BAY CITY					
ARMORY 60 PERSON	955	---	955	---	955
CAMP GRAYLING					
MOTOR POOL	---	---	---	---	---
WINTERIZE FAC	---	---	---	---	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
SELFRIDGE ANGB					
ADD/ALTER BLDG 120	1,400	---	1,400	---	1,400
ADDITION TO MUNITIONS MAINT & STORAGE	500	---	500	---	500
ALTER AIRCRAFT ENGINE I&R SHOP	500	---	500	---	500
ALTER HANGARS 3,4,6,7,8 AND BUILDING 128	800	---	800	---	800
ARMY RESERVE					
ANN ARBOR					
ADD TO ARMY RESERVE CTR	726	---	726	---	726
AIR FORCE RESERVE					
SELFRIDGE AFB					
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	430	---	430	---	430
TOTAL, MICHIGAN	23,786	-2,000	20,786	+3,500	27,286

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
MINNESOTA					
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
DULUTH IAP					
AUTO MAINT/REFUEL VEHICLE/VEHICLE STORAGE.........	2,300	---	2,300	---	2,300
AIR FORCE RESERVE					
MINN-ST PAUL IAP					
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX......................	2,400	---	2,400	---	2,400
TOTAL, MINNESOTA................................	4,700	---	4,700	---	4,700
MISSISSIPPI					
NAVY					
NAS MERIDIAN					
ARM/DEARM PADS..................................	---	---	---	+1,300	1,300
AIR OPS BLDG ADD................................	---	---	---	---	---
ROADS...	---	---	---	+690	690
NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CTR GULFPORT					
ELEVATED POTABLE WATER STORAGE TANK..............	810	---	810	---	810
ENLISTED DINING FACILITY ADDITION................	1,580	---	1,580	---	1,580
GYMNASIUM.......................................	1,740	---	1,740	---	1,740
MEDICAL CLINIC ADDITION..........................	325	---	325	---	325
PAY & PERSONNEL SUPPORT OFF......................	1,030	---	1,030	---	1,030
SEABEE BATTALION HEADQUARTERS....................	2,800	---	2,800	---	2,800
SEABEE MILITARY TRAINING BUILDING................	1,860	---	1,860	---	1,860
SEABEE REGIMENTAL HEADQUARTERS...................	2,590	---	2,590	---	2,590
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING..........	4,650	---	4,650	---	4,650
WAR RESERVES WAREHOUSE...........................	4,460	---	4,460	---	4,460
NAVAL OCEANOGRAPHER COMMAND BAY ST LOUIS					
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE............................	375	---	375	---	375
NAVAL OCEANOGRAPHIC OFFICE BAY SAINT LOUIS					
DATA PROCESSING CENTER ADDITION..................	1,570	---	1,570	---	1,570
AIR FORCE					
COLUMBUS AFB					
AIRCRAFT MAINT. DOCK.............................	---	---	---	---	---
KEESLER AFB					
AIR CONDITION CMF KITCHEN........................	435	---	435	---	435
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING.........	9,500	---	9,500	---	9,500
ECIP-INSULATE BUILDINGS/ALTER MECHANICAL..........	520	---	520	---	520
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION..........................	---	---	---	+3,000	3,000
MULTI-PURPOSE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOP...........	3,100	---	3,100	---	3,100
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
CAMP SHELBY					
ALTER & REHAB TRAINING AREA......................	---	---	8,113	+8,113	8,113
LAND ACQUISITION PH 3............................	941	---	941	---	941
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
GULFPORT					
MUNITIONS MAINT & STORAGE FACILITY................	900	---	900	---	900
JACKSON (A C THOMPSON)					
COMBINED SQUADRON OPNS/DINING HALL................	2,400	---	2,400	---	2,400
PARKING RAMP & FUEL STORAGE DIST..................	---	---	7,800	+7,800	7,800
TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI..............................	41,586	---	57,499	+20,903	62,489
MISSOURI					
ARMY					
FORT LEONARD WOOD					
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING......................	3,650	---	3,650	---	3,650
INFANTRY REMOTE TARGET SYSTEM RANGES..............	2,800	---	2,800	---	2,800

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
AIR FORCE					
WHITEMAN AFB					
COLD STORAGE FACILITY	470	---	470	---	470
MISSILE OPERATIONS FACILITY	2,200	---	2,200	-2,200	---
RECREATION CENTER	1,650	---	1,650	-1,650	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
ROSECRANS MEMORIAL APT					
ADD TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,100	---	1,100	---	1,100
ADD/ALTER BASE SUPPLY/EQUIP WAREHOUSE	600	---	600	---	600
ST LOUIS					
FIRE STATION	900	---	900	---	900
ARMY RESERVE					
RICHARDS-GEBAUR AFB					
CONVERT-ARMY RESERVE CTR/MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,666	---	2,666	---	2,666
NAVY RESERVE					
NMCRC KANSAS CITY					
ACQUISITION RESERVE TRAINING BUILDINGS	625	---	625	---	625
TOTAL, MISSOURI	16,661	---	16,661	-3,850	12,811
MONTANA					
AIR FORCE					
CONRAD					
STRAT TRNG RANGE-SITE 6 & LAND ACQUISITION	4,260	---	3,975	---	3,975
MALMSTROM AFB					
ADD/ALTER GYMNASIUM	1,500	---	1,500	-1,500	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
HAVRE					
ARMORY 60 PERSON	776	---	---	---	---
TOTAL, MONTANA	6,536	---	5,475	-1,500	3,975
NEBRASKA					
AIR FORCE					
OFFUTT AFB					
ADD TO GLOBAL WEATHER CENTRAL	3,400	---	3,400	---	3,400
ADD/ALTER CENTRAL POWER PLANT	2,200	---	2,200	---	2,200
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES-PH II	34,200	---	34,200	---	34,200
UEPH	---	---	---	+5,400	5,400
CENTRAL SECURITY CONTROL	1,400	---	---	-1,400	---
PRECISION MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT LAB	900	---	900	---	900
UPGRADE CHILLED WATER PLANT	5,000	---	5,000	---	5,000
TOTAL, NEBRASKA	47,100	---	45,700	+4,000	51,100
NEVADA					
ARMY					
HAWTHORNE AAP					
AMMUNITION SURVEILLANCE FACILITY	3,400	-3,400	---	-3,400	---
NAVY					
NAVAL AIR STATION FALLON					
EXPLOSIVES AREA IMPROVEMENTS	4,740	---	4,740	---	4,740
MAINTENANCE HANGER	---	---	---	+5,000	5,000
UEPH	---	---	---	+12,900	12,900
UOPH	---	---	---	+8,100	8,100
ACFT DIRECT FUELING STATION	---	---	---	+3,700	3,700
AIR FORCE					
NELLIS AFB					
ADD/ALTER BASE ACCESS ROAD	2,800	-2,800	---	-2,800	---
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE AREA LIGHTING	1,300	---	1,300	---	1,300

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
ECIP-WEATHERIZE BUILDINGS/ALTER MECHANICAL	990	---	990	---	990
PARALLEL TAXIWAY/LAND ACQUISITION	4,800	-4,800	---	-4,800	---
PLSS GROUND SUPPORT FACILITIES	2,800	---	2,800	---	2,800
RELOCATE MAIN GATE/ROADS	2,500	-2,500	---	-2,500	---
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
RENO IAP					
FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM	800	---	800	---	800
TOTAL, NEVADA	24,130	-13,500	10,630	+16,200	40,330
NEW HAMPSHIRE					
ARMY					
COLDREG LABORATORIES					
LABORATORY REFRIGERATION SYSTEM UPGRADE	3,600	---	3,600	---	3,600
AIR FORCE					
PEASE AFB					
ADD/ALTER COMPOSITE MEDICAL LOGISTICS FAC	850	---	850	---	850
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	5,100	---	5,100	---	5,100
ARMY RESERVE					
KEENE					
CONVERT-ARMY RESERVE CTR/MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,176	---	1,176	---	1,176
TOTAL, NEW HAMPSHIRE	10,726	---	10,726	---	10,726
NEW JERSEY					
ARMY					
FT DIX					
ENERGY MONITORING AND CONTROL SYSTEM	3,500	---	3,500	---	3,500
INFANTRY REMOTE TARGET SYSTEM RANGES	2,600	---	2,600	---	2,600
INSULATE BUILDINGS	7,100	---	7,100	---	7,100
UPGRADE RANGE FACILITIES	4,450	---	4,450	---	4,450
MILITARY OCEAN TERMINAL BAYONNE					
STANDBY ELECTRIC POWER	570	---	570	---	570
FORT MONMOUTH					
AIR CONDITION GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING	4,050	-4,050	4,050	---	4,050
BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	5,100	---	5,100	---	5,100
OPERATIONS BUILDING	3,600	---	3,600	---	3,600
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	2,900	---	2,900	---	2,900
PICATINNY ARSENAL					
RAILROAD TRACK ACQUISITION	380	---	380	---	380
SANITARY SEWER	6,400	---	6,400	---	6,400
WATER TREATMENT PLANT	3,000	---	3,000	---	3,000
AIR FORCE					
MCGUIRE AFB					
ADD/ALTER NCO ACADEMY	440	---	440	---	440
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
FLEMINGTON					
ARMORY ADD/ALT	732	---	732	---	732
TOMS RIVER					
ARMORY ADD/ALT	490	---	490	---	490
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
ATLANTIC CITY					
UPGRADE VARIOUS BUILDINGS	1,800	---	1,800	---	1,800
MCGUIRE AFB					
ADDN AVIONICS/WEAPONS RELEASE SYSTEMS SHOP	1,500	---	1,500	---	1,500
AIR FORCE RESERVE					
MCGUIRE AFB					
MEDICAL SERVICES SQUADRON	706	---	706	---	706
TOTAL, NEW JERSEY	49,318	-4,050	49,318	---	49,318

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
NEW MEXICO					
ARMY					
WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE					
LABORATORY AND TEST BUILDING	2,250	---	2,250	---	2,250
AIR FORCE					
CANNON AFB					
PMEL-NDI SHOP	1,100	---	1,100	---	1,100
WEAPONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	---	---	---	+1,700	1,700
HOLLOMAN AFB					
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE AREA LIGHTING	650	---	650	---	650
BASE SUPPORT CENTER	6,100	---	6,100	---	6,100
ECIP-ALTER LIGHTING/MECHANICAL SYSTEMS	360	---	360	---	360
SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,700	-400	1,300	-400	1,300
WATER LINES-BOLES WEELS	---	---	---	+3,200	3,200
KIRTLAND AFB					
ADD/ALTER FIRE SPRINKLER & ALARM SYSTEM	1,500	---	1,500	---	1,500
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE					
HIGH ENERGY LASER SYSTEMS TEST FACILITY	9,000	---	---	---	9,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
SANTA FE					
US PROPERTY & FISCAL OFFICE BLDG	596	---	596	---	596
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
KIRTLAND AFB					
PETROLEUM OPERATIONS/REFUELER PARKING	500	---	500	---	500
ARMY RESERVE					
LAS CRUCES					
ADD TO ARMY RESERVE CTR/MAINTENANCE SHOP	610	---	610	---	610
TOTAL, NEW MEXICO	24,366	-400	14,966	+4,500	28,866
NEW YORK					
ARMY					
FORT DRUM					
AMMUNITION STORAGE	700	---	700	---	700
CENTRAL HEATING PLANT	---	---	13,000	---	---
ENERGY MONITORING AND CONTROL SYSTEM	290	---	290	---	290
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	3,400	---	2,432	---	3,400
VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	350	---	350	---	350
SENECA ARMY DEPOT					
INTRUSION DETECTION CENTER	6,900	---	6,900	---	6,900
U S MILITARY ACADEMY					
RAW WATER LINE IMPROVEMENTS	320	---	320	---	320
UPGRADE RANGE FACILITIES	630	---	630	---	630
AIR FORCE					
GRIFFISS AFB					
F-15-ADD/ALTER FLIGHT SIMULATOR	750	---	750	---	750
F-15-ADD/ALTER MISSION SUPPORT FACILITIES	950	---	950	---	950
F-15-CONVERT TO FUEL CELL MAINT DOCK	580	---	580	---	580
F-15-MISSILE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	570	---	570	---	570
F-15-MISSILE STORAGE FACILITY	550	---	550	---	550
RANGE	---	---	750	---	---
PLATTSBURGH AFB					
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,900	---	2,900	-2,900	---
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	750	---	750	---	750
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
WEST POINT					
NEW ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	3,650	---	3,650	---	3,650

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
YONKERS					
ARMORY 200 PERSON	1,280	---	1,280	---	1,280
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
HANCOCK FLD					
BASE ENGINEER MAINT FACILITY	1,100	---	1,100	---	1,100
SCHENECTADY COUNTY APRT ANG					
JET FUEL/POL OPNS/REFUELER VEHICLE FAC	1,800	---	1,800	---	1,800
STEWART AIRPORT					
AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	1,300	---	1,300	---	1,300
BASE ENGINEER MAINT FACILITY	1,100	---	1,100	---	1,100
COMBINED SQUADRON OPNS/TELECOM ANG/MARINES	4,100	---	4,100	---	4,100
FIRE STATION	1,200	---	1,200	---	1,200
PHASE IV CONST	---	---	10,500	---	---
SUFFOLK COUNTY AIRPORT					
FIRE STATION	1,000	---	1,000	---	1,000
ARMY RESERVE					
FORT DRUM					
MULTI-PURPOSE TRAINING RANGE	4,677	---	4,677	---	4,677
AIR FORCE RESERVE					
NIAGARA FALLS IAP					
SURVIVAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	675	---	675	---	675
TOTAL, NEW YORK	41,522	---	64,804	-2,900	38,672
NORTH CAROLINA					
ARMY					
FORT BRAGG					
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	11,400	---	11,400	---	11,400
BARRACKS	15,500	---	15,500	---	15,500
CONSOLIDATED DINING FACILITY	2,500	---	2,500	---	2,500
ELECTRONICS/COMMUNICATIONS REPAIR SHOP	860	---	860	---	860
ENERGY MONITORING AND CONTROL SYSTEM	1,100	---	1,100	---	1,100
FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	1,750	-1,750	---	-1,750	---
HEATING PLANT MODIFICATIONS	1,000	---	1,000	---	1,000
INSULATE BUILDINGS	2,250	---	2,250	---	2,250
MULTI-PURPOSE TRAINING RANGE	11,600	---	11,600	---	11,600
PARACHUTE RIGGING FACILITY	800	---	800	---	800
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER WITH POOL	3,750	---	3,750	---	3,750
RANGE CONTROL BUILDING	1,000	---	1,000	---	1,000
SECURITY OPERATIONS TRAINING FACILITY	---	---	---	+39,000	38,000
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	7,400	---	7,400	---	7,400
NAVY					
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION CHERRY POINT					
COMBAT AIRCRAFT ORDNANCE LOADING AREA	3,320	---	3,320	---	3,320
TACTICAL SUPPORT VAN PADS	1,490	---	1,490	---	1,490
AVIATION PHYSIOLOGY TRNG BLDG	970	---	970	---	970
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	10,000	---	10,000	---	10,000
ENGINE TEST CELL	---	---	9,700	---	---
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION NEW RIVER					
HAZARDOUS AND FLAMMABLE STOREHOUSE	340	---	340	---	340
MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP LEJEUNE					
COMBAT VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,190	---	2,190	---	2,190
DIVISION HEADQUARTERS	9,380	---	9,380	---	9,380
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	16,800	---	16,800	---	16,800
WATER TREATMENT FACILITIES IMPROVEMENTS	8,000	---	8,000	---	8,000
AIR FORCE					
POPE AFB					
ADD/ALTER AVIONICS SHOP	710	---	710	---	710

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
SEYMOUR JOHNSON AFB					
ADD/ALTER GYMNASIUM	1,000	---	1,000	---	1,000
ADD/ALTER SQUADRON OPNS/PAVE TACK FACILITY	560	---	560	---	560
ADD TO ENGINE INSPECTION & REPAIR SHOP	280	---	280	---	280
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE DOCK	5,650	---	5,650	---	5,650
AIRCRAFT PARKING/HYDRANT REFUELING W/ CASS	9,160	---	9,160	---	9,160
ECIP-INSTALL RADIANT HEATERS	680	---	680	---	680
FLIGHT SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY	780	---	780	---	780
SQUADRON FLIGHT OPERATIONS FACILITY	780	---	780	---	780
UPGRADE REGIONAL CONNECTION	1,600	---	1,600	---	1,600
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
FORT BRAGG					
RENOVATION OF SIX ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS	5,600	---	5,600	---	5,600
ROSMAN					
ELECTRICAL SUBSTATION	500	---	500	---	500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
FRANKLIN					
ARMORY 60 PERSON	568	---	568	---	568
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
DOUGLAS MAP					
BASE ENGINEER MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,300	---	1,300	---	1,300
ARMY RESERVE					
CONCORD					
100-MBR ARMY RESERVE CTR	1,287	---	1,287	---	1,287
TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA	143,855	-1,750	151,605	+37,250	180,105
NORTH DAKOTA					
AIR FORCE					
DICKINSON					
STRAT TRNG RANGE-SITE 5 & LAND ACQUISITION	3,710	---	3,710	---	3,710
STRATEGIC TRAINING RANGE COMPLEX-ACCESS RD	150	---	150	---	150
GRAND FORKS AFB					
ADD/ALTER ALERT CREW FACILITY	2,800	-500	2,300	-500	2,300
FAMILY SUPPORT CENTER	320	-320	---	-320	---
SMALL ARMS RANGE	1,300	---	1,300	-1,300	---
MINOT AFB					
ADD/ALTER ALERT CREW FACILITY	2,600	---	2,600	---	2,600
ADD/ALTER GYMNASIUM	560	---	560	-560	---
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,350	---	4,350	-4,350	---
ECIP-ENERGY MONITORING & CONTROL SYSTEM	1,850	-1,850	---	-1,850	---
F-15-HELICOPTER RESCUE & RECOVERY HANGAR	2,400	---	2,400	---	2,400
F-15-SUPPLY & EQUIPMENT WAREHOUSE	1,300	---	1,300	---	1,300
HOSPITAL	---	---	---	+27,500	27,500
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
DEF FUEL SUPPORT POINT GRAND FORKS					
FIRE PROTECTION	475	---	475	---	475
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
CAMP GRAFTON (DEVILS LAKE)					
TRAINING FACILITIES PH II	1,732	---	---	---	---
TOTAL, NORTH DAKOTA	23,547	-2,670	19,145	+18,620	40,435
OHIO					
AIR FORCE					
NEWARK AFS					
ALTER ELECTRO-OPTICAL LABORATORY	840	---	840	---	840

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB					
ADD TO AIR FORCE MUSEUM	5,400	---	5,400	-5,400	---
ADD/ALTER BIODYNAMICS LABORATORY	2,150	---	2,150	---	2,150
ADD/ALTER COMPUTER CENTER	2,550	---	2,550	---	2,550
ADD/ALTER ENGINE TEST CELL	3,700	---	3,700	---	3,700
ECIP-WEATHERIZE BUILDINGS/ALTER MECHANICAL	300	---	300	---	300
FIRE PROTECTION/PROPULSION LABORATORY	3,050	---	3,050	---	3,050
MATERIALS LABORATORY (METALS & CERAMICS)	19,200	-1,000	18,200	-1,000	18,200
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
DEFENSE FUEL SUPPORT POINT CINCINNATI					
NEW FUEL PIER	2,600	---	2,600	---	2,600
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
AKRON/CANTON AIRFIELD					
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FAC	---	---	3,770	---	---
CAMP PERRY					
TRAINING FACILITIES PH IV	418	---	418	---	418
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
CAMP PERRY					
OPNS TRAINING/MEDICAL TRAINING/DINING HALL	1,400	---	1,400	---	1,400
ARMY RESERVE					
SPRINGFIELD					
ADD TO ARMY RESERVE CTR/MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,586	---	1,586	---	1,586
AIR FORCE RESERVE					
YOUNGSTOWN MAP					
FIREMAN TRAINING FACILITY	513	---	513	---	513
TOTAL, OHIO	43,707	-1,000	46,477	-6,400	37,307
OKLAHOMA					
ARMY					
FORT SILL					
BARRACKS	18,300	---	18,300	---	18,300
INFANTRY REMOTE TARGET SYSTEM RANGES	2,250	---	2,250	---	2,250
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	5,250	---	4,450	---	5,250
TRAINING RANGES	1,600	---	1,600	---	1,600
AIR FORCE					
TINKER AFB					
ADD TO APRON	4,150	---	4,150	---	4,150
ADD/ALTER INTEGRATED SUPPORT FACILITY	10,229	-400	9,829	-400	9,829
BLADE REPAIR FACILITY	11,000	---	11,000	---	11,000
CLOTHING SALES STORE	570	---	570	---	570
PETROLEUM OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,250	---	1,250	---	1,250
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
HOLDENVILLE					
ARMORY	---	---	900	---	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE					
TINKER AFB					
ADD/ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS	550	---	550	---	550
TOTAL, OKLAHOMA	55,149	-400	54,849	-400	54,749
OREGON					
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
KINGSLEY FIELD					
ALTER HANGAR 219	3,000	---	3,000	---	3,000
PORTLAND IAP					
COMM-ELECTRONIC TRAINING/MOBILITY STORAGE	1,400	---	1,400	---	1,400
FIRE STATION	700	---	700	---	700

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
AIR FORCE RESERVE					
PORTLAND IAP					
AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	550	---	550	---	550
TOTAL, OREGON	5,650	---	5,650	---	5,650
PENNSYLVANIA					
ARMY					
FT INDIANTOWN GAP					
ELECTRIC SUBSTATION	---	---	2,500	---	---
NEW CUMBERLAND AD					
SITE ACQUISITION AND PREPARATION	7,800	---	1,250	---	7,800
TOBYHANNA ARMY DEPOT					
HEATING PLANT	570	---	570	---	570
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER ADDITION	240	---	240	---	240
NAVY					
NAVAL AIR DEVELOPMENT CENTER WARMINSTER					
ELECTRIC POWER PLANT	2,290	---	2,290	---	2,290
NAVAL SHIPYARD PHILADELPHIA					
ELEC. DIST SYSTEM	---	---	12,600	---	---
MUNICIPAL SEWER CONNECTION	3,890	---	3,890	---	3,890
NAVY SHIPS PARTS CONTROL CTR MECHANICSBURG					
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE MODERNIZATION	1,170	---	1,170	---	1,170
DATA PROCESSING CENTER	15,100	---	15,100	---	15,100
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
DEFENSE DEPOT MECHANICSBURG					
INTEGRATED MATERIAL HANDLING CENTER	18,000	---	18,000	---	18,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
FT INDIANTOWN GAP					
ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY ADD/ALT	2,419	---	2,419	---	2,419
FLIGHT TRAINER/WEAPONS SYSTEM SIMULATOR	1,221	---	1,221	---	1,221
SELLERSVILLE					
ARMORY ADD/ALT	556	---	556	---	556
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
HARRISBURG IAP					
BASE ENGINEER MAINT FACILITY/FIRE STATION	1,800	---	1,800	---	1,800
PITTSBURGH					
ADD/ALTER COMPOSITE OPNL TRAINING FACILITY	4,100	---	4,100	---	4,100
MUNITIONS MAINT & STORAGE FACILITY	1,500	---	1,500	---	1,500
ARMY RESERVE					
FARRELL					
ADD TO ARMY RESERVE CTR	1,990	---	1,990	---	1,990
LEWISTOWN					
100-MDR ARMY RESERVE CTR/MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,503	---	2,503	---	2,503
NAVY RESERVE					
NAS WILLOW GROVE					
TAXIWAY OVERLAY	1,030	---	1,030	---	1,030
AIR FORCE RESERVE					
GREATER PITTSBURGH IAP					
WAREHOUSE	1,020	---	1,020	---	1,020
WILLOW GROVE ARS					
SQUADRON OPERATIONS	1,845	---	1,845	---	1,845
TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA	69,044	---	77,594	---	69,044

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
RHODE ISLAND					
NAVY					
NAVAL EDUCATION & TRAINING CENTER NEWPORT					
FAMILY SERVICES CENTER	690	---	690	---	690
PIER UTILITIES	3,160	---	3,160	---	3,160
SEWERAGE SYSTEM	1,510	---	1,510	---	1,510
NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER NEWPORT					
COMMAND & CONTROL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE SHOP	13,300	---	13,300	---	13,300
SUBMARINE WEAPON SYSTEMS INTERGRATION LAB	11,540	---	11,540	---	11,540
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
COVENTRY AGS					
ALTER COMMUNICATIONS-ELECTRONIC FACILITIES	1,400	---	1,400	---	1,400
ARMY RESERVE					
CRANSTON					
ADD TO ARMY RESERVE CTR	2,931	---	2,931	---	2,931
TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND	34,531	---	34,531	---	34,531
SOUTH CAROLINA					
ARMY					
FORT JACKSON					
BARRACKS	17,500	---	17,500	---	17,500
APPLIED INSTRUCTION FACILITY	---	---	---	+14,000	10,200
DINING FACILITIES MODERNIZATION	860	---	860	---	860
INFANTRY REMOTE TARGET SYSTEM RANGES	2,600	---	2,600	---	2,600
TROOP MEDICAL CLINIC	4,000	---	4,000	---	4,000
NAVY					
FLEBALMIS SUBMARINE TRNG CTR CHARLESTON					
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING MODIFICATIONS	710	---	710	---	710
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION BEAUFORT					
CONSTRUCTION & WEIGHT HANDLING EQUIP SHOP	1,950	---	1,950	---	1,950
OIL SPILL PREVENTION	780	---	780	---	780
OPERATIONAL TRAINER FACILITY	760	---	760	---	760
MARINE CORPS RECRUIT DEPOT PARRIS ISLAND					
RECRUIT BARRACKS	4,990	---	4,990	---	4,990
RECRUIT BARRACKS IMPROVEMENT	3,680	---	3,680	---	3,680
UNACCOM ENLISTED PERS HSG MODERNIZATION	2,550	---	2,550	---	2,550
NAVAL SHIPYARD CHARLESTON					
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	570	---	570	---	570
LOGISTICS SPT FACILITY	---	---	---	+5,800	5,800
WATERFROUNT SVCS SPT BLDGS	---	---	---	+1,830	1,830
NAVAL STATION CHARLESTON					
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION LINES	5,630	---	5,630	---	5,630
FLEET SPT CENTER	---	---	---	+6,300	6,300
NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER CHARLESTON					
COLD STORAGE WAREHOUSE ADDITION	2,930	---	2,930	---	2,930
DATA PROCESSING CENTER	2,700	---	---	---	2,700
NAVAL WEAPONS STATION CHARLESTON					
POTABLE WATER STORAGE TANK	1,630	---	1,630	---	1,630
AIR FORCE					
CHARLESTON AFB					
ALTER AIRCRAFT GENERAL PURPOSE SHOP	340	---	340	---	340
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HSG-PH I	6,300	---	6,300	---	6,300
CLINIC/DENTAL CLINIC	8,200	---	8,200	---	8,200
MYRTLE BEACH AFB					
ADD/ALTER TELECOMMUNICATIONS CENTER	340	---	340	---	340
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE AREA LIGHTING	755	---	755	---	755
TACTICAL CONTROL FLIGHT FACILITY	1,700	---	1,700	---	1,700

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
SHAW AFB					
ADD/ALTER GYMNASIUM	2,100	-2,100	---	---	2,100
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,250	---	2,250	---	2,250
REFUEL VEHICLE PARKING & POL FACILITY	620	---	620	---	620
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
FORT JACKSON					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	7,372	---	7,372	---	7,372
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
CLINTON					
ARMORY 100 PERSON	911	---	---	---	---
ARMY RESERVE					
GREENVILLE					
ADD TO ARMY RESERVE CTR/MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,208	---	1,208	---	1,208
TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA	85,936	-2,100	80,225	+27,930	109,155
SOUTH DAKOTA					
AIR FORCE					
ELLSWORTH AFB					
B-1-ADD/ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	20,340	---	20,340	---	20,340
B-1-ADD/ALTER FIELD TRAINING FACILITIES	1,000	---	1,000	---	1,000
B-1-ADD/ALTER SUPPLY STORAGE FACILITIES	700	---	700	---	700
B-1-AIRCRAFT FUEL CELL MAINT FACILITY	3,200	---	3,200	---	3,200
B-1-AVIONICS MAINTENANCE SHOP	4,600	---	4,600	---	4,600
B-1-HYDRANT FUELING WITH CASS PROVISIONS	15,900	---	15,900	---	15,900
SECURITY POLICE FAC	---	---	5,200	+4,550	4,550
VEHICLE MAINT. SHOP	---	---	4,900	+4,900	4,900
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
RAPID CITY					
US PROPERTY & FISCAL WAREHOUSE/OFC ADD	745	---	---	---	---
ARMY RESERVE					
ABERDEEN					
ADD TO ARMED FORCES RESERVE CTR/MAINT SHOP	742	---	742	---	742
TOTAL, SOUTH DAKOTA	47,227	---	56,582	+9,450	55,932
TENNESSEE					
NAVY					
NAVAL AIR STATION MEMPHIS					
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYS IMPROVEMENTS	1,700	---	1,700	---	1,700
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	3,120	---	3,120	---	3,120
WATER TREATMENT FACILITY	5,540	---	5,540	---	5,540
NAVAL HOSPITAL MILLINGTON					
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	410	---	410	---	410
AIR FORCE					
ARNOLD ENGINEERING DEV CENTER					
ALTER TRANSONIC WIND TUNNEL	5,000	---	5,000	---	5,000
ECIP-ALTER MECHANICAL SYSTEM	2,100	---	2,100	---	2,100
HEAVY EQUIPMENT SHOP	600	---	600	---	600
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
ELIZABETHTON					
ARMORY 200 PERSON	955	---	---	---	---
GALLATIN					
ARMORY 60 PERSON	716	---	---	---	---
SAVANNAH					
ARMORY 60 PERSON	727	---	---	---	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
ARMY RESERVE					
NASHVILLE					
ADD TO ARMED FORCES RESERVE CTR/MAINT SHOP........	5,556	---	5,556	---	5,556
TOTAL, TENNESSEE................................	26,424	---	24,026	---	24,026
TEXAS					
ARMY					
FORT BLISS					
DINING FACILITIES MODERNIZATION..................	3,700	---	3,700	---	3,700
GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING......................	16,000	---	16,000	---	16,000
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP..........................	4,850	---	4,850	---	4,850
CORPUS CHRISTI ARMY DEPOT					
COMPOSITE BLADE TEST FACILITY.....................	---	---	2,200	---	---
ENERGY CONSERVATION MEASURES......................	650	-650	---	-650	---
FORT HOOD					
BRIGADE HEADQUARTERS.............................	1,000	---	1,000	---	1,000
COMPANY ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPLY................	500	---	500	---	500
ELECTRICAL SUBSTATION............................	5,600	---	5,600	---	5,600
FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING........................	3,350	---	3,350	---	3,350
HEADQUARTERS BLDG................................	---	---	33,000	+33,000	33,000
MILITARY OPERATIONS IN URBANIZED TERRAIN..........	7,300	---	7,300	---	7,300
MULTI-PURPOSE TRAINING RANGE.....................	3,250	---	3,250	---	3,250
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP..........................	7,000	---	7,000	---	7,000
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP..........................	1,350	---	1,350	---	1,350
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP..........................	1,100	---	1,100	---	1,100
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP..........................	940	---	940	---	940
WATER SUPPLY & STORAGE...........................	---	---	5,800	+5,800	5,800
RED RIVER ARMY DEPOT					
TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY......................	830	---	830	---	830
NAVY					
NAVAL AIR STATION CHASE FIELD					
ELECTRONIC/COMMUNICATIONS MAINT SHOP..............	425	---	425	---	425
ENERGY MONITORING AND CONTROL SYSTEM..............	1,100	---	1,100	---	1,100
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS......................	620	---	620	---	620
HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINE..........................	690	---	690	---	690
LAND ACQUISITION.................................	480	---	480	---	480
NAVAL AIR STATION CORPUS CHRISTI					
CHILD CARE CENTER................................	620	---	558	---	558
COLD STORAGE WAREHOUSE...........................	550	---	550	---	550
LAND ACQUISITION.................................	2,960	---	2,960	---	2,960
OPERATIONAL TRAINER FACILITY MODERNIZATION........	545	---	545	---	545
NAVAL AIR STATION KINGSVILLE					
PAY & PERSONNEL SUPPORT OFF......................	710	---	710	---	710
PUBLIC WORKS SHOP................................	1,470	---	1,470	---	1,470
AIR FORCE					
BERGSTROM AFB					
ADD/ALTER COMPOSITE MEDICAL FACILITY..............	450	---	450	---	450
ADD/ALTER GYMNASIUM..............................	3,050	---	3,050	---	3,050
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING.........	6,300	---	6,300	---	6,300
ECIP-ALTER LIGHTING/MECHANICAL SYSTEMS............	1,100	-1,100	1,100	-1,100	---
BROOKS AFB					
ADD/ALTER ACADEMIC FACILITY......................	500	---	500	---	500
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING.........	1,000	---	1,000	---	1,000
DINING HALL......................................	1,400	---	1,400	---	1,400
DIRECTED ENERGY LAB..............................	1,550	---	000	---	1,550
CARSWELL AFB					
ADD/ALTER AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FAC..........	3,450	---	3,450	---	3,450
ADD/ALTER ALERT CREW FACILITY.....................	2,250	---	2,250	---	2,250
AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE STORAGE IGLOOS..........	11,600	-600	11,000	-600	11,000

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
AIRCRAFT-GENERAL PURPOSE SHOP	2,350	---	2,350	---	2,350
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	5,000	---	5,000	---	5,000
DYESS AFB					
AERIAL DELIVERY FACILITY	2,750	---	2,750	---	2,750
B-1 FIELD MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	1,150	---	1,150	---	1,150
B-1 MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	3,500	---	3,500	---	3,500
B-1 MUNITIONS STORAGE & SUPPORT FACILITIES	6,800	---	6,800	---	6,800
B-1 WEAPONS STORAGE AREA/BASE UTILITY UPGR	4,700	---	4,700	---	4,700
B-1-ADD/ALTER AVIONICS MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,700	---	1,700	---	1,700
B-1-AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	3,700	---	3,700	---	3,700
B-1-AIRCRAFT ENGINE INSP & REPAIR SHOP	2,500	---	2,500	---	2,500
B-1-BLAST DEFLECTORS	750	---	750	---	750
B-1-HYDRANT FUELING WITH CASS PROVISIONS	14,500	---	14,500	---	14,500
B-1-MISCELLANEOUS FACILITIES	1,100	---	1,100	---	1,100
B-1-THREE BAY AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	9,600	---	9,600	---	9,600
EXTERIOR AREA LIGHTING	600	---	600	---	600
MILITARY PERSONNEL SUPPORT CENTER	4,350	-4,350	---	-4,350	---
PRECISION MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT LAB	910	---	910	---	910
GOODFELLOW AFB					
COMBAT INTELLIGENCE TRAINING CENTER	8,000	-400	7,600	-400	7,600
ECIP-ENERGY MONITORING & CONTROL SYSTEM	1,550	-1,550	---	-1,550	---
PAVE PAWS SUPPORT FACILITIES	7,600	---	7,600	---	7,600
LACKLAND AFB					
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	7,440	---	7,440	---	7,440
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	1,850	---	1,850	---	1,850
LAUGHLIN AFB					
FLIGHT TRAINING/ACADEMIC CLASSROOM	7,400	-500	6,900	-500	6,900
RANDOLPH AFB					
ADD/ALTER MILITARY PERSONNEL CENTER	7,040	---	7,040	---	7,040
UNACCOMP OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,700	-2,700	2,700	-2,700	---
REESE AFB					
BASE CIVIL ENGINEER MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	4,900	---	4,900	---	4,900
SHEPPARD AFB					
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	6,300	---	6,300	---	6,300
ARMY RESERVE					
DALLAS					
ADD TO ARMY RESERVE CTR/MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,709	---	1,709	---	1,709
WACO					
ADD TO ARMED FORCES RESERVE CTR/MAINT SHOP	1,743	---	1,743	---	1,743
NAVY RESERVE					
NAVAL AIR STATION DALLAS					
AVIATION TECHNICAL TRAINING BUILDING	2,380	---	2,380	---	2,380
MAG-41 LOGISTICS BUILDING	620	---	620	---	620
NMCRC DALLAS					
MOMAG TRAINING BLDG	395	---	395	---	395
AIR FORCE RESERVE					
BERGSTROM AFB					
RESERVE FORCES OPNS & TRAINING FACILITY	1,900	---	1,900	---	1,900
KELLY AFB					
APRON/TAXIWAY/HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	21,490	---	21,490	---	21,490
FUEL STORAGE	1,860	---	1,860	---	1,860
HANGAR & MAINTENANCE SHOP	8,150	---	8,150	---	8,150
TOTAL, TEXAS	261,227	-11,850	293,365	+26,950	288,115
UTAH					
AIR FORCE					
HILL AFB					
ADD/ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	12,800	---	12,800	---	12,800
ADD/ALTER FLIGHT TEST FACILITY	2,813	-400	2,413	-400	2,413

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY	790	---	790	---	790
ALTER ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	6,700	-1,300	5,400	-1,300	5,400
ECIP-WEATHERIZE BUILDINGS/ALTER MECHANICAL	1,500	---	1,500	---	1,500
INDUSTRIAL AREA SECURITY SUPPORT	1,600	-1,600	---	-1,600	---
INTEGRATION SUPPORT FACILITY	8,400	-400	8,000	-400	8,000
PEACEKEEPER-ADD/ALTER X-RAY FACILITY	330	---	330	---	330
PEACEKEEPER-EQUIPMENT/SPARES STORAGE	4,700	---	4,700	---	4,700
PEACEKEEPER-STAGE IV STORAGE	2,700	---	2,700	---	2,700
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	7,100	---	7,100	---	7,100
ARMY RESERVE					
SALT LAKE CITY					
ADD TO ARMY RESERVE CTR/MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,170	---	1,170	---	1,170
TOTAL, UTAH	50,603	-3,700	46,903	-3,700	46,903
VIRGINIA					
ARMY					
FORT BELVOIR					
COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	11,200	---	17,200	---	11,200
COMPUTER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT FACILITY	31,200	---	---	---	31,200
FORT EUSTIS					
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	3,300	---	3,300	---	3,300
FORT LEE					
CIDC FIELD OPERATIONS BUILDING	1,150	---	1,150	---	1,150
FORT MYER					
RELIGIOUS EDUCATION FACILITY	700	---	700	---	700
RADFORD ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT					
RED WATER DISPOSAL FACILITY	26,000	---	26,000	---	26,000
FT PICKETT					
HEATING PLANT	---	---	2,400	---	---
FORT STORY					
MAINTENANCE FACILITY	6,100	---	6,100	---	6,100
NAVY					
ATLANTIC FLEET HQTRS SUPPORT ACT NORFOLK					
OPERATIONS COMMAND CENTER ADDITION	24,700	---	18,000	---	24,700
FLEET TRAINING CENTER NORFOLK					
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	4,450	---	4,450	---	4,450
MARINE CORPS DEV & EDUC COMMAND QUANTICO					
UNACCOM ENLISTED PERS HSG MODERNIZATION	3,710	---	3,710	---	3,710
NAVAL AIR REWORK FACILITY NORFOLK					
JET ENGINE OVERHAUL SHOP MODERNIZATION	10,000	---	10,000	---	10,000
NAVAL AIR STATION NORFOLK					
AIRCRAFT GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP	3,600	---	3,600	---	3,600
NAVAL AIR STATION OCEANA					
AIRCRAFT SERVICE POINTS	3,000	---	3,000	---	3,000
HAZARADOUS AND FLAMMABLE STOREHOUSE	565	---	565	---	565
LAND ACQUISITION	7,700	-7,700	---	-7,700	---
PAY & PERSONNEL SUPPORT OFFICE	1,350	---	1,350	---	1,350
NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE LITTLE CREEK					
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	730	---	730	---	730
HEATING PLANT IMPROVEMENTS	1,580	---	1,580	---	1,580
LANDING CRAFT AIR CUSHION COMPLEX	19,400	---	19,400	---	19,400
PAY & PERSONNEL SUPPORT OFF	1,030	---	1,030	---	1,030
PIER UTILITIES	6,210	---	6,210	---	6,210
NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS SCHOOL LITTLE CREEK					
APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	725	---	725	---	725
NAVAL HOSPITAL PORTSMOUTH					
BOILER PLANT MODIFICATIONS	410	---	410	---	410
NAVAL SAFETY CENTER NORFOLK					
NAVAL SAFETY CENTER	3,640	---	---	---	3,640

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
NAVAL SECURITY GROUP ACT NW CHESAPEAKE					
OPERATIONS BUILDING ADDITION	4,600	---	2,500	---	2,500
NAVAL SHIPYARD NORFOLK PORTSMOUTH					
NUCLEAR REPAIR SHOP	11,330	---	11,330	---	11,330
NAVAL STATION NORFOLK					
COMMUNICATIONS TRAINING FACILITY	345	---	345	---	345
GENERAL PURPOSE BERTHING PIER	15,100	---	15,100	---	15,100
SECURITY BUILDING	850	---	850	---	850
TRANSFORMER STATIONS	6,220	---	6,220	---	6,220
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	8,100	---	8,100	---	8,100
NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER NORFOLK					
FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS	1,420	---	1,420	---	1,420
NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER DAHLGREN					
COMPUTATION AND ANALYSIS LABORATORY ADDN	1,330	---	1,330	---	1,330
UNACCOMP ENL PERS HSG & DIN FAC-WALLOPS IS	2,950	---	2,950	---	2,950
UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	700	---	650	---	650
NAVAL WEAPONS STATION YORKTOWN					
HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINE	1,140	---	1,140	---	1,140
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER NORFOLK					
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION LINES	4,050	---	4,050	---	4,050
AIR FORCE					
LANGLEY AFB					
ADD/ALTER VARIOUS ADMINISTRATIVE FACILIT	4,300	---	4,300	---	4,300
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE AREA LIGHTING	1,440	---	1,440	---	1,440
ANTI-SATELLITE-ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDING	645	---	---	---	645
ANTI-SATELLITE-CONTROL CENTER	860	---	---	---	860
ANTI-SATELLITE-CRYOGEN STORAGE & PROC FAC	955	---	---	---	955
ANTI-SATELLITE-HYDRAZINE STORAGE	370	---	---	---	370
ANTI-SATELLITE-INTEGRATED MAINT FACILITY	9,800	---	---	---	9,800
ANTI-SATELLITE-MISSILE & MOTOR STORAGE FAC	3,870	---	---	---	3,870
BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX - PH II	2,700	-500	2,200	-500	2,200
ECIP-INSTALL RADIANT HEATERS	640	---	640	---	640
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,450	---	3,450	---	3,450
PENTAGON					
ADD TO NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND CENTER	4,750	---	4,750	---	4,750
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
CLASSIFIED ACTIVITY FORT BELVOIR					
OPERATIONS BUILDING ADDITION	28,400	---	28,400	---	28,400
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
CAMP PENDLETON (VIRGINIA BEACH)					
ARMORY 200 PERSON	1,540	---	1,540	---	1,540
SOUTH BOSTON					
ARMORY 60 PERSON	705	---	705	---	705
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
RICHARD E BYRD IAP					
COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITY	4,100	---	4,100	---	4,100
ARMY RESERVE					
FORT PICKETT					
RANGE FACILITIES	875	---	875	---	875
NAVY RESERVE					
NARU NORFOLK					
NARU/RESPATWINGLANT HEADQUARTERS BUILDING	1,180	---	1,180	---	1,180
TOTAL, VIRGINIA	301,165	-8,200	241,175	-8,200	290,815
WASHINGTON					
ARMY					
FORT LEWIS					
DINING FACILITIES MODERNIZATION	800	---	800	---	800
HOSPITAL SITE PREPARATION	11,200	---	11,200	---	11,200

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
INSULATE BUILDINGS	2,850	---	2,850	---	2,850
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,400	---	1,400	---	1,400
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	940	---	940	---	940
WATER POLLUTION ABATEMENT	740	---	740	---	740
NAVY					
NAVAL AIR STATION WHIDBEY ISLAND					
ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP	8,930	---	8,930	---	8,930
MAINTENANCE HANGAR	6,820	---	6,820	---	6,820
TERMINAL EQUIPMENT BUILDING	17,000	---	17,000	---	17,000
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	12,130	---	12,130	---	12,130
NAVAL SHIPYARD BREMERTON PUGET SOUND					
STEAM PLANT (PHASE III)	79,500	-59,500	20,000	---	20,000
MEDICAL CLINIC	6,220	---	6,220	---	6,220
NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE BANGOR					
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	440	---	440	---	440
NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER BREMERTON					
DATA PROCESSING CENTER	6,160	---	6,160	---	6,160
AIR FORCE					
FAIRCHILD AFB					
AIRCRAFT MAINT. FAC	---	---	4,900	---	---
BACKUP SATELLITE OPERATIONS CENTER/LAND AC	5,700	---	5,700	---	5,700
SECURITY POLICE FAC	---	---	2,600	---	---
SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	---	---	4,000	---	---
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	5,800	---	5,800	-5,800	---
MCCHORD AFB					
ADD/ALTER CHILD CARE CENTER	1,250	---	1,125	---	1,125
BASE AMMUNITION MAINTENANCE SHOP	430	---	430	---	430
ECIP-INSULATE BUILDING/ALTER MECHANICAL	670	---	670	---	670
MISSILE STORAGE FACILITY	400	---	400	---	400
VEHICLE OPS ADMINISTRATION FACILITY	440	---	440	---	440
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
DEFENSE FUEL SUPPORT POINT MUKILTEO					
FIRE PROTECTION	500	---	500	---	500
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
FORT LEWIS					
UNIT TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE	1,471	---	1,471	---	1,471
AIR FORCE RESERVE					
MCCHORD AFB					
ADD TO AERIAL PORT	440	---	440	---	440
TOTAL, WASHINGTON	172,231	-59,500	124,106	-5,800	106,806
WEST VIRGINIA					
NAVY					
NAVAL RADIO STATION SUGAR GROVE					
FIRE STATION	400	---	400	---	400
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
E WV REGIONAL APRT (MARTINSBURG)					
AERIAL PORT TRAINING/MOBILITY STORAGE	1,900	---	1,900	---	1,900
ALTER VARIOUS BUILDINGS	1,000	---	1,000	---	1,000
TOTAL, WEST VIRGINIA	3,300	---	3,300	---	3,300
WISCONSIN					
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
ARCADIA					
ARMORY 60 PERSON	674	---	674	---	674
CLINTONVILLE					
ARMORY 60 PERSON	700	---	700	---	700

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
KENOSHA					
ARMORY 200 PERSON	1,141	---	---	---	---
OCONOMOWOC					
ARMORY 60 PERSON	754	---	754	---	754
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
TRUAX FLD					
UPGRADE ROADS/STORM DRAINAGE	500	---	500	---	500
VOLK FIELD					
FIRE STATION	1,000	---	1,000	---	1,000
ARMY RESERVE					
BEAVER DAM					
60-MBR ARMY RESERVE CTR/STORAGE BUILDING	1,306	---	1,306	---	1,306
AIR FORCE RESERVE					
GEN B MITCHELL FLD					
ADD/ALTER SECURITY OPERATIONS	476	---	476	---	476
ADD/ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	509	---	509	---	509
TOTAL, WISCONSIN	7,060	---	5,919	---	5,919
WYOMING					
AIR FORCE					
FE WARREN AFB					
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	5,250	---	5,250	---	5,250
PEACEKEEPER-ADD/ALT MISSILE MAINT/SUP SHOP	2,050	---	2,050	---	2,050
PEACEKEEPER-ADD/ALT MUNITIONS SUPPLY STOR	800	---	800	---	800
PEACEKEEPER-ADD/ALTER STANDBY POWER	500	---	500	---	500
PEACEKEEPER-ALTER COMMUNICATION MAINT FAC	480	---	480	---	480
PEACEKEEPER-ALTER SECURITY CONTROL CENTER	400	---	400	---	400
PEACEKEEPER-ASSEMBLY SURVEILLANCE & INSPFC	16,000	-5,600	10,400	---	10,400
PEACEKEEPER-CANISTER PROCESSING FACILITY	2,800	---	2,800	---	2,800
PEACEKEEPER-ENTRY CONTROL FACILITY	330	---	330	---	330
PEACEKEEPER-EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SHOP FAC	9,000	---	9,000	---	9,000
PEACEKEEPER-HEATING DISTRIBUTION LINES	5,000	---	5,000	---	5,000
PEACEKEEPER-INTEGRATED SUPPORT COMPLEX	9,800	---	9,800	---	9,800
PEACEKEEPER-LAUNCH FACILITIES SITE WORK	3,200	---	---	---	3,200
PEACEKEEPER-LAUNCH FACILITY TRAINER (SILO)	3,900	---	---	---	3,900
PEACEKEEPER-MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY	3,150	---	3,150	---	3,150
PEACEKEEPER-MISSILE STAGE PROCESSING FAC	5,800	---	5,800	---	5,800
PEACEKEEPER-PROOF LOAD TEST FACILITY	510	---	510	---	510
PEACEKEEPER-RAIL TRANSFER FACILITY	730	---	730	---	730
PEACEKEEPER-REAL ESTATE ACQUISITION	1,200	---	---	---	1,200
PEACEKEEPER-REENTRY SYS/REENTRY VEH STOR	1,100	---	1,100	---	1,100
PEACEKEEPER-ROADS	5,000	---	5,000	---	5,000
PEACEKEEPER-SECURITY LIGHTING, FENCE (WSA)	1,100	---	1,100	---	1,100
PEACEKEEPER-SHOPS	2,400	---	2,400	---	2,400
PEACEKEEPER-SPECIAL VEHICLE PARK AREA	370	---	370	---	370
PEACEKEEPER-STAGE STORAGE AREA FENCE	350	---	350	---	350
PEACEKEEPER-STAGE STORAGE FACILITIES	1,200	---	1,200	---	1,200
PEACEKEEPER-UPGRADE ROADS & HIGHWAYS	21,000	---	---	---	21,000
PEACEKEEPER-UTILITIES	7,600	---	7,600	---	7,600
PEACEKEEPER - IMPACT FUNDS	---	---	---	+5,940	5,940
PEACEKEEPER - GENERAL REDUCTION	---	---	---	-5,940	---
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
CHEYENNE					
ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	357	---	---	---	---
TOTAL, WYOMING	111,377	-5,600	76,120	---	111,360

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
CONUS CLASSIFIED					
ARMY					
CONUS CLASSIFIED	3,800	---	2,000	---	3,800
AIR FORCE					
BASE 10-CLASSIFIED LOCATION					
ADD TO TECHNICAL BUILDING	2,150	---	2,150	---	2,150
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	5,900	---	5,900	---	5,900
ELECTRIC SUBSTATION	500	---	500	---	500
TOTAL, CONUS CLASSIFIED	12,350	---	10,550	---	12,350
CONUS UNSPECIFIED					
AIR FORCE					
REAL ESTATE FOR GWEN FACILITIES	1,200	---	---	---	1,200
SUPPORT FACILITIES	53,700	---	53,700	---	53,700
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
AIRCRAFT ARRESTING SYSTEMS	1,900	---	1,900	---	1,900
POWER CHECK PAD WITH SUPPRESSOR	2,400	---	2,400	---	2,400
TOTAL, CONUS UNSPECIFIED	59,200	---	58,000	---	59,200
CONUS VARIOUS					
ARMY					
ACCESS ROADS	500	-500	---	-500	---
TOTAL, CONUS VARIOUS	500	-500	---	-500	---
BELGIUM					
AIR FORCE					
FLORENNES					
GLCM-ARMED FORCES RADIO & TV SERVICE	720	---	---	---	---
GLCM-CHILD CARE CENTER	770	---	---	---	---
GLCM-LIBRARY	570	---	---	---	---
GLCM-OPEN MESS	2,580	-2,580	---	-2,580	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
FLORENNES					
ELEMENTARY/HIGH SCHOOL	10,100	-10,100	---	-10,100	---
TOTAL, BELGIUM	14,740	-12,680	---	-12,680	---
DIEGO GARCIA					
NAVY					
NAVAL SECURITY GROUP DETACH DIEGO GARCIA					
ANTENNA SUPPORT FACILITIES	380	---	380	---	380
NAVY SUPPORT FACILITY DIEGO GARCIA					
LIGHTED NAVIGATIONAL RANGE	495	-495	---	-495	---
WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	5,930	---	5,930	---	5,930
AIR FORCE					
DIEGO GARCIA					
GEODSS-UNACCOMP OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	7,600	---	7,600	---	7,600
MUNITIONS STORAGE/MAINTENANCE	8,500	---	8,500	---	8,500
TOTAL, DIEGO GARCIA	22,905	-495	22,410	-495	22,410

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
GERMANY					
ARMY					
7TH ARMY TRAINING COMMAND					
INSULATE BUILDINGS	1,050	---	1,050	---	1,050
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	5,100	---	5,100	---	5,100
VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	3,550	---	3,550	---	3,550
ANSBACH					
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FAC (KATTERBACH, KASERNE)	19,000	---	---	---	19,000
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON (STORKS BARRACKS)	19,000	-7,000	---	-7,000	12,000
AMMUNITION STORAGE	730	-730	730	-730	---
AMMUNITION STORAGE	1,500	---	1,500	-1,500	---
AUTOMATION OF COAL FIRED HEATING PLANT	2,500	---	2,500	---	2,500
BARRACKS	9,500	-9,500	---	---	9,500
BARRACKS	10,800	-500	10,300	---	10,300
DINING FACILITY	1,800	-1,800	---	---	1,800
FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	2,700	---	2,700	---	2,700
HEATING PLANT	9,400	---	9,400	---	9,400
OPERATIONS BUILDING	3,250	---	3,250	---	3,250
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	710	-710	---	---	710
UTILITIES	5,500	-5,500	---	---	5,500
ASCHAFFENBURG					
AMMUNITION STORAGE	780	---	780	-780	---
AMMUNITION SURVEILLANCE FACILITY	7,300	---	7,300	---	7,300
FUEL STORAGE AND DISPENSING FACILITY	700	---	700	---	700
HARDSTAND	760	---	760	---	760
AUGSBURG					
HARDSTAND	650	---	650	---	650
OPERATIONS BUILDING ADDITION	960	---	960	---	960
BAMBERG					
BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	2,450	---	2,450	---	2,450
BAUMHOLDER					
MAINTENANCE FACILITY	7,400	---	7,400	---	7,400
EUROPE VARIOUS					
AMMUNITION STORAGE PHASE 1	670	---	670	-670	---
PAVED ROADS	1,400	-1,400	---	-1,400	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	5,300	---	5,300	---	5,300
TROOP MEDICAL AND DENTAL CLINIC	2,450	---	2,450	---	2,450
FRANKFURT					
HEATING SYSTEM RENOVATION	4,600	---	4,600	---	4,600
FRIEDBERG					
BARRACKS	10,600	---	10,450	---	10,450
DINING FACILITY	2,550	---	2,550	---	2,550
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	13,200	---	12,750	---	12,750
VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	1,450	---	1,450	---	1,450
FULDA					
AMMUNITION STORAGE	1,850	---	1,850	-1,850	---
FUEL STORAGE AND DISPENSING FACILITY	900	---	900	---	900
MILITARY CLOTHING SALES STORE	360	---	360	---	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	9,900	---	9,900	---	9,900
GERMANY VARIOUS					
ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	3,000	---	3,000	---	3,000
INSULATE BUILDINGS	770	---	770	---	770
INSULATE BUILDINGS	1,300	---	1,300	---	1,300
INSULATE BUILDINGS	4,100	---	4,100	---	4,100
INTELLIGENCE TRAINING FACILITY	1,200	---	1,200	---	1,200
GIESSEN					
BARRACKS	12,000	---	---	---	12,000
BATTALION HEADQUARTERS	1,550	---	---	---	1,550
FUEL STORAGE AND DISPENSING FACILITY	2,700	---	2,700	---	2,700

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	11,600	---	---	---	11,600
UTILITIES	3,900	---	---	---	3,900
HANAU					
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	3,150	---	2,884	---	2,000
HEIDELBERG					
WAREHOUSE	1,350	---	1,350	---	1,350
HEILBRONN					
TROOP MEDICAL AND DENTAL CLINIC	2,800	---	2,800	---	2,800
KAISERSLAUTERN					
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	3,350	---	3,350	---	3,350
TROOP MEDICAL AND DENTAL CLINIC	2,950	---	2,950	---	2,950
KARLSRUHE					
SMALL ARMS REPAIR SHOP	460	---	460	---	460
LUDWIGSBURG					
HEALTH/DENTAL CLINIC	2,750	-2,750	2,750	---	2,750
MAINZ					
AMMUNITION STORAGE	870	---	870	-870	---
BRIGADE HEADQUARTERS	1,450	---	1,450	---	1,450
MANNHEIM					
CONFINEMENT FACILITY ADDITION	1,300	---	1,300	---	1,300
MUNICH					
WASTE HEAT RECOVERY SYSTEM	800	---	800	---	800
NEU ULM					
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	4,200	---	4,200	---	4,200
NUERNBERG					
AMMUNITION STORAGE	1,150	---	1,150	-1,150	---
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	10,800	---	10,800	---	10,800
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	7,700	---	7,700	---	7,700
PIRMASENS					
AUTOMATIC HEAT CONTROL SYSTEMS	300	---	300	---	300
SMALL CALIBER RANGE	290	---	290	---	290
RHEINBERG					
PURCHASE OF SUPPORT FACILITIES	16,300	-2,200	---	-16,300	---
SCHWEINFURT					
AMMUNITION STORAGE	930	---	930	-930	---
FUEL STORAGE AND DISPENSING FACILITY	920	---	920	---	920
STUTTGART					
FUEL TANKER FACILITY	3,550	---	3,550	---	3,550
VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	1,700	---	1,700	---	1,700
WIESBADEN					
FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	3,350	-3,350	---	-3,350	---
PAVED ROADS	2,800	---	2,800	---	2,800
WUERZBURG					
AMMUNITION STORAGE	530	---	530	-530	---
AMMUNITION STORAGE	510	---	510	-510	---
BARRACKS	4,800	---	4,800	---	4,800
DENTAL CLINIC	2,000	---	2,000	---	2,000
DINING FACILITY	1,650	---	1,650	---	1,650
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	16,000	---	16,000	---	16,000
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	5,500	---	5,500	---	5,500
WAREHOUSE	1,900	---	1,900	---	1,900
AIR FORCE					
ALZEY					
TACTICAL AIR CONTROL FACILITY	3,150	---	3,150	---	3,150
BITBURG AB					
ADD/ALTER AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FAC	710	-210	500	-210	500
AIRCRAFT BATTLE DAMAGE REPAIR FACILITY	3,150	-3,150	---	-3,150	---
AIRCRAFT CARGO APRON	1,150	-400	750	-400	750
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,300	-600	2,700	-600	2,700
COMBAT ARMS RANGE	600	-200	400	-200	400

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
HAHN AB					
AIRCRAFT BATTLE DAMAGE REPAIR FACILITY	3,150	-3,150	---	-3,150	---
FAMILY SUPPORT CENTER	200	-200	---	-200	---
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	800	---	---	-800	---
RAMSTEIN AB					
ADD/ALTER FLIGHT SIMULATOR	1,700	---	1,700	---	1,700
SECOND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTIC FACILITY	1,750	---	1,750	---	1,750
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	5,200	---	5,200	---	5,200
RHEIN-MAIN AB					
ADD/ALTER AEROSPACE GROUND EQUIPMENT SHOP	950	---	950	---	950
COMBAT CONTROL TEAM FACILITY	790	---	790	---	790
RECREATION LIBRARY	1,200	---	1,200	---	1,200
SPANGDAHLEM AB					
REFUEL VEHICLE PARKING	620	-200	420	-200	420
UNSPECIFIED LOCATION-GERMANY					
GLCM-BASE SUPPLY ADMINISTRATION	600	---	600	---	600
VARIOUS LOCATIONS-GERMANY					
MINI-DRONE STORAGE IGLOOS & SUPPORT	6,800	---	6,800	---	6,800
PLSS GROUND STATION SUPPORT	7,800	---	7,800	---	7,800
WENIGERATH					
BOMB RENOVATION PLANT	2,475	---	2,475	---	2,475
MUNITIONS SECURITY LIGHTING	590	---	---	-590	---
WIESBADEN					
SECOND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTIC STORAGE	650	---	650	---	650
ZWEIBRUCKEN AB					
ADD/ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,350	---	1,350	---	1,350
AIRFIELD SECURITY LIGHTING	425	---	425	---	425
BASE OPERATIONS	1,110	-210	900	-210	900
CHILD CARE CENTER	600	---	540	---	540
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT	570	---	570	---	570
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
AMBERG					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	1,860	---	1,860	---	1,860
BAD KREUZNACH					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	1,170	---	1,170	---	1,170
HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	2,490	---	2,490	---	2,490
BAD NAUHEIM					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	1,200	---	1,200	---	1,200
BINDLACH					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	1,750	---	1,750	---	1,750
CRAILSHEIM					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	1,660	---	1,660	---	1,660
FRANKFURT					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL NO. 3 (EDWARDS)	6,720	---	6,720	---	6,720
HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	4,900	---	4,900	---	4,900
GERMERSHEIM					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	1,680	-1,680	---	-1,680	---
GOEPPINGEN					
ELEMENTARY/JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	2,060	---	2,060	---	2,060
HAHN AIR BASE					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	2,840	---	2,840	---	2,840
KATTERBACH					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	3,780	---	3,780	---	3,780
LUDWIGSBURG					
HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION (STUTTGART)	2,110	---	2,110	---	2,110
MANNHEIM					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	3,780	---	3,780	---	3,780
NEUBRUECKE					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	2,700	---	2,700	---	2,700

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
OSTERHOLZ-SCHARMBECK					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	940	---	940	---	940
RHEIN MAIN AIR BASE					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	2,120	---	2,120	---	2,120
ULM					
ELEMENTARY/HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	2,970	---	2,970	---	2,970
WERTHEIM					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	2,080	---	2,080	---	2,080
WIESBADEN					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION (AUKAMM)	830	---	830	---	830
WORMS					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	2,230	---	2,230	---	2,230
WUERZBURG					
HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	2,650	---	2,650	---	2,650
TOTAL, GERMANY	428,460	-45,440	310,034	-48,960	376,830
GREECE					
ARMY					
GREECE					
BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	660	---	660	---	660
BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	660	---	660	---	660
BARRACKS WITH DINING FACILITY	2,350	---	2,350	---	2,350
MULTI-PURPOSE RECREATION FACILITY	1,150	---	1,150	---	1,150
MULTI-PURPOSE RECREATION FACILITY	960	---	960	---	960
MULTI-PURPOSE RECREATION FACILITY	1,050	---	1,050	---	1,050
UNACCOMPANIED PERSONNEL HOUSING	1,100	---	1,100	---	1,100
UNACCOMPANIED PERSONNEL HOUSING	1,800	---	1,800	---	1,800
NAVY					
NAVAL COMMUNICATION STATION NEA MAKRI					
RECEIVER BUILDING	3,950	---	---	-3,950	---
TOTAL, GREECE	13,680	---	9,730	-3,950	9,730
GREENLAND					
AIR FORCE					
THULE AB					
AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER	4,000	---	4,000	---	4,000
POWER/HEAT PLANT SYSTEM UPGRADE	21,000	---	21,000	---	21,000
TOTAL, GREENLAND	25,000	---	25,000	---	25,000
GUAM					
NAVY					
NAVAL AIR STATION AGANA					
ENERGY RECOVERY SYSTEM	300	---	300	---	300
NAVAL COM AREA MASTER STA WESTERN PAC GUAM					
RELIGIOUS EDUCATION & ACADEMIC INSTR FACS	1,330	---	1,330	---	1,330
THEATER	1,880	-1,880	---	-1,880	---
NAVAL SECURITY GROUP DETACHMENT GUAM					
ANTENNA SUPPORT FACILITIES	320	---	320	---	320
NAVAL SHIP REPAIR FACILITY GUAM					
ASBESTOS CONTROL FACILITY	1,480	---	1,480	---	1,480
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS STORAGE & HANDLING FAC	860	---	860	---	860
NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER GUAM					
VENTILATION IMPROVEMENTS	230	---	230	---	230
AIR FORCE					
ANDERSEN AFB					
AIRCRAFT GROUND EQUIPMENT SHOP	350	---	350	---	350
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	6,900	---	6,900	-6,900	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
FLIGHT SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY	5,200	---	5,200	---	5,200
SMALL PARTS CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	892	---	892	-892	---
AIR FORCE RESERVE					
ANDERSEN AFB					
AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	960	---	960	---	960
TOTAL, GUAM	20,702	-1,880	18,822	-9,672	11,030
GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA					
NAVY					
NAVAL STATION GUANTANAMO BAY					
GYMNASIUM	2,860	---	2,860	---	2,860
REFRESHER TRAINING BUILDING	3,620	---	3,620	---	3,620
TOTAL, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA	6,480	---	6,480	---	6,480
HONDURAS					
ARMY					
PALMEROLA					
CONTINGENCY FACILITY	4,300	---	---	---	---
SAN LURENZO					
CONTINGENCY FACILITY	2,900	---	---	-2,900	---
AIR FORCE					
PALMEROLA					
PALMEROLA-FORWARD MUNITIONS STORAGE AREA	1,500	---	---	-1,500	---
TOTAL, HONDURAS	8,700	---	---	-4,400	---
ICELAND					
NAVY					
NAVAL STATION KEFLAVIK					
AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS BUILDING	1,980	---	1,980	---	1,980
COMBINED OPERATIONS CENTER	4,780	---	4,000	---	4,780
FUEL FACILITIES	10,900	---	3,900	-7,110	3,900
OPERATIONAL TRAINER FACILITY	3,160	---	---	-3,160	---
POWER PLANT ADDITION	5,630	---	5,630	---	5,630
SQUADRON SUPPORT FACILITY	3,160	---	3,160	---	3,160
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	7,110	---	7,110	---	7,110
NF KEFLAVIK					
TERMINAL EQUIPMENT BUILDING ADDITION	2,620	-2,620	---	-2,620	---
FUEL PIER (84 SUPP.)	---	---	---	+30,000	30,000
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
NAVAL STATION, KEFLAVIK					
ELEMENTARY/HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	3,440	---	3,440	---	3,440
TOTAL, ICELAND	42,780	-2,620	29,220	+17,110	60,000
ITALY					
NAVY					
NAVAL AIR STATION SIGONELLA					
AUTOMOTIVE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,340	---	1,340	-1,340	---
GYMNASIUM ADDITION	1,930	---	1,930	---	1,930
LAND ACQUISTION	990	---	---	-990	---
MAINTENANCE HANGAR	7,300	---	---	-7,300	---
UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,910	---	2,000	---	2,910
UTILITIES IMPROVEMENTS	5,640	---	5,640	---	5,640
AIR FORCE					
AVIANO AB					
DANGEROUS CARGO PAD	1,600	---	1,600	---	1,600

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
COMISO					
GLCM-ARMED FORCES RADIO & TV SERVICE	1,155	---	1,155	---	---
GLCM-AUDIOVISUAL FACILITY	580	---	580	---	580
GLCM-CHILD CARE CENTER	700	---	700	---	---
GLCM-CLOTHING SALES	510	---	510	---	---
GLCM-CONSOLIDATED OPEN MESS	3,710	-3,710	---	-3,710	---
GLCM-LIBRARY	210	---	210	---	---
GLCM-SAFETY EDUC/AREA DEFENSE COUNCIL	360	---	360	---	360
GLCM-SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	940	---	940	---	940
SAN VITO AS					
ADD/ALTER OPERATIONS BUILDING	2,250	---	2,250	---	2,250
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
COMISO					
ELEMENTARY/HIGH SCHOOL	13,600	---	13,600	---	---
TOTAL, ITALY	45,725	-3,710	32,815	-13,340	16,210
JAPAN					
ARMY					
KAWAKAMI					
AIRFIELD OPERATIONS BUILDING	1,400	---	1,400	---	1,400
HEATING FUEL STORAGE	500	---	500	---	500
NAVY					
FLEET ACTIVITIES YOKOSUKA					
MOORING DOLPHINS	990	---	990	---	990
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION IWAKUNI					
ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,780	---	1,780	---	1,780
TACTICAL SUPPORT VAN PADS	4,150	---	4,150	---	4,150
VENTILATION IMPROVEMENTS	890	---	890	---	890
MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP BUTLER OKINAWA					
AMMUNITION MAGAZINES (CAMP FUJI)	950	---	950	---	950
TACTICAL VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,380	---	1,380	---	1,380
NAVAL AIR FACILITY MISAWA					
HIGH EXPLOSIVE MAGAZINE	9,300	---	9,300	---	9,300
NAVAL COMMUNICATION STATION YOKOSUKA					
PUBLIC WORKS SHOPS	980	---	980	---	980
AIR FORCE					
KADENA AB					
ADD/ALTER AIR FREIGHT OPEN STORAGE	1,500	---	1,500	---	1,500
ADD/ALTER HYDRANT FUELING-PH I	6,800	---	6,800	---	6,800
ADD/ALTER OPERATIONS BUILDING	4,850	---	4,850	---	4,850
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT STORAGE FACIL	930	---	---	-930	---
JET FUEL STORAGE - JP-4	6,600	---	6,600	---	6,600
MISAWA AB					
ADD/ALTER CHILLER PLANT	2,000	---	2,000	---	2,000
F-16-ABOVE-GROUND MUNITIONS MAGAZINES	710	---	710	---	710
F-16-AIRCRAFT ARRESTING SYSTEM	430	---	430	---	430
F-16-AIRCRAFT ILS/VORTAC	630	---	630	---	630
F-16-CONCRETE MUNITION IGLOO MAGAZINES	7,000	---	7,000	---	7,000
F-16-FLIGHT SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY	1,260	---	1,260	---	1,260
F-16-HANGAR FIRE ALARM/SUPPRESSION SYSTEMS	740	---	740	---	740
F-16-JET FUEL STORAGE	4,400	---	---	---	4,400
F-16-UPGRADE APRON PAVEMENT	2,830	---	---	---	2,830
YOKOTA AB					
ECIP-INSULATE BUILDING/ALTER LIGHTING	3,000	-2,000	1,000	-2,000	1,000
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
CAMP KINSER					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	5,410	-5,410	---	-5,410	---
CAMP MCTUREOUS					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	6,520	---	---	---	6,520

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
ZUKERAN (OKINAWA)					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	2,820	---	---	---	2,820
TOTAL, JAPAN	80,750	-7,410	55,840	-8,340	72,410
JOHNSTON ISLAND					
ARMY					
JOHNSTON ISLAND					
AMMUNITION STORAGE	21,000	---	21,000	---	21,000
CHEMICAL AGENT DISPOSAL FACILITY	46,000	---	46,000	---	46,000
TOTAL, JOHNSTON ISLAND	67,000	---	67,000	---	67,000
KOREA					
ARMY					
CAMP CARROLL					
BARRACKS	4,050	---	4,050	---	4,050
SECURITY OPERATIONS BUILDING	720		720	---	720
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	2,850	---	2,850	---	2,850
WAREHOUSE	2,950	---	2,950	---	2,950
CAMP CASEY					
BARRACKS	5,700	---	5,700	---	5,700
BARRACKS	630	---	630	---	630
BARRACKS	1,650	---	1,650	---	1,650
BARRACKS	620	---	620	---	620
BARRACKS	1,650	---	1,650	---	1,650
BARRACKS	2,400	---	2,400	---	2,400
COMPANY ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPLY	440	---	440	---	440
COMPANY SUPPLY FACILITY	580	---	580	---	580
HARDSTAND	4,900	---	4,900	---	4,900
MULTI-PURPOSE TRAINING RANGE	7,900	---	7,900	---	7,900
CAMP COLBERN					
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	1,150	---	1,150	---	1,150
CAMP COLBURN					
SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT IMPROVEMENTS	450	---	450	---	450
CAMP EDWARDS					
AMMUNITION STORAGE	290	---	290	---	290
COMPANY ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPLY	450	---	450	---	450
DINING FACILITY	1,150	---	1,150	---	1,150
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	1,050	---	1,050	---	1,050
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	900	---	900	---	900
CAMP ESSAYONS					
BARRACKS	1,600	---	1,600	---	1,600
CAMP GARRY OWEN					
BARRACKS	3,050	---	3,050	---	3,050
CAMP GIANT					
BARRACKS	1,150	---	1,150	---	1,150
CAMP GREAVES					
INFANTRY REMOTE TARGET SYSTEM RANGES	3,850	---	3,850	---	3,850
CAMP HENRY / CAMP WALKER					
BRIGADE HEADQUARTERS	6,000	---	6,000	---	6,000
CAMP HOVEY					
BARRACKS	3,900	---	3,900	---	3,900
DINING FACILITY	1,500	---	1,500	---	1,500
CAMP HOWZE					
BARRACKS	610	---	610	---	610
CAMP HUMPHREYS					
FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	2,400	-2,400	---	-2,400	---
SECURITY LIGHTING	3,700	---	3,700	---	3,700

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,000	---	1,000	---	1,000
TROOP MEDICAL AND DENTAL CLINIC	5,400	---	5,400	---	5,400
CAMP LA GUARDIA					
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,550	---	2,550	---	2,550
BARRACKS	1,050	---	1,050	---	1,050
CAMP LIBERTY BELL					
BARRACKS	810	---	810	---	810
CAMP MERCER					
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	2,200	---	2,200	---	2,200
UTILITIES	2,100	---	2,100	---	2,100
CAMP PAGE					
BARRACKS	6,000	---	6,000	---	6,000
CAMP RED CLOUD					
BARRACKS	3,600	---	3,600	---	3,600
TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,100	---	1,100	---	1,100
CAMP SEARS					
WAREHOUSE	3,100	-3,100	---	-3,100	---
CAMP STANLEY					
AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	5,300	---	5,300	---	5,300
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	1,800	---	1,800	---	1,800
CAMP STANTON					
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	1,050	---	1,050	---	1,050
CAMP WALKER					
RELIGIOUS EDUCATION FACILITY	640	---	640	---	640
KOREA VARIOUS					
AMMUNITION STORAGE	810	---	810	---	810
BARRACKS	2,400	---	2,400	---	2,400
COMPANY SUPPLY FACILITY	270	---	270	---	270
DINING FACILITY	1,250	---	1,250	---	1,250
DINING FACILITY	870	---	870	---	870
TROOP MEDICAL AND DENTAL CLINIC	2,750	---	2,750	---	2,750
LOCATION 177					
BARRACKS	2,050	---	2,050	---	2,050
DINING FACILITY	2,300	---	2,300	---	2,300
PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	1,900	---	1,900	---	1,900
WAREHOUSE	350	---	350	---	350
YONG SAN					
FUEL STORAGE AND DISPENSING FACILITY	850	---	850	---	850
AIR FORCE					
KIMHAE AB					
PORTAMOD SUPPORT	253	---	253	---	253
THIRD ECHELON MEDICAL CONTINGENCY COMPLEX	12,600	-12,600	---	-12,600	---
KUNSAN AB					
CONSOLIDATED WING HEADQUARTERS OPNS FACILI	6,900	-700	6,200	-700	6,200
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,400	---	3,400	---	3,400
UNACCOMP OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,000	---	2,000	---	2,000
KWANG-JU AB					
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,550	---	3,550	---	3,550
UNACCOMP OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	910	---	910	---	910
OSAN AB					
ALTER AIRMEN DINING HALL	1,100	---	1,100	---	1,100
ALTER/CONSTRUCT UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG	30,400	-5,200	25,200	-5,200	25,200
KCOIC ALLIED SUPPORT - PH I	290	---	290	---	290
UNACCOMP OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,900	---	3,900	---	3,900
SAECHON AB					
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	1,100	---	1,100	---	1,100
SUWON AB					
GROUND FUEL STORAGE	3,150	-100	3,050	-100	3,050
PORTAMOD SUPPORT	250	---	250	---	250
REFUEL VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	690	---	690	---	690

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
TAEGU AB					
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,800	-500	3,300	-500	3,300
UNACCOMP OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,150	-500	1,650	-500	1,650
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
DEFENSE FUEL SUPPORT POINT POHANG					
FUEL TANKAGE	15,800	---	---	---	15,800
SEOUL					
HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	1,410	---	1,410	---	1,410
TOTAL, KOREA	217,393	-25,100	176,493	-25,100	192,293
MOROCCO					
AIR FORCE					
SIDI SLIMANE AB					
AIRFIELD IMPROVEMENTS	3,000	---	3,000	---	3,000
AIRFIELD IMPROVEMENTS	2,050	---	2,050	---	2,050
TOTAL, MOROCCO	5,050	---	5,050	---	5,050
OMAN					
AIR FORCE					
VARIOUS LOCATIONS-OMAN					
SEEB-FACILITY ACCOMMODATION SUPPORT	9,800	---	---	---	---
SEEB-HARDENED AIRCRAFT SHELTERS	13,100	---	---	---	---
SEEB-TAXIWAY & DISPERSAL PAVEMENTS	2,000	---	---	---	---
SEEB-WAREHOUSE	2,000	---	2,000	---	2,000
THUMRAIT-FACILITY ACCOMMODATION SUPPORT	13,000	---	---	---	---
THUMRAIT-LOX CART STORAGE	300	---	300	---	300
THUMRAIT-TRANSIENT BILLETS	1,800	---	---	---	---
TOTAL, OMAN	42,000	---	2,300	---	2,300
NETHERLANDS					
AIR FORCE					
CAMP NEW AMSTERDAM					
AIRFIELD SECURITY LIGHTING	450	---	450	---	450
SECOND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTIC STORAGE	360	---	360	---	360
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	4,500	-800	3,700	-800	3,700
WOENSDRECHT					
DIGITAL EUROPEAN BACKBONE FACILITY	2,650	---	2,650	---	2,650
WOENSDRECHT AB					
GLCM-AUDIOVISUAL FACILITY	450	---	---	-450	---
GLCM-BASE CIVIL ENGINEER ADMINISTRATION	1,475	---	---	-1,475	---
GLCM-BASE CIVIL ENGINEER MAINTENANCE SHOPS	1,475	---	---	-175	---
GLCM-BASE CIVIL ENGINEER STORAGE FACILITY	1,040	---	---	-1,040	---
GLCM-BASE COLD STORAGE	985	---	---	---	---
GLCM-BASE SUPPLY ADMINISTRATION	1,280	---	---	---	---
GLCM-BASE SUPPLY STORAGE	2,790	---	---	-690	---
GLCM-BOUNDARY FENCE/GATE HOUSE	520	---	---	-170	---
GLCM-COMMAND & CONTROL/SAFETY MEASURES	2,700	---	---	-200	---
GLCM-COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT FACILITY	720	---	---	---	---
GLCM-COMPOSITE MEDICAL FACILITY	9,200	---	---	-9,200	---
GLCM-DINING HALL	1,980	---	---	---	---
GLCM-FIRE STATION	1,280	---	---	---	---
GLCM-GROUP HEADQUARTERS	7,100	---	---	-7,100	---
GLCM-HIGH FREQUENCY FACILITY	885	---	---	---	---
GLCM-MEDICAL WAREHOUSE	315	---	---	-315	---
GLCM-OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE TRAINING FAC	1,970	---	---	---	---
GLCM-PHYSICAL TRAINING FACILITY	3,700	---	---	-3,700	---
GLCM-SMALL ARMS RANGE & STORAGE	1,190	---	---	-1,190	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
GLCM-SMALL COMBAT ARMS FACILITY	835	---	---	-835	---
GLCM-TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	470	---	---	---	---
GLCM-TOWER SUPPORT	295	---	---	---	---
GLCM-TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT FACILITY	1,515	---	---	-1,515	---
GLCM-UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	10,400	---	---	---	---
GLCM-UNACCOMP OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,925	---	---	-3,925	---
GLCM-UTILITIES,PAVEMENTS & ROADS	16,700	---	---	-8,700	---
GLCM-VEHICLE OPERATIONS COMPLEX	555	---	---	---	---
GLCM-VISITING AIRMEN QUARTERS	1,550	-1,550	---	-1,550	---
GLCM-VISITING OFFICER QUARTERS	1,700	---	---	-1,700	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
BRUNSSUM					
HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION (AFCENT)	2,650	---	2,650	---	2,650
TOTAL, NETHERLANDS	89,610	-2,350	9,810	-44,730	9,810
PANAMA					
NAVY					
NAVAL STATION PANAMA					
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	1,580	---	1,580	---	1,580
AIR FORCE					
HOWARD AFB					
ECIP-ALTER LIGHTING SYSTEM	360	---	360	---	360
TOTAL, PANAMA	1,940	---	1,940	---	1,940
PHILIPPINES					
NAVY					
NAVAL AIR STATION CUBI POINT					
ENGINE TEST CELL	4,940	---	4,940	---	4,940
FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	520	---	520	---	520
MAINTENANCE HANGAR	18,800	---	18,800	---	18,800
NAVAL COMMUNICATION STATION SAN MIGUEL					
LIGHTING SYSTEMS	300	---	300	---	300
NAVAL SHIP REPAIR FACILITY SUBIC BAY					
VENTILATION IMPROVEMENTS	710	---	710	---	710
NAVAL STATION SUBIC BAY					
UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	6,520	---	6,520	---	6,520
AIR FORCE					
CLARK AB					
ADD/ALTER FAMILY SUPPORT CENTER	300	-300	---	-300	---
ADD/ALTER PASSENGER TERMINAL	4,200	---	4,200	---	---
AERIAL DELIVERY FACILITY	4,200	---	4,200	---	4,200
ASSAULT LANDING STRIP	3,300	---	3,300	---	3,300
BASE HAZARDOUS STORAGE	590	---	590	---	590
ECIP-ALTER MECHANICAL/LIGHTING SYSTEMS	3,540	---	3,540	---	3,540
ESSENTIAL MAINTENANCE FACILITIES-PH 1	6,100	---	6,100	---	6,100
FORWARD SUPPLY LOCATION FACILITIES	900	---	900	---	900
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT	900	---	900	---	900
TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT OFFICE	3,600	---	3,600	---	3,600
UPGRADE POWER PLANT-PH III	14,795	---	14,795	---	14,795
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
CLARK AIR BASE					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION (WURTSMITH)	5,920	---	5,920	---	5,920
SUBIC BAY					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION (BINICTICAN)	1,040	---	1,040	---	1,040
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION (KALAYAAN)	1,220	---	1,220	---	1,220
HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION (GEORGE DEWEY)	1,240	---	1,240	---	1,240
TOTAL, PHILIPPINES	83,635	-300	83,335	-300	79,135

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
PORTUGAL					
AIR FORCE					
LAJES FIELD					
GYMNASIUM	1,900	---	1,900	---	1,900
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,650	---	2,650	---	2,650
TOTAL, PORTUGAL	4,550	---	4,550	---	4,550
PUERTO RICO					
NAVY					
NAVAL STATION ROOSEVELT ROADS					
PAY & PERSONNEL SPT OFF	1,090	---	1,090	---	1,090
WATERFRONT OPERATIONS FACILITIES	2,550	---	2,550	---	2,550
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
DEFENSE FUEL SUPPORT POINT ROOSEVELT ROADS					
FUEL TANKAGE	10,675	---	10,675	---	10,675
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
CAMP SANTIAGO (SALINAS)					
MOBILIZATION & TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE	4,871	---	4,871	---	4,871
TOTAL, PUERTO RICO	19,186	---	19,186	---	19,186
AMERICAN SAMOA					
ARMY RESERVE					
AMERICAN SAMOA					
150-MBR ARMY RESERVE CTR/EQUIPMENT SHED	3,094	---	---	---	3,094
TOTAL, AMERICAN SAMOA	3,094	---	---	---	3,094
SCOTLAND					
NAVY					
NAVAL SECURITY GROUP ACTIVITY EDZELL					
ANTENNA SUPPORT FACILITIES	340	---	340	---	340
TOTAL, SCOTLAND	340	---	340	---	340
SPAIN					
NAVY					
NAVAL HOSPITAL ROTA					
HOSPITAL	18,400	---	---	---	18,400
NAVAL STATION ROTA					
BRIG	820	---	820	---	820
ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP	3,850	---	3,850	---	3,850
ENGINE TEST CELL	5,800	---	5,000	---	5,800
ENLISTED DINING FACILITY	1,530	---	1,530	---	1,530
FAMILY SERVICES CENTER	420	---	420	---	420
GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,090	---	1,090	---	1,090
PIER #1 EXTENSION	7,600	---	---	---	7,600
UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,910	---	3,000	---	3,910
AIR FORCE					
TORREJON AB					
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	1,700	---	1,700	---	1,700
ECIP-ALTER MECHANICAL SYS/INSULATE HW MAIN	700	---	700	---	700
EDS-FORWARD STORAGE WAREHOUSE	1,050	---	1,050	---	1,050
FOURTH ECHELON MEDICAL CONTINGENCY COMPLEX	3,600	-3,600	---	-3,600	---
VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	4,700	---	4,700	---	4,700
WAREHOUSE	1,600	---	1,600	---	1,600

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
ZARAGOZA AB					
ADD/ALTER WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	1,100	---	1,100	---	1,100
TOTAL, SPAIN	57,870	-3,600	26,560	-3,600	54,270
TURKEY					
AIR FORCE					
ANKARA					
WAREHOUSE	1,100	---	1,100	---	1,100
INCIRLIK AB					
ADD/ALTER AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL	1,000	---	1,000	---	1,000
GYMNASIUM	1,600	---	1,600	---	1,600
IGLOOS	3,400	-3,400	---	-3,400	---
POST OFFICE	430	-430	---	-430	---
SECOND ECHELON MEDICAL STORAGE FACILITY	1,150	---	---	---	1,150
WAREHOUSE	2,200	-2,200	---	-2,200	---
MUS AB					
AIR CARGO RAMP	1,435	---	---	---	1,435
AMMUNITION IGLOOS	1,535	---	---	---	1,535
AMMUNITION MAINTENANCE & INSPECTION	810	---	---	---	810
ARRESTOR GEAR	720	---	---	---	720
DISPERSED APRONS/TAXITRACKS/PARALLED TAXIW	14,500	---	---	---	14,500
HANGAR	525	---	---	---	525
POL STORAGE/DISTRIBUTION	4,175	---	---	---	4,175
WATER SUPPLY & DISTRIBUTION	800	---	---	---	800
TOTAL, TURKEY	35,380	-6,030	3,700	-6,030	29,350
UNITED KINGDOM					
NAVY					
FLEET OP CONTROL CENTER EUROPE LONDON					
FLEET COMMAND CENTER	2,620	---	---	---	2,620
NAVAL ACTIVITIES LONDON					
FLEET HOSPITAL FACILITIES(RAF LOCKING)	6,620	---	---	---	6,620
AIR FORCE					
MILDENHALL RAF					
AIRCRAFT ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	800	---	800	---	800
AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	9,200	-9,200	---	-9,200	---
JP-7 JET FUEL STORAGE FACILITY	2,500	---	2,500	---	2,500
UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	1,600	---	1,600	---	1,600
RAF ALCONBURY					
ADD TO SEWER MAINS	510	---	510	---	510
CHILD CARE CENTER	1,150	---	1,035	---	1,035
PLSS GROUND STATION SUPPORT	3,300	---	3,300	---	3,300
SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT	550	---	550	---	550
RAF CHICKSANDS					
ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,550	---	3,550	---	3,550
RAF GREENHAM COMMON					
GLCM-ADD/ALTER CHAPEL CENTER	400	---	400	---	400
GLCM-LIBRARY	600	---	600	---	---
JET FUEL STORAGE	11,000	---	11,000	---	11,000
RAF LAKENHEATH					
FLIGHTLINE ROADS/PARKING & FENCE	1,050	---	1,050	---	1,050
TROOP ISSUE WAREHOUSE	1,050	---	1,050	---	1,050
RAF MOLESWORTH					
GLCM-COMMAND & CONTROL/FIRE PROTECTION	2,644	---	2,644	---	2,644
GLCM-OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE TRAINING FAC	3,460	---	3,460	---	3,460
GLCM-UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG-ALCONBURY	4,780	---	4,780	---	4,780
GLCM-UTILITIES/PAVEMENTS	4,120	---	4,120	---	4,120

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
RAF UPPER HEYFORD					
ADD/ALTER FLIGHT SIMULATOR	1,700	---	1,700	---	1,700
COMBAT ARMS RANGE	610	---	610	---	610
REFUEL VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	750	---	750	---	750
WAREHOUSE	2,150	---	2,150	---	2,150
RAF WELFORD					
MUNITIONS SECURITY LIGHTING	740	---	740	---	740
RAF WETHERSFIELD					
JET FUEL STORAGE	4,300	-4,300	---	-4,300	---
RAF WOODBRIDGE					
UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,050	---	2,050	---	2,050
VARIOUS LOCATIONS-UNITED KINGDOM					
MIN ESSENTIAL FAC-RAF ABINGTON-FUEL STORAG	3,000	-400	---	---	---
MIN ESSENTIAL FAC-RAF BENSON-ACFT PARKING	2,800	-800	---	---	---
MIN ESSENTIAL FAC-RAF BENSON-FUEL STORAGE	2,300	---	---	---	---
MIN ESSENTIAL FAC-RAF ODIHAM-ACFT PARKING	4,850	-1,850	---	---	---
MIN ESSENTIAL FAC-RAF ODIHAM-FUEL STORAGE	3,750	-950	---	---	---
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
CHICKSANDS ROYAL AIR FORCE STATION					
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	1,010	---	1,010	---	1,010
GREENHAM COMMON ROYAL AIR FORCE STATION					
ELEMENTARY AND HIGH SCHOOL	13,600	---	13,600	---	13,600
HIGH WYCOMBE ROYAL AIR FORCE STATION					
HIGH SCHOOL (LONDON CENTRAL)	6,270	---	6,270	---	6,270
WOODBRIDGE ROYAL AIR FORCE STATION					
HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	1,730	---	1,730	---	1,730
TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM	113,114	-17,500	73,559	-13,500	82,199
VIRGIN ISLANDS					
NAVY					
ST. CROIX					
LAND ACQUISITION	600	---	600	---	600
WAKE ISLAND					
AIR FORCE					
WAKE ISLAND					
VORTAC FACILITY	1,235	---	1,235	---	1,235
TOTAL, WAKE ISLAND	1,235	---	1,235	---	1,235
OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED					
ARMY					
RAPID DEPLOYMENT FORCE FACILITIES	62,000	-62,000	---	-62,000	---
AIR FORCE					
BASE 11					
ADD/ALTER TECHNICAL BUILDING	6,100	---	6,100	---	6,100
BASE 13					
SATELLITE COMMUNICATION GROUND TERMINAL	2,050	---	2,050	---	2,050
BASE 14					
SATELLITE COMMUNICATION GROUND TERMINAL	1,700	---	1,700	---	1,700
BASE 19					
SATELLITE COMMUNICATION GROUND TERMINAL	2,850	---	2,850	---	2,850
CLASSIFIED OVERSEAS LOCATIONS					
ALTERNATE WAR HEADQUARTERS	7,850	-300	---	-7,850	-
AUXILIARY SEISMIC NETWORK	2,100	---	2,100	---	2,100
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	4,500	---	4,500	---	4,500
CLASSIFIED PROJECT	700	---	700	---	700
COMPUTER FACILITY PRIMARY POWER UPGRADE	12,900	---	12,900	---	12,900
TOTAL, OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED	102,750	-62,300	32,900	-69,850	32,900

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
OVERSEAS VARIOUS					
AIR FORCE					
CHEMICAL WARFARE PROTECTION	13,400	---	---	---	13,400
TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES	3,000	---	3,000	---	3,000
NAVY					
NATO INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT	2,790	---	---	---	2,790
TOTAL, OVERSEAS VARIOUS	19,190	---	3,000	---	19,190
NATO					
NATO INFRASTRUCTURE	296,700	-165,000	131,700	-165,000	107,200
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED					
ARMY					
GENERAL REDUCTION	---	-80,000	-80,000	-198,800	-210,000
MINOR CONSTRUCTION - UNSPECIFIED	33,000	---	25,000	---	33,000
PLANNING AND DESIGN	190,000	-30,000	147,000	-30,000	160,000
NAVY					
GENERAL REDUCTION	---	-80,000	-80,000	-80,000	-80,000
PLANNING & DESIGN	157,900	-17,000	140,900	-17,000	140,900
UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION	19,000	---	19,000	---	19,000
AIR FORCE					
GENERAL REDUCTION	---	-110,000	-60,000	-150,670	-110,000
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	21,000	---	19,000	---	21,000
MINOR LAND	200	---	130	---	200
PLANNING & DESIGN	163,900	---	143,900	-20,000	143,900
DEFENSE AGENCIES					
GENERAL REDUCTION	---	---	-44,250	---	-20,000
CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION	15,000	---	---	---	---
EXIGENT MINOR CONSTRUCTION	4,000	---	3,000	---	4,000
PLANNING & DESIGN	30,000	---	25,000	---	30,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	19,053	---	8,009	---	19,053
PLANNING & DESIGN	9,000	---	9,000	---	9,000
GENERAL INCREASE	---	---	10,900	---	10,900
AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	7,900	---	7,900	---	7,900
PLANNING & DESIGN	8,000	---	8,000	---	8,000
GENERAL REDUCTION	---	---	-10,000	---	---
ARMY RESERVE					
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	5,550	---	5,550	---	5,550
PLANNING	8,500	---	8,500	---	8,500
NAVY RESERVE					
DESIGN	3,400	---	3,400	---	3,400
EXIGENT MINOR CONSTRUCTION	2,895	---	2,895	---	2,895
AIR FORCE RESERVE					
MINOR CONSTRUCTION	2,250	---	2,250	---	2,250
PLANNING & DESIGN	4,650	---	4,650	---	4,650
TOTAL, WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED	705,198	-317,000	319,725	-496,470	214,098
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY					
CALIFORNIA					
SIERRA ARMY DEPOT	6,974	---	6,050	-853	6,121
MARYLAND					
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUNDS	---	---	---	---	---
TEXAS					
FT HOOD	---	---	1,950	---	---
GERMANY					
BABENHAUSEN,F.R	8,856	---	7,620	-8,856	---
MAINZ,F.R	18,233	---	14,200	-18,233	---

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	108,822	---	100,000	-20,000	88,822
PLANNING AND DESIGN	13,915	---	13,915	---	13,915
GENERAL REDUCTION	---	-20,000	---	-20,000	-20,000
SUBTOTAL	156,800	-20,000	143,735	-67,942	88,858
OPERATING EXPENSES					
MANAGEMENT	70,577	---	65,800	---	70,577
SERVICES	49,547	---	44,500	---	49,547
UTILITIES	288,940	-1,900	285,000	-1,900	287,040
FURNISHINGS	132,681	---	112,800	---	132,681
MISCELLANEOUS	1,093	---	1,000	---	1,093
SUBTOTAL	542,838	-1,900	509,100	-1,900	540,938
LEASING	107,378	---	105,000	---	107,378
MAINTENANCE	596,521	---	559,000	-20,000	576,521
INTEREST PAYMENTS,CAPEHART AND WHERRY	1,639	---	1,639	---	1,639
MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS	224	---	224	---	224
NET BUDGET AUTHORITY	1,405,400	-21,900	1,318,698	-89,842	1,315,558
PLUS APPROPRIATION FOR DEBT REDUCTION	20,054	---	20,054	---	20,054
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING	1,425,454	-21,900	1,338,752	-89,842	1,335,612
FAMILY HOUSING- NAVY					
ALASKA					
NAVAL STATION ADAK	61,107	-14,000	46,400	-8,000	53,107
CALIFORNIA					
MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP PENDLETON	26,004	---	20,000	---	26,004
STERLING PARK - CAMP PENDELTON	---	---	15,000	+15,000	15,000
VIRGINIA					
AEGIS COMBAT SYSTEMS CENTER WALLOPS ISLAND	2,400	---	2,170	---	2,400
GUANTANAMO BAY, CU					
NAVAL STATION GUANTANAMO BAY	12,430	---	9,350	-12,430	---
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	9,000	---	9,000	---	9,000
PLANNING & DESIGN	8,559	---	8,400	---	8,400
SUBTOTAL	119,500	-14,000	110,320	-5,430	113,911
OPERATING EXPENSES					
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	40,237	---	38,200	---	40,237
SERVICES ACCOUNT	29,826	---	28,000	---	29,826
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	165,523	-400	160,000	-400	165,123
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	14,263	---	13,000	---	14,263
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	402	---	402	---	402
SUBTOTAL	250,251	-400	239,602	-400	249,851
LEASING ACCOUNT	20,080	---	19,000	---	20,080
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	312,609	-10,000	280,000	-35,000	277,609

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
INTEREST PAYMENTS FAM HSG DEBT	1,710	---	1,710	---	1,710
MORTGAGE INS PREMIUMS FAM HSG DEBT	450	---	450	---	450
NET BUDGET AUTHORITY	704,600	-24,400	651,082	-40,830	663,611
PLUS APPROPRIATION FOR DEBT REDUCTION	23,286	---	23,286	---	23,286
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING	727,886	-24,400	674,368	-40,830	686,897
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE					
CALIFORNIA					
FT MACARTHUR	16,500	---	---	---	16,500
MASSACHUSETTS					
HANSCOM AFB	500	---	500	---	500
MONTANA					
CONRAD AFS	3,705	---	2,930	---	3,705
WYOMING					
WARREN AFB	17,343	---	16,300	---	17,343
BELGIUM					
VARIOUS LOCATION-BELGIUM	37,509	---	---	---	---
ITALY					
COMISO AB	50,070	---	40,300	---	---
KOREA					
OSAN AB	2,700	---	---	-2,700	---
UNITED KINGDOM					
RAF ALCONBURY	27,410	---	---	-27,410	---
RAF BENTWATERS	21,963	-1,800	16,200	-21,963	---
RAF GREENHAM COMMON	22,441	---	17,800	---	22,441
OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED					
CLASSIFIED LOCATION-FRG	24,086	-24,086	---	-24,086	---
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	62,173	---	52,164	---	62,173
PLANNING & DESIGN	6,000	-1,000	5,000	---	5,000
GENERAL REDUCTION	---	---	---	-20,000	-20,000
SUBTOTAL	292,400	-26,886	151,214	-96,159	107,662
OPERATING EXPENSES					
MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT	26,351	---	25,300	---	26,351
SERVICES ACCOUNT	22,626	---	22,000	---	22,626
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	232,914	-2,000	230,000	---	230,914
FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT	44,008	---	40,000	---	44,008
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	1,659	---	1,380	---	1,659
SUBTOTAL	327,558	-2,000	318,680	---	325,558
LEASING	57,239	-3,900	53,000	-3,900	53,339
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	331,455	-4,900	329,260	-20,000	331,455
INTEREST PAYMENTS, C&W HOUSING	1,640	---	1,640	---	1,640
MORTGAGE INSURANCE, C&W HOUSING	408	---	408	---	408
NET BUDGET AUTHORITY	1,030,700	-37,686	854,202	-120,059	820,062
PLUS APPROPRIATION FOR DEBT REDUCTION	27,932	---	27,932	---	27,932
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING	1,058,632	-37,686	882,134	-120,059	847,994

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE AGENCIES					
NSA CLASSIFIED PROJECT	693	---	540	---	693
CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS	107	---	107	---	107
SUBTOTAL	800	---	647	---	800
OPERATING EXPENSES					
SERVICES ACCOUNT	13	---	13	---	13
UTILITIES ACCOUNT	161	---	161	---	161
FURNISHINGS	1,934	---	1,800	---	1,934
MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT	533	---	470	---	533
SUBTOTAL	2,641	---	2,444	---	2,641
LEASING	15,773	-500	13,700	---	15,273
MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY	586	---	500	---	586
TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING	19,800	-500	17,291	---	19,300
HOMEOWNER'S ASSISTANCE	(4,000)	---	(4,000)	---	(4,000)
GENERAL PROVISION (SEC. 126)	---	---	-24,850	---	---
RECAPITULATION					
ARMY	1,900,000	-280,020	1,606,350	-238,500	1,625,227
NAVY	1,803,300	-297,515	1,449,442	-109,975	1,562,672
SANTA MARGARITA WATER PROJECT	142,000	-140,500	---	---	1,500
AIR FORCE	2,165,400	-465,650	1,543,225	-331,652	1,600,718
DEFENSE AGENCIES	459,500	-17,190	309,108	-27,390	383,510
NATO INFRASTRUCTURE	296,700	-165,000	131,700	-165,000	107,200
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	88,900	---	92,117	+8,113	96,900
AIR NATIONAL GUARD	102,900	---	111,200	+7,800	110,700
ARMY RESERVE	70,400	---	67,306	---	70,400
NAVAL RESERVE	60,800	---	63,800	---	60,800
AIR FORCE RESERVE	67,800	---	67,800	---	67,800
TOTAL MILITARY CONSTRUCTION	7,157,700	-1,365,875	5,442,048	-856,604	5,687,427

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

Installation and project (1)	Original DOD request (2)	Revised budget compared to original (3)	House allowance (4)	SASC authorization compared to budget (5)	Committee recommendation (6)
FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY	1,425,454	-21,900	1,338,752	-89,842	1,335,612
PORTION APPLIED TO DEBT REDUCTION	-20,054	---	-20,054	---	-20,054
FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY	727,886	-24,400	674,368	-40,830	686,897
PORTION APPLIED TO DEBT REDUCTION	-23,286	---	-23,286	---	-23,286
FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE	1,058,632	-37,686	882,134	-120,059	847,994
PORTION APPLIED TO DEBT REDUCTION	-27,932	---	-27,932	---	-27,932
FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE AGENCIES	19,800	-500	17,291	---	19,300
GENERAL PROVISION (SEC. 126)	---	---	-24,850	---	---
GRAND TOTAL	10,318,200	-1,450,361	8,258,471	-1,107,335	8,505,958

* INDICATES PREVIOUSLY OR PERMANENTLY AUTHORIZED.